IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA – RICHMOND DIVISION

United Mine Workers of America 1974 Pension Plan and Trust, et al.,

Appellants,

v.

Alpha Natural Resources, Inc., et al.,

Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, No. 15-33896 (KRH)

APPELLANTS' REDACTED APPENDIX VOLUME 2 OF 4 (A141-A393)

CROWLEY, LIBERATORE, RYAN &

BROGAN, P.C. Karen M. Crowley Ann B. Brogan 150 Boush Street Suite 300

Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 333-4500

MOONEY, GREEN, SAINDON, MURPHY & WELCH, PC

Paul A. Green
John R. Mooney
1920 L Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 783-0010

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

John C. Goodchild, III 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA (215) 963-5000

Sabin Willett Julia Frost-Davies Matthew C. Ziegler One Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 951-8000

Counsel for Appellants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

	Pages
Debtors' Bonus Plan Motion (Bankr. Doc. No. 1038) (Dec. 3, 2015)	A1
Order Approving Bonus Plan Order by the Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens (Bankr. Doc. No. 1387) (Jan. 27, 2016)	A76
Debtors' Chapter 11 Petitions (Bankr. Doc. No. 1) (Aug. 8, 2015)	A87
Debtors' Exhibit to Bonus Plan Motion [REDACTED]	A 115
(Bankr. Doc. No. 1040) (Dec. 03, 2015)	A115
UMWA Health and Retirement Funds' Objection to Debtors' Bonus Plan Motion (Bankr. Doc. No. 1303) (Jan. 19, 2016)	A116
VOLUME 2	
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing before the Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens, Public Session (Jan. 21, 2016)	A141
VOLUME 3	
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing before the Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens, Closed Session [REDACTED] (Jan. 21, 2016)	Δ 30/1
	A334
UMWA Health and Retirement Funds' Notice of Appeal of Bonus Plan Order (Bankr. Doc. No. 1434) (Feb. 4, 2016)	A448
Memorandum Opinion for Bonus Plan Order by the Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens (Bankr. Doc. No. 1623) (Feb. 24, 2016)	A464
Alpha Natural Resources, Inc.'s Schedule 14A (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 1) (May 21, 2015)	A486
Meridian Memorandum from R. Romanchek to G. Banbury, Re: Asset Sales Incentive Plan (LIMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 2) (Oct. 28, 2015)	A 503
Memorandum Opinion for Bonus Plan Order by the Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens (Bankr. Doc. No. 1623) (Feb. 24, 2016)	A464 A486

Meridian Memorandum from R. Romanchek to G. Banbury, Re: Preliminary KEIP Specifications (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 4) (Oct. 29, 2015)
Email from G. Banbury to K. Carmody, Subject: KEIP and Asset Sales Incentive Plan (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 5) (Nov. 3, 2015)
Debtors' Bonus Plan Metrics Chart (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 6)
Meridian Memorandum from R. Romancheck to G. Banbury, Re: Summary and Analysis of Peer Company Key Employee Incentive Plans (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 7) (Nov. 11, 2015)
Analysis of Plan Costs Relative to Asset/Revenue Levels (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 8) (Oct. 29, 2015)
Email K. Carmody to K. Crutchfield, (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 9) (Nov. 03, 2015)
Email from G. Banbury to K. Carmody, Subject: KEIP and Asset Sales Incentive Plan (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 10) (Nov. 03, 2015)
Alpha Natural Resources 13 Week Cash Flow Forecast [REDACTED] (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 11) (Jan. 01, 2016)
Alpha Natural Resources 13 Week Cash Flow Forecast [REDACTED] (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 12) (Jan. 08, 2016)
Alpha Natural Resources, Preliminary Management Plan Review [REDACTED] (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 13) (Dec. 17, 2015)
Alpha Natural Resources Business Metrics Chart [REDACTED] (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 14) (Dec. 22, 2015)
VOLUME 4
Order by the Honorable Keith L. Phillips Approving Patriot Key Employee Incentive Plan, In re Patriot Coal Corp., et al., Case No. 15-32450 (Bankr. E.D. Va.) (Doc. No. 672) (UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 15) (July 29, 2015)

Notion of Patriot Coal Corp. to Approve Key Employee Incentive Plan,				
In re Patriot Coal Corp., et al., Case No. 15-32450 (Bankr. E.D. Va.) (Doc. No. 454)				
(UMWA Health & Retirement Funds Trial Ex. 16) (July 3, 2015)	2			
Declaration of L. Patrick Hassey				
(Debtors' Trial Ex. 2) (Dec. 3, 2015)	7			
Deposition of L. Patrick Hassey				
[REDACTED]				
(Debtors' Trial Ex. 1) (Jan. 12, 2016)	9			

```
1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
                 EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA (RICHMOND)
 2
 3
                                        Case No. 15-33896-KRH
 4
                                        Richmond, Virginia
    In re
 5
    ALPHA NATURAL RESOURCES, INC.,
                                        January 21, 2016
    et al.,
                     Debtors.
                                        10:12 AM
 6
 7
 8
                        TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON
 9
    [1091] First Interim Application of JONES DAY, COUNSEL FOR THE
        DEBTORS, FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION FOR PROFESSIONAL
         SERVICES RENDERED AND FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND
10
       NECESSARY EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015
                        THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
11
    [1092] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP, CO-
     COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION, FOR ALLOWANCE
12
    OF INTERIM COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED
        FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
13
        [1094] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF JACKSON KELLY PLLC,
14
      SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION, FOR
        ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF
        EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH
15
                            OCTOBER 31, 2015;
       [1095] FIRST APPLICATION OF ROTHSCHILD INC., AS FINANCIAL
16
     ADVISOR AND INVESTMENT BANKER FOR THE DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN-
17
       POSSESSION, FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION FOR
    PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED AND FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL
      AND NECESSARY EXPENSES INCURRED FROM AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH
18
                            OCTOBER 31, 2015;
19
      [1096] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF KPMG LLP AS AUDITOR FOR
    THE DEBTORS FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF
      EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31,
20
                                  2015;
21
      [1097] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &
       HAMILTON LLP, SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE DEBTORS FOR CERTAIN
      CORPORATE AND LITIGATION MATTERS, FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
22
        FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
       [1098] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
23
      & SULLIVAN, LLP FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES
     RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO
24
     THE DEBTORS FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31,
25
                                  2015;
```

T	[1099] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF DELOTITE TAX LLP FOR
	COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF
2	EXPENSES AS TAX ADVISOR TO THE DEBTORS FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST
	10, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
3	[1100] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF ALVAREZ & MARSAL NORTH
_	AMERICA, LLC AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR FOR DEBTOR AND DEBTORS IN
4	POSSESSION FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED
4	
	AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015
5	THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
	[1101] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF MCKINSEY RECOVERY &
6	TRANSFORMATION SERVICES U.S., LLC, FOR COMPENSATION FOR
	SERVICES RENDERED, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED AND
7	PAYMENT OF HOLDBACK AS TURNAROUND ADVISOR FOR THE DEBTORS AND
1	DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 3, 2015 THROUGH
8	OCTOBER 31, 2015;
0	
_	[1104] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &
9	MCCLOY LLP AS COUNSEL FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
	CREDITORS FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES
10	INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD FROM AUGUST 12, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER
	31, 2015;
11	[1105] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES
	RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR SANDS
12	ANDERSON PC AS CO-COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF
	UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 19, 2015 THROUGH
13	OCTOBER 31, 2015;
-5	[1106] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF PROTIVITI INC. FOR
14	ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND
T-4	
4 -	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO OFFICIAL
15	COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR THE PERIOD FROM AUGUST
	12, 2015 THROUGH AND INCLUDING OCTOBER 31, 2015;
16	[1107] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF JEFFERIES LLC FOR
	ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND
17	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AS INVESTMENT BANKER TO THE OFFICIAL
	COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR THE PERIOD FROM AUGUST
18	12, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
	[1108] FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF BLACKACRE LLC AS COAL
19	CONSULTANT FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS
	FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR
20	THE PERIOD AUGUST 12, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015;
	[1183] SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION OF THE DEBTORS, PURSUANT TO
21	SECTIONS 327(A) AND 1107(B) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY
21	
~~	RULE 2014(A) AND LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULE 2014-1, FOR AN ORDER
22	AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO EXPAND THE SCOPE OF EMPLOYMENT AND
	RETENTION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, EFFECTIVE AS OF DECEMBER 7,
23	2015;
	[1210] MOTION OF THE DEBTORS FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE
24	REJECTION OF CERTAIN UNEXPIRED LEASES [DOCKET NO. 1210]
	[1211] MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING (I) THE
25	DEPTODE ACCIMENTON AND DENEWAL OF AN INCIDANCE DEOCEAM WITH

1	NATIONAL UNION FIRST INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA AND (II) CERTAIN RELATED RELIEF;
2	[1212] MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER, PURSUANT TO SECTION 363(B) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, AUTHORIZING DEBTOR ALPHA
3	NATURAL RESOURCES, LLC TO PERFORM OBLIGATIONS UNDER SECOND
4	AMENDMENT TO ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT; [1216] APPLICATION OF OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
_	CREDITORS FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING RETENTION AND
5	EMPLOYMENT OF INNOVATIVE COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS CONCEPTS, LLC AS COMPENSATION CONSULTANT FOR THE COMMITTEE, EFFECTIVE AS
6	OF DECEMBER 28, 2015;
_	[1218] SECOND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF THE CHALLENGE PERIOD IN
7	THE FINAL ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING DEBTORS (A) TO OBTAIN POST-
_	PETITION FINANCING PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. SECTIONS 105, 361,
8	362, 363(B), 364(C)(1), 364(C)(2), 364(C)(3), 364(D)(1) AND
9	364(E) AND (B) TO UTILIZE CASH COLLATERAL PURSUANT TO 11
9	U.S.C. SECTION 363 AND (II) GRANTING ADEQUATE PROTECTION TO PRE-PETITION SECURED PARTIES PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. SECTIONS
10	361, 362, 363, 364, AND 507(B);
±0	[1204] MOTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF RETIRED EMPLOYEES
11	FOR AN ORDER REGARDING RETIREE ACCESS TO INFORMATION AND
	SETTING AND FIXING RETIREE INFORMATION SHARING PROCEDURES AND
12	PROTOCOLS UNDER 11 U.S.C. SECTIONS 105(A), 107(B), AND
	1102(B)(3);
13	[1109] MOTION FOR STANDING ORDER AUTHORIZING TELEPHONIC
	APPEARANCES;
14	[1039] MOTION OF THE DEBTORS, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 107(B)(1)
	AND 107(C)(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 9018,
15	TO FILE UNDER SEAL APPENDIX 2 TO DECLARATION OF ROBERT
. .	ROMANCHEK IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF THE DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF AN
16	ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING PAYMENTS UNDER 2015 ANNUAL INCENTIVE
17	BONUS PLAN AND (II) APPROVING KEY EMPLOYEE INCENTIVE PLAN FOR CERTAIN INSIDER EMPLOYEES FOR 2016;
_ /	[1038] MOTION OF THE DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I)
18	AUTHORIZING PAYMENTS UNDER 2015 ANNUAL INCENTIVE BONUS PLAN
-0	AND (II) APPROVING KEY EMPLOYEE INCENTIVE PLAN FOR CERTAIN
19	INSIDER EMPLOYEES FOR 2016.
20	
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS,
21	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
2-	
25	

1	i	1111
		4
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Debtors:	CARL E. BLACK, ESQ. DAVID G. HEIMAN, ESQ.
3		THOMAS A. WILSON, ESQ. JONES DAY
4 5		901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114
6		ROBERT W. HAMILTON, ESQ. JONES DAY
7		325 John H. McConnell Boulevard Suite 600
8		Columbus, OH 43215
9	Office of the United States Trustee:	HUGH M. BERNSTEIN, ESQ. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 101 West Lombard Street
10		Suite 2625 Baltimore, MD 21201
11	For the Official	EVAN FLECK, ESO.
12	Creditors' Committee:	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP 28 Liberty Street
13		New York, NY 10005
14 15		SANDS ANDERSON PC MR. ROY TERRY, ESQ. 1111 East Main Street
16		Suite 2400 Richmond, VA 23219
17	For United Mine Workers Of America:	SHARON L. LEVINE, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC) LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC
18		65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, NJ 07068
19 20	For Moving Retirees:	LYNN L. TAVENNER, ESQ. PAULA S. BERAN, ESQ.
21		TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street
22		Second Floor Richmond, VA 23219
23		
24		
25		
		1

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT OFFICER: Alpha Natural Resources, Incorporated, items 1 through 29 on proposed amended agenda.

MR. BLACK: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. BLACK: Carl Black of Jones Day on behalf of the debtors.

We have a lot of items on the agenda today, but before we get to them, what I would like to do, if it's okay with Your Honor, is Mr. Heiman would like to give the Court just a status update of sort of where the case is -- we're approximately six months in -- where we are at, where we've been, where we're going, just to give the Court sort of a brief overview.

THE COURT: I would very much welcome that, and I think everybody else in the courtroom would to.

MR. BLACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Black.

Welcome back.

MR. HEIMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Good to see you David Heiman from Jones Day on behalf of the debtor. I am glad you would welcome hearing a status report. so sure about everybody else in the courtroom, but we'll take that anyway.

THE COURT: Well, I'm probably one of the few that doesn't know.

Colloguy

MR. HEIMAN: You probably know virtually everything I'm about to tell you, but I'd like to try anyway. There are two things that cause me to think that perhaps now is a good time for a what I would call high-level status report with, of course, going into any more detail that you want as you request.

The first thing is, as Mr. Black said, we are at the sixth month, or almost at the sixth-month stage of these cases. I don't pretend to suggest that that is a demarcation, in and of itself, but it is because, at least in our minds, we have completed the first two stages of the case. And I tend to view, at least for myself, Chapter 11 cases in stages, hopefully because there are prerequisites to getting to a plan of reorganization. So the stages that I look at are first the organizational stage and then the business plan stage. And we're pretty much through all of that. So I will report on that just briefly because Your Honor has observed already those stages.

The second reason why a status report is appropriate is, as Your Honor may recall, under the fourth amended DIP agreement, we agreed to a milestone that happens to be due tomorrow. And that milestone was to enter into what's been called a plan structure agreement with the first lien lenders. So I'll have more to say about that in a few minutes.

I think it appropriate first, however, to go into,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

again, a high-level status report on the business itself, which is obviously the most important thing of all. And as Your Honor has heard too many times, from me and others, rarely do we hear good news in this industry, and today is no exception. So I will give you some very high-level data. before I do, I want to say, because the comments about business performance are necessarily on the negative side, I don't want anyone to believe there's not a positive side here. And I will get to that, in terms of our assets that we think will become the basis for a plan of reorganization. So it's a lot of work to get there, but I will detail that.

So first, referring you back to our first day hearings, when we had a PowerPoint filled with charts and so forth, I'm not going to review that. We remember all the downward lines on pricing and revenue and EBITDA and cash and so forth. And the only thing I can add to that, as a general matter, is that things have worsened since then. So that's not news; it's been in the media consistently, and other companies have suffered the same plight.

So let me first start with pricing, which obviously is the easiest and most objective standard to look at. And I refer to the HDT industry benchmark for the price of coal. And I'll go back to the second quarter of 2015, the quarter that pretty much immediately preceded our bankruptcy filing. At that point, the HDT index was at 110, 110 dollars per ton.

Colloguy

At the third quarter -- during the third quarter that went down to ninety-three dollars a ton. In the fourth quarter that went down to eighty-nine dollars a ton. And as I understand, it, as I speak today, it's approximately eighty-one dollars a ton.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Even at these low prices -- one would hope that you could sell more coal at lower prices, but even at these low prices our volume has decreased. As everyone knows, there is an oversupply of coal. And for -- and now I'm comparing 2014 to 2015. 2015, our volume is down by twelve tons, or fifteen percent, roughly, or almost fifteen percent.

THE COURT: Twelve tons, did you say?

MR. HEIMAN: I'm sorry, Your --

THE COURT: How many tons was that?

MR. HEIMAN: Twelve tons.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

MR. HEIMAN: Roughly eighty-four million to seventytwo million.

> Thank you. THE COURT:

MR. HEIMAN: By the way, don't rely on my numbers; you'll have other people testifying who are going to be much more accurate, but this is a general sense of it. Of course, as you would expect, these numbers then, in turn, impact revenue greatly. So between -- from 2014 to 2015, for the year-to-year revenues, we have a decline of thirty percent,

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

which is very significant. I will say the company's done, in my mind, a wonderful job of offsetting these revenue declines significantly by cost-cutting and efficiency measures. And so it could have been a lot worse.

Our head count today is about 1,000 down, across the board, throughout the company. And as a general proposition, you can see that we have downsized significantly from the original, I think, 14,000 employees.

Now, the problem with a downsized Alpha, which it will be significantly downsized, is that we will not be able to in any way come close -- and everyone knows this -- we will not be able to in any way come close to carrying a debt load of seven to eight billion dollars, two billion of which is secured debt. So the restructuring itself, beyond the challenges of the business, are indeed very challenging.

Now, on the all-important cash burn, I'm not going to use specific numbers today. You'll, from time to time, be hearing specific numbers. And I think, for Your Honor's own consumption, all you need to know, I hope, for now, is that the cash burn is very significant, into the hundreds of millions of dollars and is increasing. So as we get into the hundreds of millions of dollars of cash burn, very understandably, our secured lenders feel that their collateral is diminishing by at least that amount. This, in turn, creates great pressure on all of us. We have tried to move

6

8

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

swiftly, perhaps not as swiftly as some would like, and more swiftly than others, but we are moving, really, pretty much heaven and earth as best we can. And internally, it is quite a challenge for management to keep things afloat, to come in every day, work long days, and meet the demands of a perpetually declining business.

But now to go back to -- any questions, Your Honor, about the business itself and the report?

THE COURT: The prices of coal that you gave me, what kind of coal is that? Is that a general --

MR. HEIMAN: That's a confusing -- the coal, as I understand it, and someone else will probably have to address this better than me, but as I understand it, it's seaborne met that the HDT uses.

Is that correct? Thank you.

I got that right. Amazing. Okay.

THE COURT: What's even more amazing is I know what you mean, so --

MR. HEIMAN: Well, thank you. I'd like to say great minds, but I can't say my mind is so great on that.

So the stages case -- going back to where we started. The organizational stage, same pretty much in every case. need to form our creditor groups and meet with them and assemble and deliver data and information and have exchanges, some of which goes well, some of which there are always some

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

minor complaints. But I feel pretty good, for the most part, that we've delivered everything that we should have and could have. And I don't hear many complaints these days about whether we're holding back information or not. And as I said on the first day, it's our mantra to understand that we have a responsibility to our creditors to make sure they know as much as we can provide, and hopefully we've done that.

We have also done what we need to do, I believe, in seeking relief in the Court -- and Your Honor has firsthand knowledge of this -- in order to set the business, which is in a chaotic environment, and more chaotic because of the event of a bankruptcy -- to set it in a way that is as close to business as usual as possible. So the mines are operating. It's not that there are not concerns and tensions as a result of the bankruptcy or the coal industry, but we have done what we need to do in the first stage of this case to set the operation on a solid foundation from the bankruptcy standpoint.

The next stage, which was overlapping, of course, is the development of a business plan. Of course this is the future projections that will drive the rest of the case, in essence, what the company will look like and what values can be created to return what we can to the creditors. This, in effect, was an excruciatingly difficult process. There was many, many mines, many difficult analyses, starting at the

Colloguy

1 mine level, going to the top and then going back down took a huge amount of work. It has been, I believe, vetted 2 3 significantly with the creditors. They may have bones to pick with the business plan, but they all had their opportunities 4 5 to review it, to ask questions, both face to face and by telephone, through advisors and through principals and so 6 7 forth.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we have done all that. It's taken a good deal of But the business plan is and has been complete, but for one qualification which keeps coming up, which is prices go down, so the assumptions that we make in the business plan for prices have to continue to be adjusted. But the basic elements of a business plan are in place, and that means, in my mind, we're pretty much ready to go into what I would call -- or embark upon plan discussions.

So one of the things that has -- that came out of the business plan, not surprisingly, is of our many, many mines that we operate, many of them were losing money and had difficulties. And the result of the business plan itself was to basically create two -- with some middle ground, two baskets of operating assets. And for lack of a better term --I think these are misnomers, but that's what we've come to call them -- the baskets are the core assets and the noncore assets.

Your Honor has seen something of the noncore assets

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

by virtue of our request for sale procedures, which you approved maybe in December, some time ago. And that process on the noncore assets has pretty much played out. Rothschild contacted well over a hundred potential purchasers, and they went through the process of entering into nondisclosure agreements with some and having discussions with others and so The long and short of it is, Your Honor, not to our surprise, or probably anybody's surprise, we have some interest in some of those assets; that interest has to be tested. And we have no interest in many assets. So we have to sort of circle that, for the noncore assets, as one of the aspects of a plan of reorganization that we must deal with. There will be a large group of mines that we will retain and that will -- and that do carry heavy reclamation obligations. So one of the things we're going to have to do in this case is figure out how to deal with those reclamation obligations.

Okay. So the next point on my report is with respect to January 22nd. That's the shorthand reference we've all come to use for the discussions on the plan support agreement. So that -- let me first put everybody at ease about whether we're going to run into a default tomorrow. It is my understanding that we are not, and I will explain why we are not. But at the same time, the requirements of January 22 will hover over us as this plays out. So I will explain as we go.

COIIO

As I've indicated, with respect to the core and noncore assets, we're going to be retaining a large group of noncore assets. This leaves us with a solid group of core assets. These assets are ones which the company, and I believe at least some or all of the creditor community believe can, in the long run, survive and make money; again, very substantially reduced size, so it's not that it will be sufficient to provide significant recoveries to all creditors, but it will be a viable coal operation.

That group of core assets has been at the center of the discussions with the first lien lenders. And the first lien lenders and the debtors have been talking for a number of weeks now about the terms for a plan support agreement. Very complicated for many of the reasons you would understand, both with respect to labor issues and reclamation and the estates -- obligations to the estates and so forth, and how these all play out and affect the assets.

So the complications, I think, have challenged both sides in how to move forward with this plan structure agreement. And I think what it has gravitated to is more of a road map or how to play out the next couple of months in the case. So that roadmap would result in the debtors seeking relief in the Court with respect to the core assets in the near term, and seeking relief in the Court with respect to other creditor issues in the near term, using that as a basis

Colloguy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to try to -- and by the same token, using the intervening time to bring the other creditor groups up to speed.

And I will say very clearly, we have had -- our approach on the plan structure agreement is to take the first lien lenders first and try to resolve issues with them. Therefore, the other creditor groups have had very little participation in those discussions as yet. We intend to change that and bring them into the process.

With respect to the first lien lenders, I would say, over the weeks, we've had what we might call a spirited debate about issues, disagreements, but overall, very good cooperation between the parties, extremely constructive discussions, all in good faith, everybody trying to figure out how best to resolve these difficult issues.

So again, we will be using this road map to move forward which will require us to seek some court relief. So we may be seeing you a little bit more than we have in the past. And I think it's time, as I said, for that, because we're now embarking on a new stage of the case.

Okay. One final comment, and I just can't help myself on this, so you'll forgive me. But I have watched management now for really well over a year but six months during this case. And I know that management is the subject of the next agenda item. And I will not be making legal arguments here; that is reserved for the parties on that part

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

of your docket. However, I think it would be a travesty -- at least I feel personally it would be a travesty not to recognize what management has done, under very difficult circumstances, to get us to this point. Management has operated under what I would call pernicious clouds created by the coal industry where every time they turn around the price comes down. They have worked hard. We have lost management because of the uncertainties of the livelihoods in the future, some KEIP people, some people in middle management, and it doesn't make anything any easier. This management has been working at a diminished compensation, and they still have performed admirably.

I have seen the suggestion of some that this is their job; they're doing just what their job is and they have a fiduciary duty to do it. My view is those who make that suggestion are not in the real world. They are looking inward at their own narrow interests and not at what's in the best interests of these estates and these creditors, which is to retain and motivate this particular management. And as I said the last time I spoke to you, I don't think there is any other management who can take us from this point forward. We need this management. And I'm happy to say that our primary creditor groups agree with us after we went through an arduous negotiation process with them.

So again, I don't intend to make legal arguments

Colloguy

1 here; this is more about my status report and what they have 2 done and how they should be recognized. And from my 3 standpoint, it is time to send them a message. The message is we appreciate your hard work, we'd like you to continue to 4 5 work hard to bring this case to a conclusion. Thank you, Your Honor. 6

> Thanks, sir. THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLECK: Your Honor, good morning. Evan Fleck of Milbank Tweed, on behalf of the official committee.

If I may, Your Honor -- I realize it's a 105(d) conference for the debtors, but if I may, I would like to make a couple of comments, at this juncture, on behalf of the creditors' committee, if that would be appropriate, just in response to a couple of comments from Mr. Heiman in terms of case direction and status. I'll be brief.

THE COURT: All right. Be brief, please.

MR. FLECK: Yep.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: We've got a lot to go on.

MR. FLECK: Yeah, of course, Your Honor, and I believe it is accurate we -- the creditors' committee does not have an objection to any of the matters going forward today.

I did want to note for the record, because I recognize the fact, from the Court's vantage point, it is often the case that the perception is that the parties in the room do have a lot more visibility into what's going on and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

how the case is progressing, and that may be the case. I appreciate and want to note Mr. Heiman's recognition, however, that in this situation the sequencing of events, in terms of the processing of the PSA, has been such that the other estate fiduciary has not been involved in those discussions. We look forward to engaging; we'll do that in good faith. understand that's primarily at the request of the lender group that this is the sequencing. There's a bit of a dissonance so there's a need for speed, and we get that. The creditors' committee is aware, painfully, of the costs of the case, and are encouraging the debtors to move along quickly. So you have, on the one side, move quickly; on the other side a sequencing so that the other parties in the case have not been privy to those very important discussions.

So there's a lot to be worked out, and Mr. Heiman made a couple of comments with respect to the debtors' recognition about the cost of the case on the lenders. That's a legal issue that will come up. What we'll commit also to Your Honor is that as these legal issues do come up, Mr. Heiman said we'll be in front of you more often; we apologize in advance for the burden on the Court. What we're not going to do is kind of reserve these issues to the end.

> That's what I'm here for. THE COURT:

MR. FLECK: Yeah. So if there are legal issues, whether they go to adequate protection, or the like, we will Colloguy

try to advance those so we can have those adjudicated by the Court so that we're not at the end of the line here with, sort of, a fait accompli and this is sort of how the case needs to go.

So we're here continuing to work in good faith with the parties, and look forward to hopefully advancing to this next stage of the case and getting to favorable resolutions and allowing the committee to exercise its duties on behalf of its constituency.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Your Honor. MR. FLECK:

Thank you very much, Mr. Fleck. THE COURT:

MR. WILSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Tom Wilson of Jones Day on behalf of the MR. WILSON: debtors.

As you know we have a crowded agenda this morning, but the majority of it is adjourned or uncontested, and I'll try to move through that as quickly as possible.

The first four items on the agenda are adjourned, Your Honor. Items 5 through 14 on the agenda are the first interim fee applications filed by professionals retained by the debtors for payment of services rendered and the reimbursement of expenses incurred during the first interim fee period beginning on August 3rd, ending on October 31st,

2016.

For the record, the applications have been filed by Jones Day, as lead bankruptcy counsel; Hunton & Williams, as co-bankruptcy counsel; Jackson Kelly, as special litigation counsel; Rothschild Inc., as investment banker; KPMG, as auditor; Cleary Gottlieb, as special counsel for certain corporation and litigation matters; Quinn Emanuel, as special counsel; Deloitte Tax, as tax advisor; Alvarez & Marsal North America, as financial advisor; and finally, McKinsey Recovery & Transformation Services, as turnaround advisor.

Your Honor, although both the debtors and the unsecured creditors' committee have filed reservations of rights preserving their rights to object to allowance of the fees sought today, on a final basis, when the time comes, no objections have been filed to the approval, on an interim basis, of any of the applications submitted by the debtors' professionals.

Jones Day, of all the debtors' professionals, received an informal response to its application from the Office of the United States Trustee. That response was consensually resolved by Jones Day's agreement to reduce its expenses by \$204.18, and the proposed order to be submitted to the Court will reflect that reduction.

Each of the professionals have indicated in their applications their belief that all fees and expenses incurred

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

during the first interim period were reasonable, actual, and necessary, within the meaning of Section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code. Unless Your Honor has any questions, or any other professional or party would like to address the Court, the debtors would ask that the fees and expenses sought for the first interim period be approved, on an interim basis, for each of the debtors' professionals.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks, Mr. Wilson. been through all of the applications in detail, as the Code requires me to do.

Does any party wish to be heard in connection with any of the interim fee applications that are on the Court's docket today?

All right. You're true to your word; there are no objections. Apparently no comments either.

The Court will approve the applications then, on an interim basis, going forward, and ask you to please submit orders in that regard, finding that the fees that have been charged in this case are reasonable, and the work that has been performed is certainly necessary for the effective reorganization of this bankruptcy estate.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. The debtors will now cede the podium to counsel for the creditors' committee with respect to the interim fee applications filed by their professionals.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. FLECK: Once again, Your Honor, thank you. Evan Fleck for the record, on behalf of the creditors' committee.

For good order, I'm in front of the Court with respect to agenda items 15 through 19. Those are the first interim applications for the payment or approval of the fees and expenses of the committee's professionals.

Item number 15 is Milbank Tweed; 16 is Sands Anderson, our cocounsel; 17 is the application for Protiviti Inc., the financial advisor; item number 18 on the agenda is Jefferies; and number 19 is Blackacre, as the coal consultant for the official committee.

As was the case with respect to the debtors' professionals, Jones Day, specifically, we at Milbank, had an interaction with the United States Trustee to discuss the fees and expenses requested, and as a result of those discussions we did agree to a reduction in fees and expenses that'll be reflected in the order.

There have been no objections filed, and my understanding is that there have been no other requests for reduction with respect to the other committee professionals. Your Honor, we believe the requested fees and expenses are appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. And as with the debtors' professionals, we would respectfully request that Your Honor approve these fees and expenses, on an interim Colloquy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

basis, and where appropriate, authorize the release of the holdback.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Fleck. any party wish to be heard in connection with the applications of the committee professionals?

All right. There being no objection, again, the Court has reviewed the applications that have been submitted by the professionals for the committee. The Court, again, finds the work performed necessary for the effective reorganization of this estate and that the fees charged were reasonable. The Court will approve these applications on an interim basis as well and ask you to please submit appropriate orders.

MR. FLECK: We will do that. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

MR. WILSON: Your Honor, Tom Wilson, Jones Day, on behalf of the debtors again.

The next item on the agenda is the debtors' supplemental application to expand the scope of retention of Ernst & Young. As Your Honor may recall, the Court previously approved the debtors' retention of Ernst & Young on October 6th of last year, authorizing them to provide the debtors with a variety of tax, accounting and valuation services in these Chapter 11 cases.

Since Ernst & Young's initial retention, the debtors

Colloquy

1 have determined that their familiarity with the debtors' 2 assets, and their general expertise in Chapter 11 cases, has 3 made Ernst & Young the natural choice for performing necessary liquidation valuations of the debtors' assets in connection 4 5 with the preparation and proposal of a plan of reorganization. The valuation services previously approved, however, did not 6 7 include services related to a liquidation valuation of the 8 debtors' assets for plan purposes, resulting in a supplemental application to approve the performance of those services 9 10 before the Court today.

Your Honor, there have been no objections to the relief requested, and we'd ask that the Court enter an order approving E&Y's expanded retention, effective December 7th, the date upon which they commenced the liquidation valuation services.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Does any party wish to be heard in connection with proposed expanded authority of Deloitte (sic) in connection with this case?

All right. That'll be approved, Mr. Wilson. Please submit an order.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on the agenda, Your Honor, is the debtors' motion to reject certain unexpired leases of personal property between debtor, Alpha Coal West, on one hand, and NewPath Energy Capital, LLC, and Access Capital, Inc., on the other hand.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

The unexpired leases to be rejected, Your Honor, relate to the storage and transportation of liquefied natural gas which Alpha Coal West uses as part of its day-to-day operations. Because the prices for certain energy alternatives have decreased, however, the use of liquefied natural gas, and thus the continued performance under the subject leases, is no longer necessary to the debtors' ongoing business operations and provide no benefit to their Chapter 11 estates. Accordingly, the debtors have exercised their business judgment to reject these equipment leases effective as of the date of entry of an order approving this motion.

Your Honor, no objections to the debtors' motion to reject have been filed, and the debtors ask that an order granting the proposed rejections be entered.

THE COURT: Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the motion to reject the unexpired leases?

All right. There being no objection, that motion is granted as well. Please submit an order.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on the agenda is --

THE COURT: So far we're going very easily here.

MR. WILSON: I think there'll be some complication later, Your Honor.

The next item on the agenda is the debtors' motion for an order authorizing and approving the assumption and

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

renewal of its insurance program with National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, an affiliate of AIG.

Your Honor, the debtors are party to a number of agreements with National Union related to the provision of automobile and general liability insurance, what we refer to in our motion as the insurance program. The debtors maintain their CGL and auto policies, both as a matter of prudent business practice, and pursuant to the requirements of applicable law, for example. A condition of the issuance and retention of the debtors' mining permits is the maintenance of appropriate insurance. Likewise, the terms of the debtors' DIP facility require the debtors to maintain insurance coverage.

The insurance program was due for renewal in December of this past year. Beginning in September of 2015, the debtors investigated possible alternatives to the insurance program, in anticipation of its pending expiration, but were unable to obtain any offer that would have provided equivalent coverage. Thus the debtors have no practical alternative to renewal of the insurance program with National Union, which agreed to renew the program, pursuant to the terms set forth in our motion and proposed order.

Your Honor, in light of the debtors' need for CGL and automobile coverage, the requirements of applicable law, and the debtors' DIP facility, the lack of available coverage

comparable to the insurance program, and the insurer's

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

requirement that the debtors assume the program on the terms set forth in the proposed order, the debtors believe their decision to assume and perform under the renewed insurance program, consistent with the terms of that order, represent the sound exercise of their business judgment, promotes a sound business purpose, and should be approved pursuant to Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. Similarly, the debtors believe that approval of the renewal of the insurance program, to the extent such renewal is deemed to be outside the ordinary course of their business, should be approved pursuant to Section 363(b) for substantially the same reasons.

No objections to the relief requested have been filed, Your Honor, and we request that the proposed order be entered.

THE COURT: Any party wish to be heard in connection with the renewal of the insurance program with National Union? All right. There being no objection, that motion is granted.

> Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WILSON:

The next item on the agenda, Your Honor, is the debtors' motion to authorize performance of their obligations under the second amendment to the assumption and assignment agreement by and between debtor Alpha Natural Resources, LLC, the Virginia Department of Transportation, or VDOT, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Bizzack Construction, LLC. And this gets a little byzantine, so I'll give it a little more time, but I'll be brief.

The second amendment, pursuant to which the debtors seek to perform, Your Honor, is one of many agreements related to a comprehensive agreement providing for the design, construction and maintenance of an approximately fifty-mile, four-lane highway located in Western Virginia, known as the Coalfields Expressway. As set forth in our papers, the comprehensive Coalfields agreement was initially between VDOT and Kellogg Brown & Root, which assigned its rights thereunder to the debtors and Bizzack, pursuant to the assignment and assumption agreement in 2006. The first amendment to the assignment and assumption agreement temporarily delayed the parties' performance while they negotiated certain comprehensive modifications thereto. The second amendment to the assumption and assignment agreement is the matter before the Court today.

The parties entered into the second amendment because, despite having suspended performance under the first amendment, they determined there was a limited opportunity to develop a two-mile section of the Coalfields Expressway called the Hawk's Nest section, to rough grade road bed in connection with the mining activities of debtor Paramont Coal Company Virginia, LLC. The second amendment modified the mining permits and mining plans of Paramont to allow Paramont to

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

develop and contour the property as rough grade road bed rather than having to restore it to its original contour.

Despite the modification of those permits, however, to the extent the debtors failed to perform under the second amendment, including the transfer of necessary parcels to VDOT, the State could require Paramont to return the property to its original contour. In exchange for the development of the Hawk's Nest section and the transfer of the relevant parcels to VDOT, VDOT agreed to pay debtor ANR, LLC a total of ten million dollars.

The parties' obligations under the second amendment were substantially completed prior to the commencement of these cases. The debtors have in fact developed the Hawk's Nest section to rough grade road bed, and VDOT has made payments to the debtors in the aggregate amount of ten million dollars.

The debtors' only remaining material obligation, under the second amendment, is the transfer to VDOT of certain deeds to and declarations regarding the parcels of property that make up the Hawk's Nest section. If the debtors do not perform, VDOT will have the right to terminate the second amendment and potentially obligate the debtors to reclaim the property at an approximate cost of sixteen million dollars.

The debtors believe that, in light of the potential cost to their estates of restored reclamation obligations, the

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

potential for the creation of an eight-figure claim against their Chapter 11 estates, and the minimal economic value of the relevant parcels to the debtors, the debtors' performance of their obligations under the second amendment represents a sound exercise of their business judgment, serves a sound business purpose, and should be approved pursuant to Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code.

No objections to the relief requested have been filed by any party, including the debtors' secured lenders, Your Honor. Accordingly, the debtors submit that entry of an order authorizing the transfer of the subject parcels, as set forth in the proposed order, is appropriate. And the debtors ask the Court grant the relief requested.

There is one change to the proposed order. We have added, at the request of VDOT, a note that the requirements of Local Rule 9022-1(d) have been waived. It requires the legal description of the property to be attached to the order. And so we've added a waiver; you'll see that in the proposed order we submit.

THE COURT: What is the purpose of the waiver? MR. WILSON: The purpose of the waiver is so that we don't have an order that has twenty pages of legal description of ten parcels of property attached. We can attach it, if you'd like.

THE COURT: I was just -- you're not going to have

Colloquy

1 any trouble with the clerk's office locally as far as being 2 able to transfer the properties? 3 MR. WILSON: I don't believe so, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then that's usually the 4 5 reason --MR. WILSON: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: -- that that requirement is there. 8 Does any party wish -- are you finished, Mr. Wilson? 9 MR. WILSON: Yes. 10 THE COURT: I didn't mean to interrupt you. 11 MR. WILSON: No, it's all right. THE COURT: Okay. Does any party wish to be heard in 12 connection with the authorization of the debtor to perform the 13 14 obligation under the second amendment as set forth on the 15 record? 16 All right. That motion is granted as well as the 17 waiver. 18 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. And we'll turn the podium over to the creditors' committee. 19 20 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 21 MR. FLECK: For the record, Your Honor, Evan Fleck of 22 Milbank Tweed, on behalf of the official committee of 23 unsecured creditors. 24 The next matter on the agenda is an uncontested one.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

It's the creditors' committee's application to retain

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Innovative Compensation and Benefits Concepts, LLC as its compensation consultant for purposes of evaluating and formulating the committee's position with respect to the debtors' key proposal that's before the Court today.

Your Honor, this is really about sequencing. At the time that the matters needed to be filed for hearing today, the committee was still involved in negotiations regarding the KEIP and modifications regarding performance measurement periods, performance goals, targeted payouts and the like, and the services of Innovative were very important and I would say critical to helping the committee get to a position. the position is that we're not objecting today with respect to the modified proposal. So we did go ahead, in consultation with the debtors and with the Office of the United States Trustee, file this application to retain a consultant; that was filed back on January 14th.

Your Honor, what we've done, since the filing of the motion and the proposed order, is to really cabin the relief appropriately, given where the committee is with respect to the motion. We obviously have no further need at this time, in fact, we expect no further need during the duration of these cases for a compensation consultant as this is the only KEIP program and we're not objecting.

So what we've done is to modify the order, for the Court's review, to cabin it for the actual amount that was

Colloquy

1 expended, or at least up to a cap of 10,000 dollars, for Innovative's work, and it will not be a continuing retention; 2 3 it only applies for the period from December 28th through tomorrow, Your Honor, which would include this hearing, 4 5 because they are on the phone, to the extent the Court has any 6 questions of Innovative.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have reviewed the order -- let me just say for the record; we understand the fees are actually substantially lower than 10,000 dollars, but just to be safe so we don't have to come back to Your Honor, we've put in the order that there's a cap at 10,000 dollars. And that was part of our discussions with the debtors.

Your Honor, I have a proposed order, a redline version, reflecting those changes. I'm happy to hand it up to the Court, or we can submit a version. The changes are effectively what I said, to cabin the relief in terms of dates and dollar amounts and the extent of the services that are provided. And --

THE COURT: I don't think I need to see the redlined order, given the fact that it's less than what you had originally applied for. So that is not critical. understand it as you've set forth on the record.

MR. FLECK: Very well. Thank you, Your Honor. so with that, we would ask the Court to please approve the retention, nunc pro tunc back to the original date, which is

December 28th, when they started their work for the committee.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the application of the committee for the retention of Innovative?

All right, there being no objection, that'll be approved. All right.

MR. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. TERRY: Good morning, Your Honor. Roy Terry of Sands Anderson, appearing on behalf of the committee, with regard to the second motion for extension of the challenge period that was identified in the original DIP order.

There has been an agreement in principle, and now an agreement on specific wording in an order which we will be submitting to the Court for its consideration, providing for this second extension. It provides, really, for an extension in two parts. The first is a general extension until February 15. And then if, by February 15, notice has been given by the committee with respect to certain claims that will be challenged, with reasonable identification of those claims and the assets effected, then there will be a further automatic extension until March 1 to permit time for the preparation and filing of the appropriate papers with regard to any such challenge.

The purpose of all of this is, of course, to identify

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

unencumbered assets in the estate. The committee would still retain the right to seek further extension for cause. But we do believe that the extension period, with its two parts, is reasonable, and with the continued assistance of the debtors in providing needed information, we believe that we can get this job done.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the committee's motion for an extension of the challenge period?

All right. That'll be granted. Thank you.

MR. TERRY: Thank you.

MS. TAVENNER: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. TAVENNER: Lynn Tavenner of the law firm of Tavenner & Beran, proposed counsel for the official committee of retired employees.

Item 26 on the docket today, Your Honor, is the retiree committee's information sharing protocol motion. Honor, after we filed the motion, we did receive informal comments from the debtors with respect to the proposed order that had been tendered.

The proposed order, Your Honor, in candor, was patterned after an order that this Court has entered in another coal case. We determined, however, Your Honor, that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it might not be the best use of estate resources to enter into a lengthy negotiation over an 1102 protocol motion, and suggested to Mr. Wilson, on behalf of the debtors, that we agree to a 1102 order that Your Honor had already entered in connection with the unsecured creditors' 1102 motion in this case. And that is what will be tendered to Your Honor, Your Honor. I wanted to let you know that it is different from what was attached to the motion, but it has been vetted with the debtors, and we received no other objection. We'd 10 respectfully request enter of the order as presented.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Tavenner.

Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the committee of retired employee's motion for information sharing procedures and protocols motion?

All right. That'll be granted. Thank you.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeremy Williams of Kutak Rock on behalf of Wilmington Trust as indenture trustee for the second lien notes.

Your Honor, the last item on the uncontested agenda today is our motion for a standing order authorizing telephonic appearances.

Your Honor, we filed this motion seeking a standing order which would allow Stroock & Stroock to appear in these matters by telephone. Your Honor, traditionally we've been

Colloquy

10

9

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

filing a motion for each hearing. Stroock and the Wilmington Trust is in the unique position of being the indenture trustee for the second lien notes, which are also represented -- a good portion of them are represented by the ad hoc committee for the second lien noteholders. But there will be a need for them to appear and monitor the proceedings on occasion, but not in every instance, but we want to have the ability do that. So rather than file a motion at each hearing, we're seeking a standing order.

In addition, Your Honor, the debtors are paying the expenses of the Wilmington Trust and their professionals in these proceedings, so it's in the best interest of the debtors' estate to not have to incur the expenses each time in connection with filing a motion for a telephonic appearance.

Your Honor, there is some precedent for these standing orders. An order was entered in James River Coal of a similar stature. So we'd ask Your Honor -- we've received no objections; we would ask that you approve the motion for a standing order authorizing the telephonic appearance of Stroock & Stroock.

THE COURT: That's the problem when you enter an order in a prior case and all of a sudden that somehow becomes precedential going forward.

But let me ask this question. By authorizing this, is Stroock seeking ability to be able to participate by phone based on this or just to listen in on the hearings?

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, to participate by phone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: How do I know in advance whether or not I'm going to have participation? MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, we can certainly advise

when necessary. Certainly if there is a contested matter --

chambers in advance. If there's a contested matter and they think there's going to be significant argument, they will appear for those hearings. This is more for those instances which something may arise immediately prior to the hearing or during the course of the hearing that would need them to weigh in on behalf of the second lien notes.

Your Honor, if the Court is looking for advance notice, we can certainly provide that in connection with each hearing. That would still help us achieve the goal of reducing expenses for the debtors' estate in connection with preparing that motion.

THE COURT: My concern is just being able to be prepared for the hearings and to know who's going to be speaking and what the issues are because, as you know, I like to look at everything ahead of time so that at least I'm up to speed on what's going to be presented and at least to the extent that counsel are that are presenting it to me. So it's helpful to know in advance. Obviously I don't want to weigh down the estate unnecessarily with additional expenses if we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

can avoid that. That was my only concern. So I would like to know in advance, if you'd let chambers know, if there's going to be an appearance. And I suppose, in the extreme situation where we don't know until suddenly something happens, we can take that on a one-off basis.

Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the motion?

All right. Now, if I approve -- I'm not done quite If I approve this, what is that going to do as far as the flood of motions I'm going to get from everybody else in this case that's going to want to do exactly the same thing, and how am I going to be able to know, without my chambers -- well, I mean -- who's going to be participating and not participating and the like?

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I don't think there will be a flood of motions. In James River Coal there was not a flood of motions. I think most parties whose expenses are being paid by the estate are going to be present at these hearings in person, and certainly for matters that we think and know ahead of time they're going to be contested we'll be here in person as well. Local counsel Kutak will always be here, Your Honor, and Stroock & Stroock, when necessary, will appear. But I don't think there are any other parties in this case that are in this unique position that the Wilmington Trust is in and that Stroock is in, in connection with the

1 representation. 2 THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I'm going to approve your motion 4 5 then. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. We'll submit 6 7 an order. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 MR. BLACK: Your Honor, it's Carl Black, Jones Day, 10 on behalf of the debtors. 11 THE COURT: You're doing the heavy lifting today. MR. BLACK: It looks that way, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. BLACK: I'll be sharing the weight with Mr. Hamilton, but yes. 15 16 The first item on the contested matter agenda was the 17 motion of the debtors to seal appendix 2, the declaration of Robert Romanchek. The U.S. Trustee had objected to that 18 19 motion. We reached a resolution with the U.S. Trustee of that

objection. And as an exhibit to our reply that we filed with the Court, we have a revised exhibit that identifies the key participants, by number, but has all of the requisite salary target and other information that was otherwise included in the sealed exhibit.

20

21

22

23

24

25

A number of the exhibits that would be presented

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

today, or may be presented today, have pages in them that have the information that was originally included in the Romanchek declaration and we've been working with the parties to -- working out -- trying to work out a process so that we can make sure that those exhibits in that format -- or those pages of those exhibits remain sealed, consistent with the agreement that we reached with the U.S. Trustee.

But that leads me to a larger issue or question, is we did file a motion last night -- two motions, actually. We asked for expedited relief and a ruling on a motion to seal certain of the exhibits that would be introduced in connection with the KEIP, and potentially asking the Court to close the courtroom for a portion of the testimony. We don't ask that lightly, Your Honor. Some of the exhibits, though, go into future projections of the debtors' business. It has pricing, it has expectations, it has assumptions regarding the business, and it is commercially sensitive commercial information.

So we've had discussions with the objectors about sequencing this proceeding in such a fashion that we can deal with the witnesses and exhibits that do not raise those issues or raise them in a more manageable fashion at the beginning. And then once we start to tip over into what's going to be really dipping into what I'll call not just confidential but material nonpublic information that we would ask the Court to

THE COURT: If it's worked out, that's wonderful.

had extensive discussions with counsel for the --

24

25

THE COURT. I dust want to know the prose

MR. HAMILTON: -- UMW and the funds.

THE COURT: I just want to know the proce -- I didn't see the motions last night, and I apologize; I saw them this morning. And so I could use all the help I can get.

MR. HAMILTON: Sure. Here's what we're going to do. First, I want to state at the outset, to underscore what Mr. Black said, the debtors understand that it is important to conduct as much of this hearing in the open courtroom as possible so that everyone who has an interest in it can hear it. And some of the things that we're going to be proposing on how to proceed are to achieve that goal.

As you know, Your Honor, what we have here is a dispute over metrics in the KEIP. And you can divide those disputes into basically two categories. The first category is whether the metrics are appropriate. And the arguments have been made that they may not be appropriate, by the people who are objecting, either because the metrics are too subjective, and therefore subject to manipulation, or they incentivize the wrong behavior. Everybody would acknowledge that we're supposed to incentivize maximization of the estate, but I believe the objectors have argued that these metrics are actually incentivizing behavior that would benefit some creditors at the expense of others and that are not in the benefit of the estate. And then under this category is also the idea that the metrics are not consistent with metrics in

Colloguy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

other cases, or other comparable companies, which kind of circles back to whether they're appropriate or whether they're subject to manipulation. And I think we all agree that all of the arguments and all of the evidence with respect to that issue, as to whether the metrics are appropriate, can be done in open court.

As Your Honor knows, there's another aspect to litigation over KEIPs, which is whether or not the thresholds and targets under the metrics are what are vernacularly referred to as layups or uncontested layups or stretches. And while in this case it may be that the issue is is it a twofoot layup or is it from the three-point range, or maybe it's a three-foot layup with Ralph Sampson in between the guy shooting the ball and trying to put it in the hoop.

In either event, the evidence on the specifics of whether a particular metric is an uncontested layup or a difficult shot, that requires us to get into what Mr. Black has called as material nonpublic information that we really do need to do in the closed courtroom. And the documents that may be shown to the witnesses or introduced into evidence that deal with that also need to be filed under seal. And what we don't want to do is have a situation where we're asking people to leave the courtroom, come back in, and leave the courtroom and come back in, because logistically that just doesn't -- it's a nightmare.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

THE COURT: That was my question.

MR. HAMILTON: It's a nightmare. So we've worked out this deal, but I need to make sure that everybody's on board with it because the debtors have a burden of proof. And so what we're going to do is this. We have two live witnesses, and one witness who's just by declaration and by deposition. We are going to submit our first witness, Mr. Hassey, by declaration and deposition. There is an exhibit -- the confidential exhibit that has all the people's -- management's name and salary information is an exhibit to that deposition. So what we are to suggest is that we submit the deposition under seal. It also talks about some nonmaterial information in that transcript. And I think everybody is on board with that.

Our first live witness will be Mr. Romanchek, from Meridian, and he will be testifying almost entirely upon the first issue about whether the metrics are appropriate or not. And that can all be done in open court, both the direct and the cross.

And then our final witness is going to be Kevin Carmody of McKinsey, and he's more on the issue of is this an uncontested layup or a difficult shot. We are going to do a very brief direct with Mr. Carmody, in open court, and then there is a portion of the cross of Mr. Carmody that counsel for the funds wants to do in open court so all the union

Colloguy

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

members can be here to hear that cross. Then his cross is going to go to the confidential information on nonmaterial public information, nonpublic information that goes to whether it's a stretch or a layup, mostly on the liquidity metric because that involves cash forecast into the future.

I am going to reserve my direct of Mr. Carmody on that issue as a redirect in response to counsel for the funds! cross on that issue. And I'm doing that, assuming no one's going to make some motion for directed verdict, so that I'm waiting to present my case till after he does his cross on that so that we only have to clear the courtroom once. And I believe counsel for the funds and for the union are on board with that procedure.

And then I think we may be able to do the closings in the open courtroom; people can come back in after you've heard the confidential information, and people can describe that information without revealing what it was in closing, so that we don't have to close the courtroom for the closings, because I think it's important that the union members be able to be present for the closings.

THE COURT: All right. That was my concern exactly. All right. Thank you very much.

Now, does anybody object to that procedure for handling this hearing going forward?

All right. Then that's acceptable to the Court.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22 24

25

All right. Thank you for working all of that out. know that that's not difficult -- I mean, is difficult.

MR. BLACK: Your Honor, I guess one last thing, just for process-wise, and this really goes to what the Court's preference is -- I want to do just a brief discussion about -- we actually have an uncontested portion of this motion that I'd like to talk about first and then how you would prefer the parties to proceed: opening statements from everyone, the debtors -- you know, or opening statements from the debtor, then the parties do their cross and then they have closing. I'm just trying to get a feel for what is the Court's preference.

THE COURT: Well, as long as the -- what I'm very interested in is obviously the witnesses. That's what I want to hear. I'm going to let opening statements be made by all of the parties --

MR. BLACK: Okay.

THE COURT: -- so they can put their positions on the record. But I would like those to be brief, knowing that I've read your materials.

MR. BLACK: Okay. All right, thank you, Your Honor.

On January 14th, the debtors filed an amended version of the proposed KEIP order that incorporated certain changes requested by the official committee of unsecured creditors. And as Mr. Fleck indicated, as a result of those changes, the

creditors' committee is not objecting to the KEIP motion. In addition, since we filed that amended order, we've also had some additional discussions with the retiree committee, and as a result of those discussions we are going to make certain modifications to the order. Primarily, we're going to be adding the retiree committee as a review party, the same as the creditors' committee.

And we're also going to add language to make it clear, to the motion, which was never our intent in the first place, but to make it clear that any savings achieved, by virtue of the benefits termination motion or that was sought in the benefits termination motion will not count toward the achievement of liquidity or the APEP metrics. It was not part of the -- from the debtors' perspective, this was not something that was being counted, but in any event, we'll make it clear.

We've also -- you saw, probably, in the reply, that it was never our intention, as well, to have any savings from the 1113/1114 process generally incorporated or be a part of those metrics. And we will add language to the KEIP order to that effect that will essentially say that if there is any savings from 1113 or 1114, it will effectively count towards neither the liquidity metric or any APEP metric. It essentially is neutral as far as the achievement of those goals are concerned.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that leaves us with the objections from the U.S. Trustee, the funds, the UMWA funds and the UMWA. I would also be remiss if I didn't note that the motion is supported by the steering committee of the first lien lenders and also by our second lien lenders, and we haven't heard any -- and I believe also by the DIP, but I don't have the proxy to make that statement, but they've not raised any issues; the DIP agent has not.

So that's where the KEIP currently stands. thing I'll note, though, is that the objections -- none of the objections related to the AIB component of the motion. As the Court may recall, when we filed the case, the Court approved, as part of the employee benefits motion, the continuation and payments under the 2015 AIB for all of the debtors' employees other than what we call the executive insiders. This motion, the KEIP motion, as we call it, sought to basically allow those participants -- they were always participants in the program, but to permit them to receive payments, to the extent that they are earned in accordance with the plan.

Without getting too far into the 2015 AIB, the only objection that we had had to that continuation was from the retiree committee, and as I noted before, the retiree committee objection has been resolved. I'm happy to give the Court a further description of the 2015 AIB, but the Court has heard it before, and it is essentially an uncontested piece of

1 the KEIP motion.

THE COURT: I don't need to hear the uncontested --

MR. BLACK: Okay.

THE COURT: -- portion of this unless you feel the need that you need to put it on the record.

MR. BLACK: No. Your Honor, the only thing we will put on the record -- it was part of an agreement with the U.S. Trustee -- Mr. Romanchek, we filed a supplemental declaration. There's two or three items in there that we agreed with the U.S. Trustee we would have live; he would basically say the same thing that he said in his declaration live. So that would be the only testimony.

THE COURT: All right. That'll be fine.

MR. BLACK: Thank you, Your Honor. All right. Well, with that, I think I'd like to just move on to the KEIP.

Pursuant to the KEIP, the debtors are seeking approval of a key employee incentive plan for fifteen employees. Originally we were seeking it for seventeen employees but two have since departed the company.

The KEIP, as described in the amended order, has four metrics. There's a cost-savings metric, which is thirty percent. It's tied to the Alpha performance enhancement plan and is based on annualized savings realized through executed initiatives. These are essentially things that have been agreements or other actions that have been taken and that will

give rise to those savings. We have a liquidity component, which is approximately fifty-five percent, which, as agreed to with the creditors' committee, is based on adjusted ending book cash of June 30th. We have a safety component, which is seven-and-a-half percent, and an environmental component, which is seven-and-a-half percent. Both the safety and environmental just -- and just a note in passing, that combined fifteen percent is essentially the same percentage as was in the 2015 AIB.

The achievements of these metrics will pay different amounts depending upon whether threshold, target, or maximum goals are reached. At target, the 100 percent payout would be 6.8 million; at threshold, 3.4 million; and at maximum, 11.9 million. If threshold is not reached for any particular metric, there will be no payout on that metric.

The satisfaction of these metrics will be measured as of June 30th, with seventy-five percent of the payout to be made within thirty days based on the achievement of the goals thereunder; twenty-five percent of the bonus will be withheld, and if a Chapter 11 plan is not confirmed by year end, that twenty-five percent is forfeited. If prior to June 30th a plan is confirmed, or more than fifty percent of the company, as measured by revenue or EBITDA, is sold, the KEIP would pay out at target.

The calculation of any payout under the KEIP will be

presented to the creditors' committee, the retiree committee, and the DIP agent, who will have five business days to object. So there is essentially -- there is a mechanism for the parties to, more or less, check the debtors' math before the payments are made.

As Mr. Heiman alluded to in his update, this is a challenging time for the company, and the KEIP participants have been and are continuing to be asked to take on significantly increased responsibilities, both due to the bankruptcy and departure of other employees. For example, our current general counsel is also our chief procurement officer. And when our former general counsel left, he went ahead and picked up those duties as well. So we have a number of folks that are wearing additional hats.

These stresses are being imposed on these folks while they're all working to find ways to reduce the company's cash burn, enhance the value of the company's assets for the benefit of all stakeholders. And when you come at it from this direction, or one way, at least the way I think about this is, this company has spent the last several years looking for savings, cutting costs, finding ways to keep the company a viable enterprise. And on a monthly basis, they're basically being asked to go up the hill again. Management is being asked, pretty much continuously, is you need to make -- you need to find ways to make the assets that we have more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

attractive, in a sense, because, as Your Honor knows, part of a plan, or whether it's a transaction or a plan, is selling. You have to sell to the creditors that you have something that is viable. And part of making that viable is enhancing the value of those assets.

So the major pressure that's being brought to bear, especially on the folks that are the KEIP participants, is how do you get there. And they've come up with many ways and many solutions and savings metrics over the years, but every time you get there, as Mr. Heiman alluded to, pricing continues to decline and the line moves again, and then you're sort of back to the drawing board as against this backdrop: what do we need to do?

So it's important to note that these metrics, the metrics that are in the KEIP plan, recognize the current reality that the company finds itself in, that obtaining savings and enhancing the value of the company's assets is critical to the survival of any portion of this company, that cash on hand is incredibly valuable because it's one of the few assets of the debtors for which the value is clear -- cash is cash -- and against which different creditor constituencies have claims, such that preservation of that cash enures to the benefit of all. And cash is essentially a finite resource that the secured creditors, the DIP lenders, and others are not going to allow the company to burn indefinitely. And as

Colloguy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you heard from Mr. Fleck, on behalf of the creditors' committee, there is a desire for things to move faster. is a lot of money being spent, and all of the creditors want to find a way to stem that flow. But nevertheless, the metrics still have a continued focus on safety and environmental compliance. It's critical that these savings that are being achieved and are being sought to not impact the safety and environmental obligations of the company.

And lastly, these metrics reflect that the path forward in these cases, it remains unclear. I mean, we are working with our constituents; we've been working with them. Is this -- this is hopefully a reorganization. Is there a sell component to it? Is it both? Those are still open questions, so part of the challenge here and part of what this KEIP reflects is trying to find metrics that apply in a variety of circumstances but still incentivize the management participants to get to those -- to hit those metrics and enure to the benefit of all stakeholders.

What these metrics do not incentivize, contrary to the assertions in the objections, is closing down profitable mines or laying off workers. No one can dispute that the demand for coal in the United States has shrunk drastically over the last few years, that not just pricing has went down, but as Mr. Heiman has alluded to, demand has went down. one was anticipating oil under thirty dollars a barrel, or if you were, you're making a fortune right now. But these are

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

not drivers for the KEIP. The decision of whether to close a mine, to idle a

mine, that's driven by: does that make sense in maximizing value for creditors? When you have demand that has reduced the way it has, the idling and closure of mines is not an optional decision; it is almost a foregone conclusion. If you have mines that are not making money, that the company is losing money running every day, it may be necessary to idle them or close them, depending upon the math.

The debtors do -- as I indicated before, the debtors do recognize the concerns raised in the objections, that there was some perception that the relief that may be obtained under 1113 or 1114 enures to the benefit of the participants through these metrics. And we -- as I indicated before, we're going to make changes to the order to make that perfectly clear is not the case.

The evidence that has been presented through the declarations, depositions, and will be presented through testimony today, will demonstrate that the KEIP was developed by the debtors' advisors, working with management, and approved by an independent compensation committee; that the KEIP is necessary to preserve value of the estate; that the KEIP is not primarily retentive, and is a reasonable exercise of the debtors' business judgment; that the total dollars at

issue in the KEIP and the metrics used are not outside the range of comparables; and that ultimately the KEIP should be approved.

Thank you, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. WILLETT: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. WILLETT: Sabin Willett of Morgan Lewis. represent the UMW funds, one of the objectors.

I don't think it's going to be terribly useful for you for me to lay out a comprehensive opening statement before you've heard the evidence, but what I thought might be useful, because some of these financial facts are complex, is if I give you four buckets in which to place the evidence as you hear it: genesis, scope, metrics, difficulty. Everything you hear is going to fall into one of those categories.

The first, genesis: where did this KEIP that you're being asked to approve come from? The debtor had a compensation consultant, but he didn't write this KEIP; he wrote a very different one. And I think what you're going to hear is that this KEIP, the one you're being asked to approve, is essentially the creature of its chief beneficiary. genesis.

The second area is scope, the sheer size. Mr. Black mentioned they can get it as much as almost 12 million

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

dollars; it's about 11.9 if they hit all these numbers. And that amounts to a very large sum of money in a case like this. For example, the evidence will show that the most highly compensated employee of this company got an incentive bonus in 2012, an incentive bonus in 2013, another one in '14. If you add them all together, it's about 2.28 million dollars. can do 3.2 million dollars in one year in this plan. Genesis and scope.

The third area that you're going to hear a lot about is metrics. What are these metrics? There are four, and we disagree only about two. We agree that safety and environmental are the sorts of things that people should be incentivized to meet, not necessarily at these numbers. those are only fifteen percent of the plan. The biggest nut in this plan is this cash balance snapshot on June 30th; that's fifty-five percent. Nobody cares what the cash balance is a week before or a week after, and we'll be looking at what drives that balance.

The second large area of the plan is this cost-saving program, and Your Honor, with the expedited discovery, I regret, that's the one area that remains a little bit murky, at least for us. But we're going to try to discover: what exactly are these costs that they contemplate making, the cost savings, I should say, and why is an incentive necessary?

So genesis, scope, metrics, and the fourth is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

difficulty. As I think Mr. Hamilton laid it out pretty nicely there, that's the area where we have to look at some of the material nonpublic information. I don't think it'll be a long pert of the examinations.

I wanted to make one last point too. I think when an advocate as distinguished as Mr. Heiman speaks to the Court and talks about travesties and objectors not being in the real world, there is some force to those remarks. There is a color that hangs over the courtroom. I can tell you that the mine workers are in a world that's very real. It may not be the same one as the executives. And it's important to remember in a case like this -- we've been reminded this morning -- that this bankruptcy is a zero-sum game: twelve million dollars that isn't available because it's in a KEIP is not going to pay a creditor claim, it's not going to pay a Coal Act premium, and it's not going to send a coal miner to work for another day. So we think we're in a very real world. And the good news is there is no emotion that needs to be brought to bear here. We have a zero-sum dispute. The Bankruptcy Code gives us rules. We're going to put evidence you and show you that this plan is not within the rules.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Hugh Bernstein on behalf of the United States Trustee.

8 9

11

12

13

10

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Mr. Willett really laid out, I think, the substance of the objection. I just want to hit on two points, because I think our objection really does cover two points. The first is that giving 12 million dollars to the highest paid executive of the company, a company that is losing money, that lost 1.4 billion dollars last year, a company that is terminating the benefits of the nonunion retirees to save 3 million dollars, but giving 12 million dollars, or almost 12 million dollars to the highest paid execs is not justified in the facts and circumstances of this case.

I think the other issue is, again: what are we incentivizing? And Mr. Willett did discuss that a good bit. But I think the problem is if you look at -- I think he raised a very good point about how the AIB, what we looked at under that, compared to the KEIP. The KEIP is much more significant than the AIB ever was. And the biggest part of the AIB was always profitability; that was forty percent of the AIB. What you'll see is under the KEIP it's zero percent. The biggest percent, as Mr. Willett really pointed out, is how much cash the company has on one random day next year or later this year. And I think all of that, when it's combined, you'll see that this is just not justified, under the facts and circumstances of the case, as is expressly required by Section 503(c). And that's really the substance of our objection, Your Honor. Thank you.

Colloquy

1 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bernstein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEVINE: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. LEVINE: Sharon Levin, Lowenstein Sandler, with our cocounsel, Troy Savenko.

Your Honor, very briefly, we're not unmindful of how hard everybody's working here, and we're actually appreciative of it because we'd like to see a successful reorganization ourselves. But on behalf of the United Mine Workers of America, the active employees and the retired employees, one of the things that we keep hearing is that there need to be cuts and there needs to be shared sacrifice, and at the very time that they're asking for those cuts and that shared sacrifice from the most vulnerable and disenfranchised group, they're taking for themselves twelve million dollars in cash to do it. And they're doing it at a point in time where, according to the DIP milestones and the PSA milestones, we're getting ready to gear up for what are going to be very difficult labor negotiations. And to sit across the table from somebody who's asking you for substantial serious cuts in healthcare and other benefits, and knowing that they've just gotten an award of twelve million dollars, makes that process that much more difficult.

And we respectfully submit that in addition to that, this particular KEIP, which by the way they didn't use as a

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comp Patriot I; they didn't use as a comp Patriot II, and they didn't use as a comp Walter, is not tied to getting a specific response in this particular case.

And the other thing that we keep asking for in these KEIPs, if we're going to have to have them, is that it actually be tied, as a benchmark, not just to particular given interests but to all interests: job preservation, some kind of health care, and those kinds of very important things, Your Honor, which are not part of what's happening here.

So we had a KEIP, for example, in Walter, that was not tied to job preservation for the particular creditors or the miners who worked in those mines. So now we have -- almost 800 miners have lost their jobs, and at the mine that is remaining open, there are -- substantial amount of the work that was previously performed by miners is now being performed by supervisors who are actually KERP participants. So miners lost their jobs, management employees took over a lot of those jobs, and they did it with a bonus.

And we would just respectfully submit that Your Honor should take very, very close look at the relief that seems to be becoming more and more of an entitlement in these cases as opposed to the higher standard under 503 that Congress expressly enacted in a response to the entitlement that was happening under the prior KERPs. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right.

```
Colloguy
```

MR. HAMILTON: Good morning, Your Honor. I assume 2 you want to go straight forward now and not take a break? THE COURT: Unless you want to take a break, I'm 4 fine. MR. HAMILTON: I'm ready to go. THE COURT: All right, good. MR. HAMILTON: All right. As I indicated when we 8 were talking about logistics, our first witness is by declaration. It is the chairman of the compensation committee 9 10 of Alpha, Mr. Patrick Hassey. He submitted a declaration; it 11 is Exhibit B to our motion. You should have it, I think, in front of you. I would move that declaration into evidence. 12 13 He was subject to deposition, and by agreement of the parties, 14 in lieu of live cross-examination, I believe the parties have agreed that we will submit his deposition under seal as to 15

THE COURT: Does any party --

MR. HAMILTON: And I have the deposition here.

THE COURT: Any party object?

MR. WILLETT: There's no objection.

MS. LEVINE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

serve as that cross-examination.

MR. HAMILTON: May I approach, Your Honor?

24 THE COURT: You may.

1

3

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you, sir. From a procedural standpoint, do we 25

1 want to mark this as an exhibit to this hearing? MR. HAMILTON: We can do that as Debtors' Exhibit 1, 2 3 Your Honor, but we need to have it under seal. THE COURT: It's going to be under seal --4 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. 5 THE COURT: -- I understand that. 6 7 MR. HAMILTON: Let's mark it as -- that's fine; we can mark -- this will be, I believe, the only debtors' exhibit 8 9 that we're going to mark today. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MR. HAMILTON: I believe the funds have, like, fourteen exhibits or something to that effect. 12 13 MR. WILLETT: We do; I have an exhibit list, Your 14 Honor, for when we get our turn. 15 THE COURT: All right. Very good. MR. HAMILTON: All right. 16 17 THE COURT: So this will be then marked as the Debtors' Exhibit 1 under seal. 18 (Declaration of Patrick Hassey was hereby marked for 19 20 identification and received into evidence under seal as 21 Debtors' Exhibit 1, as of this date.) 22 MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor. And then with that, I'd just make sure the record's clear that the Hassey 23 declaration is now admitted into evidence as --24 25 THE COURT: Yes.

Robert Romanchek - Direct

1	MR. HAMILTON: Okay. Then our next witness, Your
2	Honor, is Mr. Robert Romanchek from Meridian. I'd like to
3	call him to the stand.
4	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Romanchek, please come
5	forward and be sworn.
6	(Witness sworn)
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. HAMILTON:
9	Q. Good morning, Mr. Romanchek. Can you tell the Court who
10	you are?
11	A. Good morning. Robert Romanchek, Meridian Compensation
12	Partners.
13	Q. And can you describe for the Court when you first became
14	involved with Alpha?
15	A. Yes, right. So approximately August 2012, the firm that I
16	work for, Meridian Compensation Partners, actually was the
17	executive compensation practice from Hewitt Associates.
18	Approximately six years ago, due to the Dodd-Frank
19	independence requirements, much like the tax accounting firms
20	went through, there were about thirty of us, mostly partners,
21	actually purchased the exec comp consulting business from
22	Hewitt, so stayed intact, all our clients, et cetera, and
23	continued on. So it's about six years now that Meridian has
24	been in operation, and for the most part, we are engaged by

25 the board of the compensation committee, in particular, as

- their exec comp consultants. Executive compensation

 consulting is the only thing we do by these Dodd-Frank

 independence requirements; that's on purpose. So we will not

 and cannot really go beyond that. So it's exclusive exec comp
 - Q. Okay. And in connection with the work that you have been doing from Alpha before the bankruptcy was filed, were you involved in what has been referred to as the annual incentive bonus program?
- 10 A. Yes, on an annual basis.

consulting.

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you describe for the Court what that program was and what your involvement was in that?
 - A. Um-hum. So the day we got involved, in August 2012, the company had an annual incentive bonus plan for executive populations in place. It had been using that type of plan, my understanding, for the last ten or eleven years. So upon our involvement, there was not a deep redesign of that program. There was a review, and every year we would go through a process mostly of determining whether the weighting of the particular goals under that program were still probative of the facts and circumstances at that time. So it's an annual plan, so on an annual basis you do adjust it appropriately to those facts and circumstances.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, do you have docket number

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

difficulty going forward, and I do have now the declaration in

25

1 my hand.

MR. HEIMAN: Your Honor, may I have a brief moment to interrupt the trial --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HEIMAN: -- to correct the record to the extent it was an error in my presentation. And this arises because I've already been shown an article on one of the media sources about what I said about the milestone of January 22nd.

So I want to make it clear. I'm pretty sure I stated it accurately, but the article says that I said we will not meet the milestone tomorrow.

And thank you. I appreciate your --

THE COURT: My notes reflect the opposite.

MR. HEIMAN: Yes. Exactly. It was quite the opposite. But I just wanted to make sure that the record is clear that we are not going to be in default tomorrow and that -- I think the language I used is that the January 22nd milestone will continue to hover over us as we proceed over the next few weeks.

And that is true. The first lien lenders have retained their right, if not satisfied with our conduct, to declare a default, but we feel quite confident at this juncture that's not going to happen.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for that clarification.

1	MR. HEIMAN: Thank you. Sorry for the interruption.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
4	to give him a copy of the supplemental declaration?
5	THE COURT: You may.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	RESUMED DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. HAMILTON:
9	Q. Mr. Romanchek, can you tell the Court what this document
10	is?
11	A. Yeah. This is a statement that describes the 2015 AIB
12	program as it was implemented at the beginning of the calendar
13	year 2015 and has remained without change in place.
14	Q. Did you review this declaration before you signed it to
15	make sure that everything in it was true and accurate to the
16	best of your belief?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I would move into evidence
19	the supplemental declaration of Mr. Romanchek.
20	THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: No objection, Your Honor.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, Your Honor. That's
23	Debtors' Exhibit 2?
24	THE COURT: It's going to be marked as Debtors'
25	Exhibit

1 MR. HAMILTON: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- number 2, contrary to the earlier 2 3 representation there would be no exhibits. MR. HAMILTON: Well, I'm going to introduce the 4 declarations into evidence, Your Honor, so --5 THE COURT: I understand. 6 7 MR. HAMILTON: Okay. 8 THE COURT: But no, I think for purposes of our 9 record we need to be able to have this, and so that's why I 10 like to do that. 11 MR. HAMILTON: Okay. THE COURT: So it's going to be marked as Exhibit 12 13 number 2. And this, obviously, is not under seal. 14 (Mr. Romanchek's supplemental declaration was hereby marked for identification as Debtors' Exhibit 2, as of this date.) 15 16 MR. HAMILTON: All right. 17 BY MR. HAMILTON: 18 Q. And, Mr. Romanchek, paragraph 6 of that declaration, does that accurately describe the historical program, the AIB 19 20 program that you described for the Court today? 21 A. Yes, it does. Q. All right. And paragraph 7, that refers to something that 22 occurred on February 25th of last year. Is that right? Can 23 24 you -- is that right? 25 A. Yeah. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Can you describe for the Court what happened then?
- 2 A. Yeah. That compensation committee, one of the
- 3 representatives of Meridian -- not myself, I had a
- 4 conflict -- but a representative who also, with me, attends
- 5 every compensation committee meeting, was at that meeting.
- 6 And during that session, which is a typical time during the
- 7 annual cycle, the compensation committee then approved this
- 8 annual bonus plan as outlined on page 3 here, the remaining
- 9 | Section 7 of the document, which included the executive
- 10 population. So it is the 2015 AIB program.
- 11 Q. And that paragraph describes the six factors that are
- 12 baked into the AIB program. Is that correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And were those factors consistent with the AIB program in
- 15 prior years?
- 16 A. The weightings were somewhat different, but, yes, it was
- 17 very consistent.
- 18 Q. All right. And then subsequently, in paragraph 8, you
- 19 describe -- you state at the end that the debtors have not
- 20 modified what was approved by the compensation committee for
- 21 the AIB since it was approved on February 25th. Is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's correct. It stands as approved.
- 24 Q. All right. And then I believe your declaration ends
- 25 saying that the cost -- the total cost, if all the bonuses had

- been achieved to the debtors by the executive managers, would
 have been 3.2 million dollars. Is that right?

 Um-hum. If all the metrics would have been achieved,
- Q. But based on what has actually happened in 2015, what is your expectation as to what the bonuses would be if they're approved by the Court today?
 - A. Yeah. They're going to be less than that amount. I would say more to what happened the prior three years, where not all the goals were achieved, so a previous mention of a comparison of prior AIB -- again, you have to take in consideration the level of actual achievement of each of the goals if you're going to compare prior years. In those prior years the targets were not met on all the goals, so less than complete target amounts were paid.
 - Q. All right. At this time, Mr. Romanchek, I'd ask to -MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'm going to go to his
 declaration that is attached as Exhibit C to our motion on the
 KEIP, which is docket 1038 at page 51, which is --

THE COURT: All right.

correct.

- MR. HAMILTON: -- original declaration in support of the KEIP motion. And may I approach the witness, Your Honor?

 THE COURT: You may.
- Q. Mr. Romanchek, can you tell the Court what this declaration is?

1 So this is the declaration a little bit ago, based on a plan that was submitted to the compensation committee for 2 3 approval, which was a KEIP, a 2016 KEIP for the executive 4 population. 5 And did you authorize the debtors to submit this declaration on your behalf in support of that motion? 6 7 A. Yes, I did. 8 Q. And before you made that authorization, did you review all of the statements that are attributed to you in that 9 10 declaration to be sure that they're all true and accurate to 11 the best of your belief? A. Yes, I did. 12 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I would introduce into 13 14 evidence the Romanchek declaration attached as Exhibit C to 15 our KEIP motion 16 THE COURT: All right. I've got it right here. Now, 17 the problem is I don't have a copy that I can hand and have 18 marked, so we're going to have to do that at a break. 19 MR. HAMILTON: Okay. 20 THE COURT: But this will be Exhibit C. 21 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. It's Exhibit C to the motion. 22 It would be Debtors' Exhibit 3. 23 THE COURT: I got it right here.

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

THE COURT: And that's going to be marked as Exhibit

MR. HAMILTON: Okay.

24

1	3. Does any party have an objection? All right. So that is
2	admitted.
3	(Mr. Romanchek's declaration was hereby marked for
4	identification and received into evidence as Debtors' Exhibit
5	3, as of this date.)
6	MR. HAMILTON: And, Your Honor, with the admission of
7	that declaration I just want to cover just two points and then
8	turn it over to cross to counsel for the Funds.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. HAMILTON: So that we can streamline things.
11	BY MR. HAMILTON:
12	Q. Mr. Romanchek, that declaration describes what your
L3	initial task was in terms of coming up with some sort of
14	information for the compensation committee for a KEIP. Can
15	you describe for the Court what you understood your task to
L6	be, and what you did to accomplish that task for the
17	compensation committee?
18	A. Right. Certainly. So in designing a KEIP, where do you
L9	start? There's got to be a starting point. You won't just,
20	out of thin air, say here's your KEIP.
21	So with the direction of the compensation committee we

So with the direction of the compensation committee, we conducted significant research on other bankrupt companies and what they do with their KEIPs. In particular, we went to the records, the bankruptcy court records, the public filings, and looked back, and we're looking for organizations that had some

22

23

24

- relevance. So not too many years in the past. Companies that have at least 500 million of assets at the date of filing. So some of the items like Walter would fall out of that, because they were much smaller.
- Companies that are either coal -- they weren't that many.

 It seems like there's a lot of coal companies that filed.

 There weren't that many to have statistical validity. So we went beyond coal companies. Industrial, more complex industrial organizations to com -- to create a peer set.
- Our first pass at that created -- or we discovered fortyfour companies that were deemed relevant.
- Q. And let me ask you. About that forty-four, included in that forty-four was the first Patriot Coal case. Is that
- 14 right?

1

2

3

- 15 A. Yes. Now from 2012. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. All right.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Thanks. Go ahead. And then --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- what did you do with that initial set of forty-four
- 21 potential comps?
- 22 A. Right. So then, to make it more appropriate and not have
- 23 forty-four different variations, we got that down to twenty
- 24 organizations.
- 25 Q. How did you get it down to twenty?

- A. Again, industry, revenue size, appropriateness, but also then we wanted -- we were looking for organizations that had KEIPs to know what really companies did, how they designed KEIPs.
 - And that was really the directive. What's the design initially? You know, what's the length of time, the measurement period, the goals, et cetera, as a preliminary starting point then to be able to take that and customize it.
- 9 So --

5

6

7

- Q. What did you do with that group that you whittled down to twenty, did you say?
- 12 A. Yeah. There was twenty organizations.
- 13 Q. What'd you do with that?
- 14 A. Yeah. And to your point, Patriot -- the 2012 Patriot
- 15 filing was there. Later filings were not. In 2015 they
- 16 filed. We did our research over the summer. That information
- 17 was not yet available regardless. Again, it may have fallen
- 18 below our threshold. But if you add it to each -- my
- 19 understanding is they had five insiders. The median of our
- 20 insiders was 5.5. So adding one data point would not change
- 21 the median of any of the data.
- 22 Q. All right. What's the --
- 23 A. So that really is not relevant. So --
- 24 Q. What did you do with the twenty --
- 25 A. So --

Q. -- that you did collect?

literally read the narrative.

A. Right. Sorry. So then we did significant research. We literally went to the filings, read through all the motions, first day orders, subsequent motions. It's not like an annual proxy statement where everything is consistent by statute where things are. So it took a lot of work to go in and

As you know, some of these motions are many pages long to look for the information on KEIPs. And we did that, and for these twenty organizations we did find relevant significant information. That information we summarized in detail, line by line, company by company, created a outline or a summary of that information appropriate for the compensation committee.

So we summarized the data by each of the design provisions, number one, and then number two, we created a preliminary outline, or we call the specification that if you're going to translate that data into a starting point for a KEIP, what would that look like?

So basically, that did not take into consideration, necessarily, facts and circumstances specific to the organization. Rather, it was based on the data and what was common out of that data set.

- Q. Have you sometimes characterized that initial summary specification as what you call a straw man?
- 25 A. That's exactly the way we refer to it. It was a straw man

- 1 design, again, to get the design work going.
- 2 Q. That straw man design, what did that reflect? How did you
- 3 create it?
- 4 A. Basically, we looked at the data set and summarized that.
- 5 So in most cases the most prevalent practice by each of the
- 6 design provisions is what was provided and then outlined.
- 7 Q. Okay. And that straw man design that reflected the
- 8 summary of the twenty comps that you looked at, was that your
- 9 recommendation of what Alpha should do for their KEIP?
- 10 A. No. No. It was --
- 11 | Q. It was not?
- 12 A. It was a starting point for that design process. And so
- 13 now very importantly, that needed to be internalized.
- 14 Q. Did that straw man starting design reflect anything about
- 15 any of the particulars of Alpha?
- 16 A. There were some minor considerations. For example, safe
- 17 and environmental. That is a very common provision that was
- 18 in the prior AIB. And so that was reflected in that design as
- 19 well, but the prevalence data would have told you to include
- 20 that. So --
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. -- there was some -- some cognitive thinking there of, for
- 23 example, including that.
- 24 Q. And then after you provided this summary of the data that
- 25 you had collected from those twenty --

A.	Right.
----	--------

- Q. -- and the straw man design to the compensation committee, what did Meridian do?
- A. We -- we, kind of, took a back seat at that point, and
 then finance of the organization and its strategic consultant,
 along with the members of the comp committee, took it to the
 next step, all the details. So Meridian is an exec comp
 design consultant. We're not a financial consultant.

So to really get and understand which of the goals -- and if you look at the data, the design data, there's a list of twenty-five different goals that these organizations utilize. Seven, in particular, were of the financial nature. Looking at those seven, there's combinations that the organizations have used.

For example, EBITDA. EBITDA ended up not being in the final prop here. Almost half -- nine of the twenty organizations -- don't use EBITDA. So you could include it, yet if you don't, it doesn't mean you're out of line with that data.

So if you look at the data points, what happened in the next step that we were not involved in was to specifically look at --

MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, I object. If he was not involved, he has no knowledge what the next step was.

MR. HAMILTON: Fair enough.

- 1 MR. WILLETT: It's hearsay.
- 2 MR. HAMILTON: That's fine.

internally for their situation.

- 3 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. Mr. Romanchek, when did you become involved again? After you provided the straw man design and then left it to the company and its strategic advisors to --
- 7 A. Yeah.

15

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. -- to make it specific to Alpha, when did you become involved again?
- A. Yeah. So on the KEIP issue, I think it was two days
 before the next compensation committee meeting. I don't have
 on the top of my head what day that was, but our plan was
 being proposed by management with the strategic consultant
 that took the straw man and developed that and customized that
- Q. And then what did you do with that? Did you take that
 plan and then provide information about it to the compensation
 committee?
 - A. At the compensation committee meeting where I attended, that was a specific agenda item. The plan was provided to the comp committee members. Members of the strategic consultant and management were there along with us, so the program was discussed, you know, provision by provision, and then the program was, I believe, approved to move forward conceptually.
- 25 Q. At any time during this process did you manipulate or

1	change your set of comparable companies in light of what the
2	metrics were that were being developed by management in
3	consultation with their strategic advisor?
4	A. No, and I don't know that that would have been
5	appropriate.
6	MR. HAMILTON: I have no further questions for this
7	witness, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Any cross-examination for
9	this witness?
10	Mr. Willett?
11	MR. WILLETT: Yes, Your Honor. I have some just a
12	trace of housekeeping. We have an exhibit binder. If I can
13	have a minute to get it up?
14	THE COURT: You may.
15	MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, my colleagues have a copy
16	of this. If I can approach to offer one to the Court and one
17	to the witness as well?
18	THE COURT: All right. You can hand it to the court
19	security officer.
20	MR. WILLETT: And we'll have originals of these
21	exhibits to be marked in evidence subject to the sealing rules
22	once we make some progress here, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. WILLETT:

- 2 A. Yes. Good afternoon.
- 3 Q. How long have you been a compensation advisor?
- 4 A. Thirty years.
- 5 Q. And that's all you do, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. You are an expert on executive compensation.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And one of the things that you study is how to incentivize
- 10 executives to make their companies better.
- 11 A. Generally speaking, correct.
- 12 Q. Do you recognize Kevin Carmody in the courtroom?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. Can you point him out to the Court?
- 15 A. He's the attractive gentleman with the glasses there.
- 16 Q. Fourth from the left-hand side of the room.
- 17 When was the first time that you met Mr. Carmody?
- 18 A. It was -- well, I'm going to have to caveat that, because
- 19 he and I actually worked at Price Waterhouse thirty years ago,
- 20 so absent that or any potential interactions --
- 21 MR. WILLETT: Let me strike the question.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. In connection with the Alpha --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- work that you've done, when was the first time that you

- 1 met Mr. Carmody?
- 2 A. It was just prior to that, the compensation committee
- 3 meeting that I referred to just moments ago.
- 4 Q. And how soon in time was the compensation committee
- 5 meeting before you signed an affidavit?
- 6 A. I mean, the affidavit pertaining to the -- that --
- 7 Q. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 8 A. -- this KEIP? About a handful of days. I don't remember
- 9 exactly. It was a condensed process clearly.
- 10 0. Late November of 2015?
- 11 A. In that time frame.
- 12 Q. Now, prior to your presentation of what you described
- 13 earlier as the straw man proposal --
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. You had not spoken to Mr. Carmody. Right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. You hadn't provided him drafts of a KEIP?
- 18 A. Not directly.
- 19 Q. You hadn't asked him any advice about how a KEIP should be
- 20 structured.
- 21 A. No, and that's -- that was not the directive.
- 22 Q. Okay. Could you take a look at Exhibit 4?
- MR. WILLETT: This is UMW Funds, Your Honor, Exhibit
- 24 | 4 in the binder.
- 25 Q. Do you have it, Mr. Romanchek?

- 1 A. I do have it.
- 2 Q. Is this the document you described earlier as the straw
- 3 man?
- 4 A. No, it's not.
- 5 Q. Is it a part of the straw man?
- 6 A. It looks to be, kind of, an appendix to the straw man.
- 7 And matter of fact, if you look at the document page 3, top
- 8 right, you'll see Appendix A.
- 9 Q. Well, let's do it this way.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Exhibit 4 is a memo that you sent to Mr. Banbury on
- 12 October 29th. Right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 \parallel Q. And Mr. Banbury is the human resource -- the PR -- I'm
- 15 sorry. What is his title?
- 16 A. Yeah, he's the head of human resources, but I believe now
- 17 he's probably got about eight other jobs as well.
- 18 Q. So he's the person that you worked with most directly in
- 19 management. Is that fair to say?
- 20 A. In management, yes.
- 21 Q. So you sent this memo to him on October 29th, and attached
- 22 to it, starting at page 3, are a set of preliminary KEIP
- 23 specifications. Correct?
- 24 A. Well, again, you're missing the main document. This is an
- 25 appendix. There are pages in between here that are the straw

- 1 man plan. So this you're -- you've got only the appendix to
- 2 that. But the appendix, I guess, we can work off, because
- 3 this is more the detail, then, that leads into the summary
- 4 straw man plan, so there -- there are consistencies with that
- 5 for your purpose.
- 6 Q. Well, I can make a representation to you, Mr. Romanchek,
- 7 this is a document that was produced by the debtor. And if
- 8 you look at the Bates number -- the first Bates number ends in
- 9 661. Do you see that?
- 10 A. Um-hum.
- 11 Q. And then it goes 662, and then this Appendix A, which
- 12 appears at page 3, that's Bates 663 and following, right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. So this Appendix A was attached to the memo that you sent
- 15 to Mr. Banbury --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. -- filing.
- 18 A. Yes. Correct.
- 19 Q. Now, if we go to the first paragraph of this memo on
- 20 October 29th, there's nothing in here about a straw man. The
- 21 words don't appear.
- 22 A. That's because you're missing the main document.
- 23 Q. Well, can you explain to me why the Bates number is
- 24 sequential here?
- 25 A. Yeah. I'm guessing that there were -- there were

- typographical changes made to the Appendix, and so that part only was resent, so you don't have the document that actually has the straw man plan in it.
- Q. Well, would you agree with me that Attachment A, beginning at Bates 663, to Exhibit 4, that's something Meridian put together?
- 7 A. Yes. Yes. This is the appendix to the straw man plan.
- Q. And in the cover memo, the first two pages, we don't see
 any reference to straw man there, do we?
- 10 A. Because it's in the pages that are missing. All right?
- 11 Q. In fact, what you wrote was:
- "At the request of Alpha Natural Resources Meridian has developed preliminary specifications for a key employee incentive plan that is intended to meet the requirements of Section 503(c)(3)."
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Right?
- 18 A. Correct. The preliminary specifications, right.
- 19 Q. It's a full plan, isn't it?
- 20 A. Oh, but it's a -- no, it is a preliminary outline, and
- 21 when we say intended to meet the Code, meaning it's not a
- 22 retention program. Everything here is based on achieving pre-
- 23 established goals.
- 24 Q. Let's look at the attachment, which was in Mr. Banbury's
- 25 file and has Meridian's name on it. It lists all the

- 1 participants, right?
- 2 A. At that point in time, yes.
- 3 Q. It lists two performance periods, two six-month
- 4 performance periods, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. It has measures and weights.
- 7 A. Um-hum.
- 8 Q. That is metrics we've called in this courtroom, right?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. It talks about what happens if the employee leaves before
- 11 the plan is complete, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. It talks about KEIP costs, the total cost of the program.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. It's all here, isn't it?
- 16 A. This is a summary of the data set we put together, so the
- 17 | starting point, again, as they mention upfront, you don't just
- 18 have a KEIP done out of midair. You have to start someplace.
- 19 So the director (sic) for the committee was put this data
- 20 | together. Out of that, what would a plan potentially look
- 21 | like as a starting point? And that's why it says preliminary
- 22 specifications. It doesn't say final design document. So
- 23 this is based on the data that we put together.
- So, and yeah, you're right it goes provision by provision,
- 25 so that the company then, provision by provision, can

- 1 internalize that.
- 2 Q. Is there any element of the KEIP that was later submitted
- 3 to the Court, any piece of it -- the names of the employees,
- 4 the amounts of money, the metrics, anything like that -- that
- 5 isn't treated in your attachment?
- 6 A. Well, things changed.
- 7 Q. Tell me if there's anything that's not there that would
- 8 have to be part of a KEIP.
- 9 A. You know, I would have to study this and an actual KEIP to
- 10 legally implement it. I'm sure there's some data point items
- 11 that are missing that we wouldn't summarize. But again, this
- 12 represents, for the most part, the points of research that we
- 13 conducted on the twenty-company peer group.
- 14 Q. And there's no reference in this Exhibit 4 to any of the
- 15 peer group companies, right?
- 16 A. No, that's not true. This represents that. And, again,
- 17 | if you had the missing pages that would be clear. And even if
- 18 you look -- let me see. I don't -- not sure what all you have
- 19 here.
- 20 Yeah. This is basically -- that's where this data came
- 21 from. So this is a preliminary first-pass outline, based on
- 22 that data set.
- 23 Q. Which contains all of the necessary elements of a KEIP.
- 24 A. I guess that's a subjective determination.
- 25 Q. But let's compare what's in your memo of October 29th with

- 1 what actually ended up in the KEIP. Okay?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. So would you look for a moment at Exhibit 6?
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 6?
- 6 A. Yes. This is a one-page summary of the current, right
- 7 now, proposed KEIP metrics. This doesn't relate -- yeah.
- 8 Q. So in the actual KEIP fifty-five percent of the target is
- 9 governed by the cash snapshot in -- and now it's evolved to
- 10 June 30th of 2016. Right?
- 11 A. Right. As referred to as liquidity here.
- 12 Q. That doesn't appear in your October 29th memo.
- 13 A. No. And I think that it was expected that this
- 14 preliminary summary of the data would not be verbatim what
- 15 would be exactly appropriate for the committee prior to their
- 16 financial analysis to be the final plan.
- 17 Q. Let's see what else is not here. The KEIP plan -- I'm
- 18 sorry. Your memo, Exhibit 4 --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. The major component, the major metric, is EBITDAR. Right?
- 21 A. Yeah. Right. Right.
- 22 Q. Sixty percent.
- 23 A. Right. Our being restructuring, that's the our -- yes.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. And EBITDAR is a zero percent in the actual plan.
- 2 A. Correct. Right.
- 3 Q. The original plan that you had conceived, looking now at
- 4 page 3 of Exhibit 4, contemplated only seven managers, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And then it says:
- 7 "Additional positions were added on October 2, 2015".
- 8 Right?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. But your original proposal was seven.
- 11 A. Yeah. And I think the explanation there --
- 12 Q. We'll come to the explanation.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Right now I'm trying to get on the table the differences
- 15 between your October 29th memo and what is actually being
- 16 proposed to the Court. Do you see any other differences
- between the actual plan and what you had suggested?
- 18 A. I'm sure there are a number of differences. I guess I'm
- 19 going to have to take time to study that, but I would expect
- 20 there to be differences, again, because the original outline
- 21 was the data set.
- 22 Q. You had proposed --
- 23 A. The final is customized, based on the situation of the
- 24 company.
- 25 Q. You had proposed --

- 1 A. You got a gap --
- 2 Q. -- two six-month periods, right?
- 3 A. When you say proposed, that was the most common practice
- 4 of the data set.
- 5 Q. Did your memo contain two six-month periods?
- 6 A. The initial memo? Yes.
- 7 Q. A month later, when the KEIP was filed, it was two three-
- 8 month periods, right?
- 9 A. Correct. And that changed again to the final.
- 10 Q. The CEO maximum target opportunity in the filed KEIP was
- 11 175 percent of base. Right?
- 12 A. I believe that's correct.
- 13 Q. You had proposed 150 percent.
- 14 A. Um-hum. Okay.
- 15 Q. And let's see if we can unpack what that means. You were
- 16 proposing, at 150 percent, that if the company hit the target,
- 17 whatever it was, the manager would receive 150 percent of his
- 18 salary. Is that right?
- 19 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object at
- 20 this point. The witness repeatedly said -- we're quibbling
- 21 over the word proposed. The witness has said we presented a
- 22 straw man plan design. Counsel asked him did you propose it.
- 23 He said no. And now he keeps asking him questions saying you
- 24 proposed, you proposed.
- 25 MR. WILLETT: I'll rephrase, Your Honor. I'll

1 rephrase.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: And, actually, you'd be surprised. I can actually figure that kind of thing out.

MR. HAMILTON: I hear you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMILTON: And I just --

THE COURT: But I understand.

MR. HAMILTON: I just didn't think it was --

THE COURT: I would have risen to protect my witness

10 too.

11 All right. You will rephrase.

MR. WILLETT: I will rephrase.

- 13 Q. Mr. Romanchek, in your Exhibit 4 it says two times six
- 14 months. Right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. In the plan that was filed it's two times three months.
- 17 A. Right. And in the final it was a six-month period.
- 18 Q. Well, that's after negotiation.
- 19 A. Right. Yeah.
- 20 Q. That's not what they filed originally with the Court.
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. In your plan, the percentage of target opportunity for
- 23 the -- sorry. In Exhibit 4, the percentage of target
- 24 opportunity for the highest paid employee was 150 percent.
- 25 Right?

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. And the version that was filed with the Court is 175
- 3 percent. Right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Okay. So let's understand how these multipliers work for
- 6 a second to make sure I get it -- I'm not sure I do -- at
- 7 Exhibit 6.
- So if you look at Exhibit 6 -- we'll just take cost savings as an example. If they hit the target, then they get
- 10 one hundred percent of this element, right?
- 11 A. On this piece. Correct.
- 12 Q. But the 100 percent is, in fact, 175 percent of the base
- 13 salary.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. So if they hit the target in the case of the highest paid
- 16 employee, he would receive almost twice his base salary for
- 17 | hitting the target, right?
- 18 A. Right. And that's how all KEIPs are designed.
- 19 Q. And if they hit the maximum, he gets 175 of 175 percent.
- 20 Right?
- 21 A. Again, that's how KEIPs are designed. Correct.
- 22 Q. So it's more than three times --
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. -- the base salary. Is that right?
- 25 A. Right. So there's a minimum, a target, and a maximum, and

Robert Romanchek - Cross

1	they've got to really perform they hit the maximum
2	goals they get that higher payout. I think, if you're in
3	general discussion, the target, I believe, is a 6.8 million
4	dollar number for all the executives now in that program. So
5	if they come in and hit the target expected amount they would
6	get the target payment. Right. If they perform higher, they
7	get the maximum. They perform lower, they get the threshold
8	or nothing.

- Q. Now, Mr. Romanchek, after you sent this memo on October 29th, you didn't hear from the company anymore for a while, did you?
- 12 A. Oh, I was -- I was on standby for a couple of weeks while 13 they were then doing the financial aspect of this program, 14 which, again, being the exec comp design consultant, that's not our expertise, so I would not expect to be involved in the 16 internal gyrations of determining the level of the financial goals.
- Q. I'm glad you mentioned that. Would you go back to the 18 19 first page of Exhibit 4?
- 20 A. Okay.

9

10

11

15

- 21 Q. Did you write these words?
- 22 "We considered the following information and data when 23 designing these specifications, ANR's current circumstances."
- 24 Was that true?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. So you were thinking about their current financial situation when you put together the attachments.
- A. You just added words that are not there. We considered their circumstance, meaning they filed bankruptcy. Right.
- 5 Q. So you weren't considering their financial situation?
- A. How could I? I'm not a financial expert. That was not our role. Our role was to bring preliminary data to the table as a starting point for them and to internalize, and an important part of the internalization is to drill down in the financial goals with the financial experts to establish those goals.
- 12 Q. And let's read on. You wrote:
- "We considered the following information and data when designing these specifications."
- 15 The fourth bullet says:
- "ANR's 2015 forecasted P&L".
- 17 Right?
- 18 A. Which is included as the last page of your appendix.
- 19 0. Profit and loss. That's what that stands for.
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. So you were considering at least that aspect of their
- 22 financial condition, were you not, sir?
- 23 A. No. I -- this was a page provided by the company to drop
- 24 in to the analysis. So there is no analysis whatsoever done
- 25 on this particular page. You can see that it is a copy of a

- 1 page that they sent us.
- 2 Q. You wrote, "We considered the following information". Was
- 3 that true?
- 4 A. We considered it, so that we can take a number off of this
- 5 and drop it in. So we did not do any analysis of that at all.
- 6 Q. After you sent this plan in you were cut out of this
- 7 process until there was the KEIP that got filed to the Court.
- 8 Isn't that right?
- 9 A. It's a strong word. We were on standby waiting for the
- 10 next appropriate time for us to be involved.
- 11 Q. Nobody was talking to you. Right?
- 12 A. Not for a number of days. Correct.
- 13 Q. Nobody -- I think your words at deposition were that you
- 14 were kind of on the fringe. You remember that?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, if he's -- that's an
- 17 | inappropriate question to go to the deposition. If he wants
- 18 to ask a question here live, and then impeach him by saying he
- 19 | said something different at his deposition, that's
- 20 appropriate.
- 21 MR. WILLETT: Withdrawn.
- 22 Q. Were you on the fringe?
- 23 A. We were on standby.
- 24 Q. Were you on the fringe?
- 25 A. I would say we were on standby. Whether on the fringe or

- standby may be the same thing. We were waiting again for the appropriate time to get reengaged, because the part of the plan that was being designed is not what we are expert in.
- 4 Q. We'll come back to it.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 MR. WILLETT: Actually, Your Honor, let's do it while 7 we're here. May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 8 THE COURT: You may.
- 9 MR. WILLETT: Counsel, page 92.
- Q. If you could have a look at page 92 of the deposition transcript that you're being shown, sir.
- You were deposed last week. Is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And you were asked on page 92 about a memo dated
- 15 11/30/15. Right? You see that in the middle of the page?
- 16 A. I see that, yes.
- 17 Q. And did you give this answer, sir?
- "So going back, so we were, kind of, on the fringe of the negotiations of what was happening in designing of the final
- 20 KEIP that was proposed after our straw man program."
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. Did you say that?
- 23 A. Okay. Fringe is a fine word. On hold. I think they all
- 24 mean the same thing. I'm not sure what additional point
- 25 you're trying to emphasize here.

- 1 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Crutchfield, the CEO, met with
- 2 Mr. Carmody on November 3rd to discuss the KEIP?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Nobody invited you to such a meeting. Is that right?
- 5 A. Yeah. I met them. Per my recollection right now, no.
- 6 Q. Would you turn to Exhibit 7, please, sir?
- 7 A. In the first binder?
- 8 Q. Yes, sir.
- 9 A. In the first binder.
- 10 0. It's in the exhibit binder.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. This is a memo that you prepared with your colleagues for
- 13 Mr. Banbury -- or I should say portions of a memo that
- 14 contained a lot of information about comps, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And if you would just turn for a moment to the second
- 17 page, there's a paragraph near the bottom that begins, "Based
- 18 on the foregoing criteria". Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, you were discussing this in your direct examination.
- 21 You said you started with forty-four companies, and you
- 22 whittled it down to twenty for the peer group.
- 23 A. That's accurate. Yeah.
- 24 Q. All right. Seventeen of the companies that you did not
- 25 include --

Robert Romanchek - Cross

- 1 MR. WILLETT: Well, strike it out.
- 2 Q. And then attached -- once you had your twenty peer group
- 3 companies, you did lots of analysis of the averages and the
- 4 means and what the information that those peer groups had
- 5 developed about KEIP plans. Right?
- 6 A. That's summarized in the following pages.
- 7 Q. You provided a lot of information about different metrics
- 8 that were used in different plans.
- 9 A. What I provided is provided in the following pages.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, but that was only of the twenty, right?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Now, in this paragraph you tell us that there were
- 13 seventeen companies that either did not seek to or failed to
- 14 obtain Court approval for a KEIP. Right?
- 15 A. At that -- at that snapshot point in time, correct.
- 16 Q. And none of their data is included in your means or
- 17 averages, is there?
- 18 A. No, because that was not the directive. The question is
- 19 if you're going to put in a KEIP, what do companies do? So to
- 20 | include companies that don't have a KEIP would be nonsensical.
- 21 Q. So that was the directive. They only wanted you to
- 22 consider companies that had succeeded in obtaining a KEIP.
- 23 Right?
- 24 A. Right. It was if you put a KEIP in place, what do
- 25 companies do?

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. So, for example, in cases where courts had actually said
- 4 no to a KEIP, and therefore the KEIP award was zero, you did
- 5 not include that --
- 6 A. Well, that's correct.
- 7 Q. -- in your summary. Right?
- 8 A. But we didn't take that research any further, so two weeks
- 9 | later they may have put it in, or they may have had a modified
- 10 AIB, or something else. So I can't give you any opinion as to
- 11 what else happened. That was a snapshot in a point of time.
- 12 And absolutely accurate, that data is not included. That
- 13 really wasn't, again, the directive for what we are doing
- 14 here.
- 15 Q. It was a directive for management.
- 16 A. From the committee.
- 17 Q. And the seventeen companies that were excluded here, they
- 18 were part of your original identification of comps in your
- 19 forty-four. Right?
- 20 A. Yeah. So we had the entire universe of all bankrupt
- 21 companies, and starting someplace, you had to whittle that
- 22 down. Our first pass we got it to forty-four. Forty-four was
- 23 a big group. I mean, it's -- to do research on a KEIP on one
- 24 company, as you might appreciate, with all the motions, again,
- 25 it's not just in a particular place. So there's a lot of lay

- work required in doing that, and you don't need forty-four data points to understand what the typical would be.
- So we limited it to these twenty that we knew had KEIPs.

 4 Correct.
- Q. And eliminated seventeen that would have had zero for the amount of KEIP.
- A. But that would not have made sense, because we were
 summarizing what companies do that have KEIPs. They don't
 have KEIPs, so we didn't -- you know, why would we research
- Q. One thing that came up on direct exam was that you were asked about Patriot 2.
- 13 A. Yeah.

that?

10

18

- Q. You recall at the deposition you weren't aware of the facts of the Patriot 2 KEIP, right?
- 16 A. Well, I do know that there was a Patriot included in our
 17 research from 2012. Evidently they filed bankruptcy more than
- 19 0. Right.

once.

- A. So at the point of time we did our research, that's the situation that we were able to obtain data. And, again, we were looking at those companies that had KEIPs, what they did.
- 23 Q. Now --
- A. So that was a very good example. It was a coal industry, and it was relatively recent. So --

- 1 Q. You mentioned earlier that it's difficult to do this
- 2 research. Right?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. But Patriot 2, you only have to walk down the hall to do
- 5 the research, right?
- 6 A. I don't understand your question.
- 7 Q. The case is pending before Judge Phillips in this
- 8 building.
- 9 A. No, but this -- I'm not in this building every day.
- 10 Sorry.
- 11 Q. And they have a public docket, right?
- 12 A. So we did this research in August.
- 13 Q. Can you answer my questions? They have a public docket in
- 14 that case, don't they?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. And there was a KEIP that was approved by Judge Phillips
- 17 | for five management personnel, right?
- 18 A. So when was that approved?
- 19 Q. Well, I'm asking you whether you know.
- 20 A. I don't know, and because it was after the date we did our
- 21 research.
- 22 Q. Well, if I represent to you, sir, that there was a motion
- 23 filed in July, 2015 in Patriot 2, did you look at that motion?
- 24 A. No. That was not discovered when we did our research,
- 25 number one, or, number two, the asset size fell below our

- 1 parameters.
- 2 Q. Are you aware of the target amount in the Patriot 2 KEIP,
- 3 sir?
- 4 A. I am not. No.
- 5 Q. If I suggested 1.75 million, is that correct?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- 7 | Q. Would you turn -- remaining in Exhibit 7, move on two
- 8 pages to the Bates numbered page 1776. And tell me when you
- 9 have that.
- 10 The Bates numbers are at the bottom right-hand corner, and
- 11 there's one that ends in --
- 12 A. Got it.
- 13 Q. -- 1776.
- 14 A. I have it.
- 15 Q. Do you have it?
- 16 A. Yup.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, here is where you've listed all different
- 18 kinds of metrics that you found in your research, right?
- 19 A. Of the twenty companies, correct.
- 20 Q. Which of those twenty companies had a KEIP that paid
- 21 somebody based on what the cash balance was on a specific
- 22 date?
- 23 A. I don't know which had any of these. I would have to go
- 24 back to the base research to answer that question.
- 25 Q. Did any have a provision in which an executive was paid

- 1 based on the cash balance on a specific day?
- 2 A. I think you have to look at the definition of each one of
- 3 these. So we've got EBITDA, cash flow, which I would equate
- 4 to liquidity. As far as that particular item, I guess I don't
- 5 see that here.
- 6 Q. Okay. So based on what you know today sitting at the
- 7 stand today --
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. You can't identify for the Court any comp that had a
- 10 metric based on a specific cash balance on a specific day.
- 11 A. Not out of these twenty. It's not in the schedule.
- 12 Q. Do you know of any case where that's ever happened?
- 13 A. No, but I don't follow big cases regularly.
- 14 Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 8? Exhibit 8 -- and I shall
- 15 represent to you this is a page that I believe we took out of
- 16 your materials on that October 29th memo, one of many pages
- 17 | like this that you had prepared. Do you recognize it?
- 18 A. Give me just a minute to figure out what this is.
- 19 Yeah. Okay. It apparently is a page of our research.
- 20 Q. Now, let's just look for a moment at the last comp,
- 21 Visteon Corporation.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Do you know what was going on in that bankruptcy?
- 24 A. Not specifically, no.
- 25 Q. Do you know anything about it?

- A. No, but we did research on it. So all these companies,
 I'm not directly involved to the extent where I can recite
 what's happening in any of them, so no.
- Q. Isn't it true, sir, that Visteon was a case where there
 was an oversubscribed rights offering? Creditors were vying
 with each other to buy the equity of the reorganized company.
- 7 A. I think you're telling the Court that. I have no 8 knowledge of that situation. Sorry.
- 9 Q. Tronox. Do you know anything about what was going on in 10 Tronox?
- A. I can't answer facts on any of these companies. I'm not involved specifically with those. These were involved doing research out of the motions. Period. So I'm not going to be able to answer details about the practices or what their factual situation is. No.
- Q. So you don't know which of these twenty was, in fact, a wildly successful reorganization.
- A. No, and that was not the directive. Again, this was research on what -- what design parameters are in KEIPs that exist.
- Q. Now, I could only find two coal companies in this list of twenty, Patriot -- that would be Patriot I -- and James River.

 Are there others that I simply don't recognize?
- A. No. You would think there'd be a lot more coal companies bankrupt, given the situation, but at this point in time, the

- 1 day we did our research, given our parameters and give what
- 2 was brought to disclose, those are the only ones we were able
- 3 to include.
- 4 Q. And there's an "Aggregate Target Payout" column. Next to
- 5 both James River and Patriot it says "ND". What does that
- 6 mean?
- 7 A. Not disclosed.
- 8 Q. So, in fact, there's no comparable data on this sheet from
- 9 a coal company. Is that right?
- 10 A. I would have to study this, but if that's your conclusion
- 11 of the amenities that would be -- that would be accurate.
- 12 Q. I should say no comparable data on the aggregate target
- 13 payout for a coal company. Is that fair?
- 14 A. Based on what's here, that's accurate.
- 15 Q. You did compare the ANR preliminary specs -- this was
- 16 under the exhibit for KEIP outline, 5.865 million. Right? Do
- 17 you see that at the bottom of the page?
- 18 A. I do not see that, but --
- 19 Q. All right. Let me back up and walk through this more
- 20 easily.
- 21 A. I see a --
- 22 Q. Go back, if you would, to Exhibit 4. This is your October
- 23 29th memo, right?
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. And if we turn to the page Bates numbered 665, you'll see

- 1 KEIP costs at target payout 5,865,200 dollars. Right?
- 2 A. Yes. Okay.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, if we jump back to Exhibit 8, your chart, your
- 4 ANR preliminary specs is the same number. Right?
- 5 A. Right. Okay.
- 6 Q. So what you're doing here is you're comparing that outline
- 7 with the target group, with the --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- with the peer group, I should say.
- 10 A. Right. The straw man plan with -- right.
- 11 Q. And what you determine is that the aggregate payout would
- 12 be .137 percent of pre-petition revenues at that 5.8 million
- 13 dollar total.
- 14 A. Right. Okay.
- 15 Q. But the median for the percentage is 0.088, even of your
- 16 target group. Right?
- 17 A. Okay. If you look at the average, however, it's higher
- 18 than that number, so looking purely at the median -- again,
- 19 this is all preliminary information that has not been
- 20 | tailored, but if you look at the average it's actually .145
- 21 percent, which is higher than the ANR specs.
- 22 Q. Right. And that's because one or two outlying cases can
- 23 skew an average, right?
- 24 A. Well, median as well. You've got -- you really have to
- 25 look at both of those numbers to get a practical number.

- 1 Let's understand what median -- a median is that point at
- 2 which there are as many items in the sample below it as there
- 3 are above.
- 4 A. Right. Right.
- 5 Q. Average takes account of the total dollars. And so if you
- have --6
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. -- one expensive KEIP, that would swing the average
- 9 higher.
- 10 A. Right. Or if you have one that is excessively lower it
- would make it go lower. 11
- Q. Same result. 12
- 13 A. So that's why you have to look at both the average and the
- 14 median to get a true picture.
- Q. Excessive lowness in KEIPs has not been a burning problem 15
- 16 in the courts, but maybe that was true in some of these cases.
- 17 MR. WILLETT: That's all I have. Thank you.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Any other party wish to cross-examine 19
- this witness? 20
- 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's our turn.
- MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. 22
- 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry.
- 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, again, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

- MR. BERNSTEIN: Hugh Bernstein on behalf of the United States Trustee. Don't be too fearful of loudness.
- 3 It's just my security blanket.
- 4 THE COURT: All right.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- 7 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Romanchek. My name is Hugh Bernstein.
- 8 I'm an attorney with the United States Trustee.
- 9 A. Good afternoon.
- 10 Q. You describe in your original declaration -- I think it
- 11 was Debtors' Exhibit 3 -- basically the outlines of the KEIP
- 12 as originally proposed. And I want to go through some of the
- 13 metrics that you describe in that.
- Paragraph 16, you described a metric called the value
- 15 enhancement plan. Are you familiar with that
- 16 A. Yes. Obviously. That really is the cost-savings goal.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. By any other name, yes.
- 19 Q. And so in order to meet that metric and get a bonus on
- 20 that, the company has to achieve some measure of cost savings.
- 21 Correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. So to meet the minimum threshold, a minimum, or the
- 24 threshold level, they'd have to save sixty-four million
- 25 dollars. Correct?

- 1 A. I believe that's correct.
- 2 Q. And to meet the target metric it would be seventy-five
- 3 million?
- 4 A. If you're reading it off the page, I don't have that here,
- 5 so --
- 6 Q. Okay. Well, why don't you turn to -- do you have Debtors'
- 7 Exhibit 3 in front of you?
- 8 A. I'm not sure.
- 9 THE COURT: I don't think we've printed that out.
- 10 A. I'll presume what you're reading is accurate.
- 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: I have copies. I can mark it as UST
- 12 Exhibit and --
- THE COURT: If you have copies we could do that and
- 14 mark it, or I can go get it printed out and mark it now.
- MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I guess I need to know
- 16 what document we're referring to, because it may contain
- 17 nonmaterial -- or material nonpublic information.
- MR. BERNSTEIN: The copy that I have is the part 1
- 19 that was filed with the Court, so the sealed document is not
- 20 actually available.
- MR. HAMILTON: Okay.
- MR. BERNSTEIN: And we could use that if that's
- 23 acceptable. I'll show it to debtors' counsel and --
- 24 THE COURT: I've got three documents that we've
- 25 marked.

Hassey deposition is 2.

1	M	R.F	BERNSTEI	「N∶	Т	see

MR. HAMILTON: The Romanchek supplemental declaration is 3. This would be Debtors' Exhibit 4. I think.

MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, I fear the record does not reflect that, at least at the moment. My notes say Hassey was 1. The February declaration of this witness was 2, and his more recent declaration was 3. And I thought it was offered, but --

MR. HAMILTON: Well, okay.

THE COURT: I thought it was offered too, because I've got number 1 is the deposition of Hassey. Number 2 was the supplemental declaration of Mr. Romanchek. And number 3 was the declaration which was attached.

MR. HAMILTON: Let's do it that way, Your Honor, then. That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. And now is there a fourth exhibit that needs to be offered?

MR. HAMILTON: Well, the Hassey declaration, which was attached to the motion, was also introduced into evidence, and you accepted it into evidence. And so that would need to be either -- if you want to mark it as an exhibit, that's fine.

THE COURT: I do want to mark it, so we don't have this problem going forward.

MR. HAMILTON: Right. So --

1	THE COURT: That's the reason.				
2	MR. HAMILTON: Yes. My notes are different than				
3	everybody else's, so let's just figure it out now.				
4	THE COURT: Okay.				
5	MR. HAMILTON: What I would				
6	THE COURT: So that's going to be number 4 then.				
7	MR. HAMILTON: Yes. Hassey declaration will be				
8	number 4, so this one would be Debtors' Exhibit 3.				
9	THE COURT: Okay.				
10	(L. Patrick Hassey's declaration was hereby marked for				
11	identification as Debtors' Exhibit 4, as of this date.)				
12	MR. HAMILTON: And there is the declaration itself				
13	of Mr. Romanchek is on the public record. The Appendix 2 to				
14	the declaration is under seal. But he does not have the				
15	Appendix 2 in this exhibit that he wants to ask the witness				
16	about.				
17	THE COURT: All right. So you wanted to ask the				
18	witness about what's now been marked as Exhibit 4.				
19	MR. HAMILTON: No, this is Exhibit 3.				
20	THE COURT: Okay. The Hassey declaration is Exhibit				
21	4.				
22	MR. HAMILTON: Yes.				
23	THE COURT: Okay.				
24	MR. HAMILTON: This is the Romanchek.				
25	THE COURT: Now we're going back to Exhibit 3, which				

- is the declaration. Okay. And you want to ask a question about that declaration.
- 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: I do, Your Honor. And if you would like -- if anybody wants copies, I could hand a copy up to you 4 if it's easier for you. I don't know who doesn't have copies 5 of Exhibit 3. 6
- 7 THE COURT: I've got it on my computer.
- 8 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
 - THE COURT: I think if you want to ask the witness about it, you ought to put a document in front of him so that he can see it.
- 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Certainly. May I approach the 13 witness, Your Honor?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes.
- 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

2

9

10

11

- 16 Q. All right. Mr. Romanchek, what you've just been handed, I
- 17 believe, is Debtors' Exhibit 3. And if you could turn to
- 18 paragraph 16?

savings?

- Do you see that's where you describe that value 19 20 enhancement plan, which you just really said was the cost
- A. Right. 22

21

- Q. And that particular paragraph carries over from page 6 to 23 24 page 7, so if you'd turn to page 7, on the fourth line down:
- 25 "with respect to the first performance period; and"

- 1 That's just, sort of, where it starts:
- 2 "and (b) seventy-five million on an annualized basis, with 3 respect to the second performance period."
- Is that the target incentive or the target cost savings that's necessary?
- 6 A. Evidently, yes, if it was in here.
- 7 Q. And if you could flip to page 10 of Exhibit 3. You see
- 8 there's a chart there?
- 9 A. All right.
- 10 Q. And the top row, again, shows that "Value Enhancement
- 11 Plan".
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. And do you see the maximum goal, maximum target of eighty-
- 14 two million of savings?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Okay. So I guess the thing that I'm having a little
- 17 trouble -- I don't quite understand -- is cost savings from
- 18 what? What are we measuring from?
- 19 A. Oh, I can't answer that question. I was not involved in
- 20 specifically identifying the definition of the financial
- 21 measures or the levels of those. That'll be the financial
- 22 expert that'll be able to explain that.
- 23 Q. Okay. So that would be Mr. Carmody?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. But it was your declaration that set these out.

- 1 | Correct?
- 2 A. For factual and fullness those are here, but my
- 3 declaration is to the design and the cost of the program as
- 4 far as the total target amount that's being paid out. Right.
- 5 Q. How about the numbers itself that we just read off, the
- 6 | eighty-two million, the seventy-five million? Did you have
- 7 anything to do with that?
- 8 A. No. I'm sorry, I did not.
- 9 Q. Do you know how those were arrived at?
- 10 A. No, I do not.
- 11 Q. According to your declaration, Exhibit 3, again, at
- 12 paragraph 16, you say that these cost savings have to be
- 13 recognized through "executed initiatives". I'm not entirely
- 14 sure what that means. What is an executed initiative?
- 15 A. Again, not being involved in establishing those, I can't
- 16 answer that question.
- 17 Q. Okay. But, again, it was your declaration under oath that
- 18 set that out.
- 19 A. There are details provided so that you can understand the
- 20 totality of the program, and I would categorize that as those
- 21 details.
- 22 Q. But you didn't understand the details.
- 23 A. I was not involved in setting those financial goals up,
- 24 no.
- 25 Q. Do you know if any of these executed initiatives have

- 1 already been executed or implemented?
- 2 A. I don't know anything about them. Sorry.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know if they're published somewhere that we
- 4 can take a look at?
- 5 A. I can't answer any questions pertaining to them. Sorry.
- 6 q. okay. the cost savings measure, or the value enhancement,
- 7 or I think the name is actually changed to something like the
- 8 Alpha performance enhancement plan, it's worth thirty percent
- 9 of the total --
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. -- bonus. Is that correct?
- 12 A. I believe that's correct.
- 13 Q. Do you know who determined that thirty percent was the
- 14 right value?
- 15 A. Again, the financial experts involved worked on the
- 16 weighting. You can see that change from the straw man design
- 17 that we presented based on the data set, so that was
- 18 internalized and measures changed by weightings changed. Yes
- 19 Q. Right. All right. Let me move on then to this liquidity
- 20 metric that you describe in paragraph 17 of Exhibit 3.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. All right. This requires Alpha to have a, and I'm quoting
- 23 now,
- "specified levels of adjusted ending book cash at the
- 25 conclusion of each performance period".

- 1 Now, we only had one performance period now. Is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yeah. That's correct. A six-month period. Yeah.
- 4 Q. And that ends on June 30, 2016, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. So in order to meet that metric, on June 30, 2016 Alpha
- 7 must have a certain amount of "adjusted ending book cash".
- 8 Correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. It doesn't matter what Alpha has on June 29, 2016,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. That's my understanding.
- 13 Q. It doesn't matter what it has on July 1, 2016, right?
- 14 A. Yeah. That, again, that's my understanding.
- 15 Q. So what is "adjusted ending book cash"? What's that mean?
- 16 A. You're going to have to ask that to the financial expert.
- 17 I was not involved in developing that financial measure.
- 18 Q. Have you ever looked at Mr. Carmody's declaration?
- 19 A. I have not.
- 20 Q. Are you familiar with how Alpha's performed as far as how
- 21 much cash it has had on hand, at given times during the
- 22 bankruptcy?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Have you ever seen the monthly operating reports that it
- 25 files?

- 1 A. No. I'm not privy to that information. And, again, our
- 2 role was to put together a data set from a design perspective
- 3 as a starting point.
- 4 Q. Sure.
- 5 A. Period.
- 6 Q. Let me ask you just real quickly about the other two
- 7 metrics. You may or may not know the answers.
- 8 The safety metric. It describes, and you talk about that
- 9 at paragraph 18. It says that Alpha has to have less than a
- 10 specified number of "non-fatal days lost". Correct?
- 11 A. That's what it says. Correct.
- 12 Q. Do you know what a non-fatal day lost is?
- 13 A. I could guess, but technically I don't.
- 14 Q. And the environmental metric requires that Alpha have a
- 15 certain ratio of "water quality exceedances".
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Do you know what that means?
- 18 A. Again, I've just common street knowledge but not for
- 19 purposes of this plan. Again, that's a pretty technical area
- 20 in establishing those goals.
- 21 Q. As part of your analysis you did look at the prior AIBs or
- 22 annual incentive bonus programs?
- 23 A. For other companies you mean?
- 24 Q. No. For Alpha.
- 25 A. Yeah. Yes. I'm familiar with them.

```
1
             MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm going to ask to have
 2
    two exhibits marked. Let me just grab those --
 3
             THE COURT: All right.
 4
             MR. BERNSTEIN: -- and hand them up.
             And one of these, I believe, is going to be one of
 5
    the documents that's going to be under the seal issue that we
 6
 7
    discussed, because it does have names.
 8
             THE COURT: Are you going to be asking him questions?
 9
             MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not going to ask any questions
10
    that disclose any of that, so none of that will come out in
11
    the written -- in the oral record.
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. BERNSTEIN: But the document itself.
13
14
             THE COURT: You want the document to be under seal.
15
             MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. BERNSTEIN: And so that will be the first of the
17
18
    two that I'm handing.
             THE COURT: All right.
19
20
             MR. BERNSTEIN: And let me give that to -- oh, that
21
    one was for the Court.
             So I would ask that those be marked as UST Exhibits 1
22
    and 2, respectively.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. So the first one will be marked
24
```

UST 1, and it's sealed.

- And the second one is going to be marked UST 2, and this is not a sealed document.
- Thank you.
- 4 (KEIP schedule was hereby marked for identification as UST's
- 5 Exhibit 1, under seal, as of this date.)
- 6 (Revised KEIP schedule was hereby marked for identification as
- 7 UST's Exhibit 2, as of this date.)
- 8 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- 9 Q. And Mr. Romanchek --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Let me take the two exhibits in a little bit reversed
- 12 order first. If you would look at UST Exhibit 2, do you
- 13 recognize that document?
- 14 A. Which one is that?
- 15 Q. Okay. That is the one that on the top is says "Revised
- 16 | KEIP Schedule".
- 17 A. The numbers are familiar to me, yes.
- 18 Q. Is this a version -- in your original declaration, did you
- 19 have a version of a chart that looked almost identical to this
- 20 but it had names other than --
- 21 A. It seems very familiar. Yes.
- 22 Q. If you would look at UST Exhibit 1, are you familiar with
- 23 that document?
- 24 A. This with all the numbers on it?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. Not specifically, but the information on the page I
- 2 recognize.
- 3 Q. Okay. So if we look at -- and I don't want to say any
- 4 names out loud, so let's -- if we looked at Exhibit 1, the
- 5 very top line or the first KEIP participant that's there.
- 6 A. Right. Got it.
- 7 Q. And we can see that the 2015 base salary for that person
- 8 was 1,000,045 dollars. You see that?
- 9 A. I see that.
- 10 Q. Okay. And if you compare that to Exhibit 2, you'll see
- 11 KEIP participant --
- MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'm going to have
- 13 to -- well, it's -- that's on the unsealed document as well,
- 14 so that's okay. All right.
- 15 THE COURT: All right.
- 16 Q. Okay. So if we look at line 1 of Exhibit 2, or UST
- 17 Exhibit 2, you see that KEIP participant 1 has a base salary
- 18 of that same number?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. You agree that we're talking about the same
- 21 individual here?
- 22 A. It would appear so.
- 23 Q. Okay. Sir, can we see that on Exhibit 1 the AIB target
- 24 percentage would have been 120 percent of that person's
- 25 salary?

- 1 A. Um-hum.
- 2 Q. But if we look at the revised KEIP schedule, which is
- 3 Exhibit 2 --
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. It's now 175 percent of that.
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. How did that change come in?
- 8 A. Yes. So I think your question is potentially making an
- 9 erroneous assumption that a KEIP, after a company files
- 10 bankruptcy, is identically designed as a bonus plan for
- 11 executives prior to bankruptcy.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. And not only is that not the case, I mean, that's why
- 14 everybody's putting in KEIPs and not just continue their bonus
- 15 plan.
- In particular, one important point that should be
- obviously, pre-bankruptcy executives pay has three components:
- 18 base, target base that you're pointing out here, and an annual
- 19 | long-term incentive. For top executives, that long-term
- 20 incentive, you could draw a pie, a circle, and, kind of,
- 21 component cut pies out. More than half that pie would be the
- 22 long-term incentive grant.
- So the cash comp, the base and the bonus, would be less
- 24 than half. And that's the -- this page.
- 25 After bankruptcy, the long-term incentive component is

- 1 gone. So you're left with cash base and target bonus, or KEIP
- 2 bonus. So the fact that the target on KEIP is somewhat higher
- 3 doesn't surprise me at all, because the total compensation
- 4 still is probably forty plus percent lower, because the
- 5 biggest piece is gone.
- 6 Q. Okay. If we take a look at the percentages -- you know,
- 7 understanding everything you just said. If we take a look at
- 8 the percentages on Exhibit 1 of the target percentage of the
- 9 2000 (sic) AIB that is the fifth column from the left, you see
- 10 they range from 40 percent to 120 percent. The lowest
- 11 employee would be 40 percent of the base, highest 120.
- 12 A. You said for 2000. You mean, like --
- 13 Q. 2015.
- 14 A. Yeah, okay. Yes, I see that.
- 15 Q. Okay. And same for 2014?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. And the same for 2013?
- 18 A. Right. Yeah, they are different than on the other
- 19 schedule. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. How did the prior AIBs factor into your development
- 21 of at least the straw man KEIP that you developed?
- 22 A. They really didn't. That wasn't the directive. Again,
- 23 the directive was companies in bankruptcy that have KEIPs, how
- 24 do they design them?
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. So it was really that data that -- the, kind of, the
- 2 outline, the straw man emanated out of that.
- 3 Q. And I just want to now, very quickly, before we finish up,
- 4 I want to run through Exhibit 2, which is your revised KEIP
- 5 schedule, or UST Exhibit 2.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. The very bottom line just has some totals. I want to make
- 8 sure that we understand what the totals are.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 0. So in the second column, that's the one that's titled
- 11 Base Salary, the very bottom, 5,000,721 dollars. That is
- 12 the combination or the total of all the KEIP participants,
- 13 current KEIP participants base salaries.
- 14 A. Right. Makes sense.
- 15 Q. They get that regardless of any KEIP bonuses. Correct?
- 16 A. Right. That's regular salary. Right.
- 17 Q. The threshold, if they meet those minimum thresholds, the
- 18 total is 3.4 million, roughly.
- 19 A. Right. So the KEIP, the KEIP --
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. -- offer that ends up being designed if they meet the
- 22 minimum goals, yes.
- 23 Q. And that would be added to the 5.7 --
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. -- base salary?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. If they meet the target opportunities, 6.8 million.
- 3 Correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 0. Which would be added to that 5.7.
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. And finally, if they meet the maximum in all the
- 8 categories, all the metrics, it's 11.9 million. Correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Again, added to the 5.7 they already get.
- 11 A. Correct.
- MR. BERNSTEIN: I have no further questions, Your
- 13 Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Bernstein.
- 15 MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, I neglected some
- 16 housekeeping. I'm sorry. We had exhibits to move in evidence
- 17 | at the close of my cross. If I could do that real quick
- 18 before Ms. Levine.
- 19 THE COURT: You may.
- 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: I think I may have failed to move in
- 21 Exhibits 1 and 2 as well.
- THE COURT: Your Exhibits 1 and 2 are admitted as UST
- 23 1 and 2.
- 24 KEIP schedule was hereby received into evidence as UST's
- 25 Exhibit 1, under seal, as of this date.)

127 Colloguy

(Revised KEIP schedule was hereby received into evidence as UST's Exhibit 2, as of this date.)

MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, the UMW Funds would like to move into evidence UMW Fund Exhibits 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. And I'll note for counsel Exhibit 3 is a document that contains some sealed information, but it's no longer necessary, based on other things in evidence. So if we could admit 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, and I'll have stickered copies of those for the Court.

THE COURT: So 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 as they appear in the book. Any objection to the admissibility of any of those?

MR. WILLETT: And 5, Your Honor, as well.

THE COURT: And 5.

MR. WILLETT: Thank you.

MR. HAMILTON: No objection, Your Honor.

MR. WILLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. They're admitted.

(Various Documents were hereby received into evidence as

Funds' Exhibits 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, as of this date.) 19

MS. LEVINE: Your Honor, I'll be brief.

21 THE COURT: All right. That's always welcome.

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. LEVINE: 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

24 Q. Mr. Romanchek, Sharon Levine for the UMWA. Just quickly,

25 I want to clarify a couple of things.

- First of all, you did rely on Patriot 1, which was the
- 2 2012 Patriot Coal case, correct --
- 3 A. That was included in --
- 4 Q. -- as one of your comps?
- 5 A. -- the twenty company data set. Correct.
- 6 Q. Good. But you did not rely on Patriot 2, which is the
- 7 2015, or on the Walter coal case. Correct?
- 8 A. Those were not included in our twenty company. Correct.
- 9 Q. Now, the list of peer companies seems to choose peers
- 10 based upon pre-petition assets. Was that your primary target
- 11 with regard to choosing peer cases?
- 12 A. I would not say it was our primary, but size does have
- 13 some relevance.
- 14 Q. Size matters?
- 15 A. Size matters.
- 16 Q. Okay. But in addition to that, you went to cases that had
- 17 KEIPs that were approved. Correct?
- 18 A. Within those forty-four companies, right.
- 19 Q. You didn't use cases where KEIPs were not approved.
- 20 | Correct?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. And you did not use cases where bonus programs were
- 23 approved as part of a plan of reorganization under a standard
- 24 under 1129, Bankruptcy Code 1129. Correct?
- 25 A. And looking only at KEIPs to know what companies with

- 1 KEIPs do.
- 2 Q. Right. Well, but what I'm -- let me clarify.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. In some bankruptcy cases, rather than approving the bonus
- 5 plan early in the case the Court will approve the bonus plan
- 6 only as part of a plan of reorganization, so the creditors
- 7 actually have the opportunity to vote on the plan as part of
- 8 the plan of reorganization. You did not use those cases as
- 9 comparables. Correct?
- 10 A. We did not. No. We just looked at companies that had
- 11 KEIPs where that data was available. Right.
- 12 Q. Right. Okay. So now taking a look at Lear Corporation,
- 13 for example --
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. In Lear Corporation all of the pension plans and
- 16 collective bargaining agreements were assumed in that case.
- 17 | Correct?
- 18 A. I have no idea.
- 19 Q. So, in other words, the bonus program that was approved by
- 20 the Court was approved in a case where all of the collective
- 21 bargaining agreements survived the bankruptcy case, and all of
- 22 the pension plans survived the bankruptcy case. Correct? Or
- 23 you're not aware of that?
- 24 A. You're getting into details that are way beyond our
- 25 directive and the data, the research that we did, so --

1 Q. So --

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 A. I would have no knowledge of any of the facts and 3 circumstances going forward of any of these companies.
- Q. So determining whether or not a company was an appropriate comparable, you did not look at whether or not it involved an
- 6 1113 process. Correct?
- A. No. Again, I think I've said this a number of times. We looked at companies that had KEIP within our parameters just to understand what the typical design was. Period. So, right.
- Q. So it wasn't -- okay. So with regard to, for example,

 Exide Corporation, the collective bargaining agreement

 survived in Exide as well, and that was not something that you

 looked at as an important criteria. Correct?

MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. If they have a witness that can testify to these facts, that's correct, but she's not a witness. I can't cross-examine her on her assertions of fact. If she wants to ask the witness if he knows if that's true or not that's correct.

THE COURT: He's been doing a pretty good job of saying he doesn't know.

MR. HAMILTON: He has, and he keeps saying it, but it's getting -- it's getting a little excessive, because --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HAMILTON: Some of her assertions of fact are not

- accurate, and I would like to contest them, but I don't have a witness to cross.
- THE COURT: Well, but they're not in evidence, okay?

 Only what the witness says is in evidence. I understand that.
- Q. So the criteria of what is a comparable did not include
- 6 whether or not collective bargaining agreement survived.
- 7 | Correct?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And what is included in a comparable did not include
 10 whether or not there was a successful negotiation with regard
- 11 to collective bargaining agreement issues. Correct?
- 12 A. That -- all of those things may have happened, but that
- 13 was not the criteria for us to determine what people do that
- 14 have KEIPs.
- 15 Q. So the KEIP didn't include as a -- so your criteria for a
- 16 KEIP doesn't include, for example, a particular recovery to
- general unsecured creditors as a criteria. Correct?
- 18 A. No. I think I stated the three criterias pretty clear.
- 19 There's nothing else beyond that. Period.
- 20 Q. So it doesn't include a particular recovery to unsecured
- 21 creditors. Correct?
- 22 A. I think I answered that as well.
- Q. And it doesn't include a particular successful outcome
- 24 even in the Chapter 11 case, right?
- 25 A. I think whatever question in this line your answer -- the

- 1 answer is no. I would think that's pretty evident.
- 2 Q. So it would go a lot faster then if the answer is just
- 3 no.
- 4 A. The answer is -- well, you know the answer's no. But go
- 5 ahead if you need to ask the question anyway.
- 6 Q. Well, what you're -- what we're hearing -- what the
- 7 debtors are arguing, as we understand it, is that we need to
- 8 incentivize the management team here to provide a specific
- 9 outcome. And one of the things the judge has to evaluate is
- 10 the importance of that outcome. So what you're talking about
- 11 is having a specific amount of cash in the debtors' bank
- 12 account on a specific day. But what I want to clarify for the
- 13 Court, and just quickly yes or no questions, it'll go a lot
- 14 | faster, is that in that criteria there is not a consideration
- of other things that some of the stakeholders, and perhaps the
- 16 Court, might think is important.
- 17 A. No, you're asking a completely different question then
- 18 our directive, so no.
- 19 0. Did you look at the Patriot KEIP from 2015 after you
- 20 became aware of the fact that it existed?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Did you take a look at the Walter KEIP?
- 23 A. We looked at the twenty companies at the time of doing
- 24 our research. The data came out of that. We didn't do
- 25 subsequent research, no.

- 1 MS. LEVINE: No further questions. Thank you.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 3 All right. Now, you can redirect.
- 4 MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. HAMILTON:
- 7 Q. Mr. Romanchek, Ms. Levine was asking you about whether or
- 8 not there's any metric that involves a successful recovery.
- 9 And I believe you said the metrics are what they are. Do you
- 10 have an understanding as to what happens with respect to the
- 11 potential KEIP earnings that can be earned here if Alpha does
- 12 not successfully emerge from Chapter 11 prior to the end of
- 13 the year?
- 14 A. Yeah. So --
- 15 Q. What happens?
- 16 A. Yeah. So there's two pieces of this. At the end of six
- 17 months, depending on the level of goal achievement, seventy-
- 18 five percent of the amount would be paid out, based on the
- 19 | level. The remaining twenty-five percent that would be based
- 20 on achieving those goals is not paid out at that time. It's
- 21 not ever paid out unless there is a plan of reorganization by
- 22 the end of the year.
- 23 Q. And it's not only a plan. It has to be confirmed. Right?
- 24 A. It has to be confirmed. Right.
- 25 Q. Did you intentionally exclude Patriot 2 from your database

- 1 when you did your research?
- 2 A. No. No. And there is -- with the parameters that we
- 3 placed on it, we were trying to create the best data set
- 4 possible to answer the question in the directive. I believe
- 5 we did that. So if there's a company subsequent, or somebody
- 6 has another opinion, in my opinion adding one company is not
- 7 going to change the results of the data study. And so
- 8 there -- no, there was no pre-conclusion adding companies
- 9 based on what they were doing. It was just the opposite.
- 10 Identify the data set. Thereafter then did the research.
- 11 Q. Would you use the white tab notebook there and go to tab
- 12 7? I want to ask you about the exhibit that counsel for the
- 13 Funds was asking you about on your summary of the metrics.
- 14 Tab 7, page -- on the bottom right-hand Bates stamp it's 1776.
- 15 A. Right. Okay.
- 16 Q. This is the summary of the peer group metrics that you
- 17 found. Right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 0. Now, counsel for the Funds asked you whether you were
- 20 aware if there was any metric in here that involved a cash
- 21 | balance as of a specific date. Do you recall that
- 22 interchange?
- 23 A. Right. Yeah.
- 24 Q. All right. If you'll look at the second metric, cash
- 25 || flow, do you have an understanding as to what that metric

- 1 involved in the three cases that involved it?
- 2 A. No. We were -- we were looking for terminology, so
- 3 we -- in most cases you don't have the detailed definition
- 4 within the minimum disclosures that are out there. So we knew
- 5 cash flow was used, but I can't give you a deeper definition
- 6 than that.
- 7 Q. And if we go down, below plan and asset sale there's
- 8 something called liquidity at emergence. Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yeah, I see it.
- 10 Q. Okay. So liquidity, would you have an understanding as to
- 11 whether that's a reference to cash or not?
- 12 A. I presume that the answer would be yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And so would you understand liquidity at emergence
- 14 to be something similar to cash as a specific date?
- 15 A. Presumably, yes.
- 16 MR. HAMILTON: I have no further questions, Your
- 17 Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you.
- 19 MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, may I just pick up on
- 20 something new that came up there? I'll be very brief.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. Be very brief, because we've got a
- 22 long way to go still.
- MR. WILLETT: I know, Your Honor.
- 24 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. WILLETT:

- 1 Q. Mr. Romanchek, the last item mentioned -- I'm still on
- 2 page 1776 of Exhibit 7 -- the item was liquidity at emergence.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. I think you said there are two plans that fit that bill.
- 6 A. Yeah. According to the report here that's correct.
- 7 Q. Which were the two plans?
- 8 A. I have no idea.
- 9 Q. So you don't really know what the provision said.
- 10 A. No. This -- we collected data and reported here. There
- 11 was no subsequent -- there is nothing deeper than's reported
- 12 on this page. Correct.
- 13 Q. One other detail. Exhibit 6. You testified that twenty-
- 14 | five percent of the payout is not received unless there's a
- 15 plan confirmed. Right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Could you take a look at the footnote? It's
- 18 | footnote -- under footnote number 2, if you need to. But is
- 19 it also true that if there is an asset sale of more than fifty
- 20 percent of the assets all of the targets are earned?
- 21 A. Right. There are alternative provisions that apply.
- 22 Q. And that includes a credit bid. Right?
- 23 A. I can't answer that question.
- 24 Q. Well, it says it, doesn't it, that "including by way of
- 25 credit bid"? That's in the second paragraph under footnote 2.

- A. The definitions here, again, I didn't develop, so I'm not going to have -- be able to answer your question there.
- 3 Q. All right. Well, we'll take it up later. Thank you.
- 4 A. Okay. You're welcome.
- THE COURT: All right. May this witness step down
- 6 now?
- 7 MR. HAMILTON: We're done, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your testimony.
- 9 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
- 10 THE COURT: May this witness be excused, or is he
- 11 subject to recall?
- MR. HAMILTON: We don't intend to recall him.
- MR. WILLETT: We will have nothing further, Your
- 14 Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Sir, you're welcome to leave
- 16 | if you want. You're welcome to stay as well.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 18 MR. HAMILTON: Our next witness, Your Honor, is Kevin
- 19 Carmody of McKinsey.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Would you please come forward
- 21 and be sworn, sir?
- 22 (Witness sworn)
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. HAMILTON:
- 25 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Carmody.

- 1 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Could you tell the Court who you are and who you work for
- 3 and what you do?
- 4 A. Sure. My name is Kevin Carmody. I'm a partner at
- 5 McKinsey Recovery & Transformation Services, Inc. I lead the
- 6 corporate restructuring service line at the firm and work
- 7 mostly on behalf of companies that are either in Chapter 11 or
- 8 going through out-of-court restructurings.
- 9 Q. And can you tell the Court when you became involved
- 10 working on Alpha?
- 11 A. We got retained roughly around July 4th to help them
- 12 initially develop a strategic business plan that could be used
- 13 to further restructuring discussions outside of Chapter 11,
- 14 and then it evolved from there.
- 15 Q. And how did you become -- did you become involved in
- 16 working with the company on designing a KEIP?
- 17 A. Initially, no. Our focus, for the first couple of months,
- 18 was on the business plan, but we quickly realized that the
- 19 metrics that we needed to design would be included in the
- 20 KEIP, so right around early November was when I had initial
- 21 discussions with Kevin Crutchfield, the CEO, to see where I
- 22 could help out to develop the KEIP.
- 23 Q. And then what did you do in terms of developing a KEIP?
- 24 A. Well, it was -- we had a number of advisors that were
- 25 involved, mostly Meridian, Jones Day, and then my team at

McKinsey. And we really bifurcated the roles.

,

So I thought of -- this is, maybe, an overcharacterization, but I thought of Meridian more as the benchmarking, so what are KEIPs like in bankruptcy? How do they fit into a plan?

My role was more operational, because we were building not only the base business plan, but we were trying to outrun the decline in pricing and what was happening in the industry to try to maximize the value of the estate. So I was focusing on the steps that needed to be taken by the company to ensure that we could survive as an entity.

Q. Okay. So and what did you do to accomplish that task?

Not much there, frankly, in the pricing environment.

A. We looked at -- well, there were a couple of things. So we looked at, operationally, what could we do so we can outrun the decline in pricing? So how could we take a look at commercial opportunities, revenue enhancement opportunities?

Then we looked across all the functional areas. What could we do across SG&A, for example? Were there opportunities to reduce our SG&A expense? Were there opportunities to negotiate with our suppliers to get improvements in our cost structure that would benefit the estate? Were there opportunities in the mining footprint? Could we do things differently in the mining operations? All these came together in a holistic way as part of what

- ultimately became the Alpha performance enhancement plan.

 That all folded into the business plan, and ultimately

 portions of that were included in the KEIP.
 - Q. Okay. And who did you work with with management of the company to develop the metrics that were included in the KEIP?
 - A. Well, since July I've been involved in working with the management committee, which is seven senior executives. We meet regularly and go through the business planning process.

And then throughout the entire Alpha organization in Bristol, and also across the mines, we're working with a number of different middle line managers, folks that run the mines, et cetera. So it was, again, a pretty holistic approach to figure out how we could develop a business plan, a strategic business plan that could be institutionalized, driven throughout the organization to maximize the value of the estate as we headed towards a Chapter 11.

- Q. All right. And that's who you worked with on developing a business plan. Correct?
- 19 A. Business plan, but yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And then how did that translate into the work that you did on helping to come up with the KEIP metrics?
- A. So as we got to the point in time in November where the business plan was coming together, we knew that there would be an Alpha performance enhancement plan. That was critical, because we were taking out costs and trying again to outrun

pricing, so that was one element of that. Most of the interaction was with -- primarily with the management committee, but also their direct reports.

And I'll give you an example. So if you think about the finance organization, Phil Cavatoni, the CFO, is involved in -- a member of the management committee, but he has a number of folks that work with him -- Kevin Stanley, Andy Eidson, et cetera -- that we worked with very closely to look at the metrics, to do baseline work as well, and then ultimately this helped us develop the metrics that were included in the KEIP.

- Q. Okay. Now, in your capacity of your work at McKinsey have you had the occasion to work on developing KEIPs in other cases in the past?
- 15 A. I have. KEIPs and other incentive plans. Correct.
- Q. In your experience, is it unusual for a financial turnaround consultant like yourself to work with senior management in designing the specifics of metrics to include in a KEIP to present to a board of directors as a KEIP plan?
- 20 A. I'd say it's common. Yes.
- 21 Q. Why?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

A. Well, I'll use Alpha as an example. We were retained by
the company to help them build a business plan. So we were
partnering. We think of ourselves as partners with the
management team. My team and myself are onsite every day. So

- we work closely with them, and together the idea is that we can achieve something that will hopefully position the company to have a better outcome.
 - Q. Were you involved in the presentation of the KEIP to the compensation committee of Alpha's board for its consideration as to whether or not to approve the KEIP?
- 7 A. Yes, I was.
- 8 Q. What was your involvement in that presentation?
- 9 A. So we had -- and this, I think, late November, early
- 10 December, somewhere in that time frame -- we had a
- 11 compensation committee meeting where we presented the initial
- 12 KEIP.

5

- Jones Day was there. Meridian was there. And I was
 there. My role was, again, mostly around the business plan
- and the metrics, the operational metrics that we were using as
- 16 part of the KEIP and why we thought they were relevant to help
- 17 the company maximize value to the estate.
- 18 Q. And did you answer questions that were asked of you by the
- 19 members of the compensation committee at that meeting?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. If I could ask you, sir -- I don't think it's in front of
- 22 you.
- MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, it would be Exhibit D to
- 24 our motion. Docket 1038 is the declaration of Kevin Carmody.
- 25 And it starts on page 73, so it's at the very end of the

- 1 motion. If I could approach, Your Honor?
- 2 THE COURT: You may. Do we need to get copies made?
- 3 MR. WILLETT: I may not need it. I'll see which way
- 4 it's going.
- 5 MR. HAMILTON: I can get it to him at a break, Your
- 6 Honor. It's just two pages. I'm just going to authenticate
- 7 it and offer it in.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. I've got it in front of me.
- 9 Q. Mr. Carmody, is this the declaration that you authorized
- 10 the debtors to submit in support of the KEIP motion?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. Did you review it and then determine that all the
- 13 statements in it are true and accurate to the best of your
- 14 belief before you authorized the debtors to file it?
- 15 A. I did.
- MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I would offer the
- 17 declaration of Mr. Carmody, attached as Exhibit D to our
- 18 motion, into evidence as Debtors' Exhibit number 5.
- THE COURT: 5. Okay. So we'll note that, and then
- 20 we'll get one marked at break.
- 21 Kevin Carmody's declaration was hereby marked for
- 22 dentification and received into evidence as Debtors' Exhibit
- 23 5, as of this date.)
- 24 Q. And then finally, Mr. Carmody, I wanted to ask you before
- 25 I turn it over to cross-examination, there are now fifteen

- people in management of the company that are included in this

 KEIP. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct. Yes.

- Q. All right. Are any of those fifteen people not important to the company's ability to achieve the metrics that we're trying to incentivize with this KEIP?
 - A. They all play a role in helping us achieve the plan.
 - Q. Okay. How did you come to that determination?
 - A. Well, it's -- first, business judgement, having done this for a number of years, but I'll use an example that was asked to me recently.

So general counsel, how does a general counsel help implement the APEP, for example? What I would say is we have a management committee that meets, and all the discussions about what's happening to Alpha, what's happening to the industry, how do we survive, those are joint discussions, and everybody has a role. So even though a general counsel in my example has a functional role, which is mostly legal, he's involved in strategic observations and how do we really restructure the company.

So everybody plays their day job, which is their functional role of the general counsel, but they're also involved in the restructuring. And that's what you typically see, especially in Chapter 11 cases where you're doing twice the work that you would normally do. Everybody that has a

leadership position comes together, the meeting of the minds,
to make sure that we put forth the best plan that would
maximize value to the estate.

And it's in that spirit where you see this management committee and the members, the fifteen members that are included in the KEIP -- the participants, as you say -- are instrumental in helping us achieve those objectives. In a very difficult environment, I should add.

- Q. And were you involved in any way in the negotiations with the unsecured creditors' committee regarding modifications to the KEIP as it was originally filed in order to have all of their concerns and objections resolved?
- 13 A. Yes, I was.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. All right. And were you involved with discussions of requests made by the retiree committee in order to resolve their concerns of potential objections to the KEIP that was filed?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - MR. HAMILTON: At this time, Your Honor, I would reserve the rest of my direct to just do it as a redirect during the closed portion of his testimony, and I'll turn it over to cross by counsel for the Funds.
 - THE COURT: That's very good. All right.
- 24 Mr. Willett?
- 25 MR. WILLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. Noting the

- hour, you would like me to proceed, though. Is that right?
 And then maybe we'll --
- THE COURT: Yes. When we get done with that, when we seal the courtroom, I plan to take a break then. And that was my plan.
- 6 MR. WILLETT: Thank you.
- 7 THE COURT: Does that work?
- 8 MR. WILLETT: That's fine, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Or do you need to take a break now? We 10 can do that if you do.
- 11 MR. WILLETT: No, no. That's fine.
- 12 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. WILLETT: I do need to ask if I can approach the
- 14 witness with a copy of his deposition?
- 15 THE COURT: You may.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. WILLETT:
- 18 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Carmody. It's been a long time.
- 19 A. Good to see you again.
- 20 Q. Do you have still in front of you, in addition to your
- 21 deposition, a copy of the binder that Mr. Romanchek had, which
- 22 was the collection of exhibits?
- 23 A. I do not. Okay. I do now.
- 24 Q. That's great. Okay. You regard yourself as a partner
- 25 with the management team. Right?

- 1 A. No. What I said is we partner, so I'm an advisor. I'm
- 2 | not an officer of the company. What I said is because I
- 3 typically work on behalf of companies or debtors and, as it
- 4 may, in Chapter 11, we partner with the management team. So
- 5 we like to --
- 6 Q. But it's "we partner with the management team".
- 7 A. Yeah. Just partner. We work alongside them. I'm onsite
- 8 every day. That's the point I was making.
- 9 Q. And that was the next question. Are you personally onsite
- 10 in Bristol every day?
- 11 A. I'm on every week. Yeah. Yup. Most days.
- 12 Q. Now, before the end of November you basically had no
- 13 involvement in the KEIP. Is that right?
- 14 MR. WILLETT: Strike it out.
- 15 Q. Before the end of October, you had no involvement in the
- 16 KEIP. Right?
- 17 A. That's correct. I got involved in early November, once
- 18 the business plan was in draft form.
- 19 Q. Where is your home office?
- 20 A. My home office is in Chicago, Illinois.
- 21 Q. Would you turn to Exhibit -- I think it's 10. Yes.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Exhibit 10. And this is one of those e-mail threads where
- 24 we have to go to the end of it and work backwards. So if you
- 25 would do that, you'll see that the first -- the bottom e-mail

- 1 in the thread is a copy of a Meridian to Gary Banbury e-mail
- 2 from October 28th that encloses some updated KEIP documents.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. That looks to be the case. Correct.
- 5 Q. And, in fact, it doesn't say anything about a straw man.
- 6 | It's actual KEIP documents reflecting a change in the CEO's
- 7 target payout opportunity. Right?
- 8 A. That's what -- yeah. Yeah. The first sentence, that
- 9 clearly says that. Correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Then you, on the morning of November 3rd, send Mr.
- 11 Banbury an e-mail saying what's the latest on this? Has
- 12 Kevin -- I assume that's a reference to Mr. Crutchfield --
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. Has Kevin reviewed it?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the word you used was "proposal", right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. You wanted to know, has the CEO looked at the KEIP
- 19 proposal.
- 20 A. Well, I think -- that's part. I used the word proposal.
- 21 Remember, this is November 3rd. So I think during the prior
- 22 weekend I was getting up to speed on all of the materials that
- 23 were pulled together.
- 24 My recollection was that there wasn't a KEIP construct put
- 25 together, so I'm asking where is everybody at? I had met with

- Kevin, and I was asking Gary, has Kevin Crutchfield seen this?

 Because I anticipated sitting down with Kevin Crutchfield and

 going through a whole host of issues and data to be in my work

 on the KEIP. That's the context of my comment.
 - Q. Okay. But there very clearly is a KEIP on the table for Meridian at this point, based on the first e-mail. Right?

 They've gone to the trouble of reflecting a change from some earlier version in the CEO's target payout opportunity.

 Right?
 - A. What? I don't -- it's hard to tell, because the e-mail from Meridian was dated October 28th. I mean, by it's very nature, when you go through and you develop a KEIP, you look at different metrics, you put together drafts. I'm not sure if this is the proposal that was fully baked at this point in time. Clearly, it wasn't, because we spent another month
 - There were a lot of moving variables. The situation was very fluid. So I'm not sure of the context of that comment.

going through that. The business plan wasn't done.

- But one thing is clear. When I got up to speed on the materials that I looked at, the KEIP wasn't done. Not even close.
- Q. When -- the word that came to your thumbs in your e-mail
 of 10:17 a.m. to Gary was, "Has Kevin reviewed the proposal?"
 Right?
- 25 A. Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 Q. Then he says to you, I want to talk to you if you're in
- 2|| the building, right? We're going to go off e-mail and have a
- 3 conversation about this. Right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you point out to him you're actually heading to the
- 6 airport.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. I assume you're coming -- from the time signatures on
- 9 these e-mails, you must have been coming from Chicago. Right?
- 10 A. Either from Chicago or heading back home. One of the two.
- 11 Q. Well, it's not heading back home, because we're going to
- 12 see later in the day that you're in the building. Right?
- 13 A. Okay. Sure.
- 14 Q. He says where can I reach you, and you give them a -- I'm
- 15 sorry. You say where can I reach you, and he gives you a
- 16 phone number. Right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And then a couple of hours go by, and you e-mail him, we
- 19 should look at including a provision in the KEIP that adjusts
- 20 | EBITDAR target if we end up divesting assets. Right?
- 21 A. That's not what it says, no.
- 22 Q. All right. Why don't you tell me what you wrote in that
- 23 e-mail at 11:51 a.m.?
- 24 A. Sure. So on November 3rd it said, "We should look at
- 25 | including a provision in KEIP that adjusts EBITDAR target if

1 we end up divesting certain assets."

- Q. Okay. And so what you're saying there is that there's some proposal -- whether we call it a proposal or a straw man, whatever it is -- your suggestion is it has EBITDAR in it.

 Let's adjust them if there are sales.
- A. Well, I think the context -- remember, this is November 3rd. So I had looked at a bunch of documents over the weekend. Now I'm trying to get my head into the game saying what are the metrics? Are they the right metrics? So I'm doing diligence. I'm trying to figure out what's out there, what data has been pulled together by Meridian, what has the company looked at? How do I gather the facts, so that I can come into the game with all the business plan materials, which were not reflected in the draft proposal, the KEIP, as I understand it, and what role could I play?

And then, by its very nature, I look at the metrics and say this metric, I think, is applicable. This one's not. And oh, by the way, we now have a business plan with an APEP program. How does that layer into the KEIP to make sure that we're incentivizing the management team to maximize the value for the entire estate? That's the context of the discussion.

Q. I'd forgotten how good a witness you are. I've also forgotten my question.

Let me go back to -- what I'm trying to establish here is that you are reacting to some set of documents that has

- 1 EBITDAR targets in them. Right?
- 2 A. I think -- I don't recall it specifically, but based on my
- 3 comment that makes sense. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you're making a suggestion -- one way to deal with
- 5 this situation is to adjust that if there are asset sales.
- 6 A. I think it was more strategic, because at that point in
- 7 time -- initially, act -- the reason I'm hesitating is because
- 8 I'm trying to figure out what is material nonpublic
- 9 information, what isn't.
- 10 Q. Well, don't -- don't --
- 11 A. So let me -- I can do it -- I can do it a different way.
- 12 So there are a bunch of different strategic alternatives
- 13 around November.
- 14 Q. Right.
- 15 A. So we were trying to say does ANR restructure as a
- 16 standalone company? Putting aside a sale. Well, the sale
- 17 might be an alternative as well.
- All I said was the way I thought about it, frankly, one
- 19 man's opinion, let's restructure around Alpha, because they've
- 20 got good assets and a good management team. And as I started
- 21 going through the documents I said boy, a sale might be one of
- 22 the alternatives. What happens if we sell the company? Is
- 23 there a provision in the KEIP to incentivize a management
- 24 team, to make sure that in a sale it still maximizes value?
- 25 So that's the context of the discussion.

- 1 Q. So you're saying adjust EBITDAR target if we end up
- 2 divesting. Right?
- 3 A. Right. So and an example could --
- 4 Q. That's all we need to establish.
- 5 A. Okay. Got it.
- 6 Q. Because we have to move along.
- Now, then Mr. Banbury answers, that's Meridian's point.
- 8 Right?
- 9 A. Yup. That's correct. He says that.
- 10 Q. And he writes, "KC is motivated in a different direction,
- 11 | I believe".
- 12 A. Um-hum.
- 13 Q. Right?
- 14 A. That's what he wrote. Correct.
- 15 Q. KC is Mr. Crutchfield?
- 16 A. I -- I don't know as who it is. It makes perfect sense
- 17 that it would be. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, if you look at Exhibit 9, this is also an e-mail from
- 19 November 3rd from you, and this one is to Mr. Crutchfield.
- 20 Right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And you're trying to get a meeting with him later in the
- 23 day.
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. So it looks like you were traveling to Bristol on the 3rd.

- 1 Right?
- 2 A. I think that's right.
- 3 Q. And, in fact, you did get a meeting with him later that
- 4 day or perhaps the next day.
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. Who else was at the meeting?
- 7 A. I think the initial meeting was he and I. We talked.
- 8 Q. Just the two of you?
- 9 A. Yeah. And I think the context of that discuss -- I can't
- 10 remember if it was telephonically or in person, but --
- 11 Q. Okay. I just want to know who was at the meeting.
- 12 A. Okay. Fair enough.
- 13 Q. As of the moment you took that meeting, had you ever met
- 14 Mr. Romanchek?
- 15 A. No. Not in the context of ANR. No.
- 16 Q. Had you spoken with him?
- 17 A. I don't think so, because -- I was aware Meridian was
- 18 retained, but I hadn't spoken with them. I just wasn't
- 19 working on the KEIP until early November.
- 20 Q. In fact, you gave a deposition last week. Is that right?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. Do you recall that you struggled with his name when you
- 23 were asked questions?
- $24 \parallel A$. No, I think the pronunciation of his name is where I,
- 25 frankly, struggled.

- 1 Q. Right.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. And that's because you didn't have occasion to use it very
- 4 often.
- 5 A. No. I just -- I have trouble with names, in the spirit of
- 6 full disclosure of my strengths.
- 7 Q. You'd been advising the debtors since before the petition
- 8 was filed. Right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And you're aware that a critical vendors order was
- 11 approved in this case.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How much critical vendors authority does the debtor have?
- 14 A. The -- I'm not directly involved in the critical vendor
- 15 strategy. I think it's -- my understanding from afar is that
- 16 they have discretion over how they manage that cash. Like you
- 17 would expect in any bankruptcy case.
- 18 Q. So, as you understand it, the debtor has authority to use
- 19 | a certain amount of cash for critical vendors, but it's not
- 20 required to do that.
- 21 A. I think that's correct. Yes. That would be typical.
- 22 Q. And it's about forty-four million dollars of authority.
- 23 Does that sound right?
- 24 A. I think that's right.
- 25 Q. I'm going to go cautiously on this one, because this

- 1 involves a sealed exhibit, but I think I can do this.
- 2 If you would take a look at Exhibit 12.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. That is a thirteen-week forward-looking projected cash
- 5 flow as of January 8th. Right?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. I don't want you to disclose any of the figures
- 8 that are on there. But where in this cash flow would critical
- 9 vendor payments be? In what line item?
- 10 A. So I need to -- I didn't -- I don't create the thirteen
- 11 week. That's another advisor does that. But let me take a
- 12 look and see if I can find that. It would typically be in one
- 13 of the disbursement line items.
- 14 Q. Sometimes there's a critical vendor line. I didn't see
- 15 one.
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. But there -- I'll point out to you at the bottom of the
- 18 line of expenses there's one called "Other Operating Disb",
- 19 D-I-S-B. Is that where critical vendors lives?
- 20 A. I honestly don't know. We didn't bill. McKinsey's is not
- 21 in control of this file. I would say, having done this
- 22 before, it would be usually somewhere in supplies and
- 23 maintenance or other operating disbursements, but I'm not
- 24 quite sure.
- 25 Q. Okay. Is there anybody in the room today who knows the

1 answer to that, as far as you know? 2 A. I'm not certain, to be honest. 3 Q. We're going to take a break before we go into closed session, and I'm going to ask that you inquire to see if 4 5 you're correct about where critical vendors lives. Okay. Now --6 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I have to object to that. 7 8 First of all, I don't think it's ethically permitted for this witness to talk to anybody while he's on the stand during 9 10 breaks. And we can try and get the information to counsel. 11 THE COURT: Well, without an agreement, I agree with you. He can't. And so he wouldn't be permitted to talk to 12 somebody else while we're on the break. 13 14 THE WITNESS: Right. 15 THE COURT: Because that would --16 MR. HAMILTON: 17 MR. WILLETT: I'm just -- I think this is going to be a relevant piece of information, and if the debtors don't 18 19 have --20 THE COURT: I would encourage counsel to talk with 21 each other during the break. MR. WILLETT: Okay. 22 MR. HAMILTON: We will do our best to answer the 23 24 question. I just don't want to suggest that the witness is

25

going to try and do it.

1 MR. WILLETT: All right. That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: And that's an excellent point, and it's 2 3 one that we need to make sure that we preserve. 4 Q. Okay. Now, you would agree that the KEIP as filed in 5 early December differs significantly from what is outlined in Exhibit 4. Correct? 6 7 A. I honestly don't know, because I haven't seen the 8 attachment that was included in Donald Kalfen's e-mail October I do know, as you would expect, there were several 9 10 iterations from the time I got involved, roughly early 11 November, until we filed it, because I looked at a bunch of different metrics. The business plan was getting 12 13 crystallized, and -- at least in draft form, so there were a 14 lot of changes, which is just part of the sausage making 15 process you typically go through when developing an incentive 16 plan. 17 Q. Well, take a look at Exhibit 4 for me, because there is an 18 outline of a KEIP in the -- starting at the third page of Exhibit 4, is there not? 19 20 A. Are you referencing the paragraph at the bottom of Bates 21 number ANR-KEIP 001676? I'm trying to get a frame of 22 reference here. Q. No. We're in Exhibit 4, which the relevant page is Bates 23 24 number starts 663. Oh, you're in your --25 A. I'm looking at the wrong -- my fault. Sorry.

- Okay. Can you dir -- I'm sorry. Can you direct me to where you are?
- 3 Q. Yes. Page Bates number 663.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Now, the largest component of this outline is EBITDAR at
- 6 sixty percent. Right?
- 7 A. That's what this shows. Correct.
- 8 Q. And when the KEIP was filed a month later there's no
- 9 EBITDAR component at all.
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. When the KEIP was filed a month later, the largest
- 12 component was this cash snapshot in the original version on
- 13 two dates in March and June. Correct?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. And there's no cash snapshot in Exhibit 4.
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Going to Exhibit 6, this is the outline of how the revised
- 18 KEIP compares with the original proposed in the motion. And
- 19 just so I'm clear on this. When we talk about maximums, for
- 20 example, under cost savings the maximum is 175 percent, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And that number gets multiplied against the target amount
- 23 that the particular employee has of his base salary. Right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. So if you're at 175 percent of base salary, then you get

- 1 175 percent of that number if they hit the maximum on the cost
- 2 piece.
- 3 A. I think that's correct. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. By the way, can you turn the page over to the
- 5 footnotes? Footnote 2, the full target amounts are earned if
- 6 there is a credit bid. Right? A credit bid of more than
- 7 fifty percent of the value of the assets.
- 8 A. That's correct. Which would constitute a sale, as you'd
- 9 expect. Yes.
- 10 Q. Would you agree with me that cash is a significant driver
- 11 of these metrics?
- 12 A. Oh, absolutely. By design.
- 13 Q. And, in fact, cash is not something that has been
- 14 precisely within your wheelhouse in this case. Right?
- 15 A. The -- well, there's two pieces. There's a cash
- 16 forecasting piece. So from that perspective, my team didn't
- 17 build the cash forecast.
- 18 Q. Who built the cash forecast?
- 19 A. Alvarez & Marsal was responsible for building that, along
- 20 with Phil Cavatoni and the management team.
- 21 Q. And is Alvarez here today? Do you know?
- 22 A. No, they aren't.
- 23 Q. They are not?
- 24 A. They are not, I don't believe. Yeah.
- MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I don't think he was

1 finished with the answer to the question.

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. WILLETT: Please finish.

A. So what I'm saying is are the cash -- the thirteen-week cash forecasting, at a very granular level, was led, on the advisory side, by Alvarez & Marsal along with Phil Cavatoni and his team.

But I was intimately involved in cash, because if you think of the business plan and what we needed to do to generate value, in restructuring it's cash. So the first thing I think I learned, going back several years, from Dominic Di Napoli, of all people, was cash is king. Make sure you manage cash in a restructuring.

So when I said cash was a critical component of the KEIP, it was by design. It was because I felt that cash was a better metric of performance than EBITDA, in this case.

- Q. Last week, when you were asked if the company is on track to meet the original 3/31 target, did you answer, to be honest, I don't know?
- MR. HAMILTON: Again, Your Honor, that's just not a proper way to conduct an examination. He can ask the question now. If he thinks he gave an inconsistent answer at his depo, then he can show it to him.

24 THE COURT: Or should he rephrase the question.

Q. Last week, the first week of -- the second week of

- January, were you aware of what the company forecasted to do
 with its cash by the end of March?
- A. Yes. A bit of a nuance here. So if you look at the thirteen-week cash flow forecast, I was asked, I think, some questions about were they -- where that forecast would show that the company would land. That was one data point.

My uncertainty around whether I thought they would be able to hit that target, was predicated on the fact that we were dealing with a business plan. There were a lot of variables that are included in the business plan -- mostly a decline in pricing -- that would impact liquidity.

My concern was that regardless of what's in a thirteenweek forecast today, as of 3/31 there's significant downside risk to liquidity and very limited upside. That was the point I was trying to make.

- Q. Alvarez & Marsal are very experienced financial advisors in distressed situations, right?
- 18 A. Sure. Absolutely.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. So when they make a thirteen-week projection, are you suggesting that they're not considering what downside pressure there might be on pricing?
- A. No, I didn't say that at all. I said they ran the
 thirteen-week forecast. But also, remember, there's some
 variables that wouldn't be included, necessarily, in a
 thirteen-week cash flow forecast. I'll give you an example.

```
1
        So as you go through the month of December, as we were
 2
    trying to finalize a business plan we looked at pricing.
 3
    Should we refresh pricing? And we felt okay about pricing.
    But we also -- this is, again, by the way, I should -- this is
 4
 5
    a problem from --
             THE COURT:
                         There will be a closed session.
 6
 7
        This is important. I wanted to make this point.
 8
    important, but I'm getting into customer discussions, which I
 9
    don't want to get to in an open courtroom. So I'm going to
10
    catch myself there. But I could -- I don't know how we handle
11
    this, but there's a reason for the answer that I gave that I
    think will be clear when I can be open about the answer.
12
13
             MR. WILLETT: Why don't we reserve that for --
             THE WITNESS: Okay.
14
             MR. WILLETT: -- when we have a closed session then?
15
16
             THE WITNESS: Right.
17
             MR. WILLETT: Okay.
18
        Is one of the hard things about the debtors' role in this
    case having to work something out with the unions?
19
    A. I don't know if it's hard. I think Chapter 11 is hard in
20
```

Q. But these coal cases often involve difficult negotiations
with the union. Is that fair?

all aspects, not just the unions. It doesn't have to be,

necessarily, with the unions.

21

22

25 A. I think you've -- I mean, it -- I would say -- I'll stand

- by my answer. Everyone of these negotiations, it doesn't matter if it's the unions. It doesn't matter if it's the pensions. It doesn't matter if it's the first lien lenders. They're all difficult.
 - In some cases, the first lien lender negotiations are much more difficult than unions. I've seen that. I would just say that overall, these are trying times. Folks are working twice as hard. The companies that go into bankruptcy are showing -- exhibiting declining performance, so stress levels are high. That applies to union negotiations and every other aspect of the case.
- Q. Would a consensual resolution of issues with the union be a good thing for the debtor?
- A. I go back to my comment. Whatever we can do. My goal, primary goal, is to maximize the value of the enterprise.
- Q. We can agree there's no incentive in the plan for making a deal with the union.
- A. A metric in the plan for a deal? Again, it's more operate -- my focus in the metrics is more operational.
- Q. But we can agree there is no metric in this KEIP that
 would reward management for the difficult job of making an
 arrangement with the union. Right?
- A. I think the metrics are the three metrics that are defined in the --
- 25 Q. So that's not --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 1 A. -- in the KEIP. I don't know if there is specifically
- 2 something that says if we reach consensual agreement with the
- 3 union then you get paid y. I think you get there indirectly
- 4 by the metrics that are in the plan that we've outlined.
- 5 Q. We'll come back to that.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. The other thing, a difficult challenge for debtors in this
- 8 kind of cases is to deal with reclamation claims of the
- 9 states, right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And there's no metric for resolving those successfully.
- 12 A. No specific metric in the KEIP. Correct.
- 13 MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, if I could take just a
- 14 minute? I have more, but I think it's all the confidential
- 15 material, but I wanted to make sure that public material has
- 16 been dealt with in an open courtroom.
- 17 Okay.
- 18 Q. How much cash does the debtor have today?
- 19 A. Roughly a billion dollars in cash.
- 20 0. And what's the target for June 30th under the KEIP?
- 21 A. The target is 775.
- 22 Q. Okay. So the management meet their target if this company
- 23 loses about a quarter of a billion dollars over the next six
- 24 months. Is that fair?
- 25 A. The simple math works that way. Correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit 13.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. And go cautiously here. This exhibit will be under seal.
- 4 But what I wanted to get out, which I don't think is a
- 5 confidentiality issue for the debtors, is what the buckets of
- 6 savings are under the KEIP.
- 7 If you could go to slide 6?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Okay. One of them is "external spend".
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. What kinds of things come under external spend?
- 12 A. I think procurement. So our purchasing of third-party
- 13 products.
- 14 Q. So --
- 15 A. Our vendor base.
- 16 Q. -- negotiating with your vendors.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. All of whom, like you, are distressed to some extent by
- 19 what's happening in the coal industry.
- 20 A. By the very nature of the industry, I'm sure that's
- 21 probably correct. Yes.
- 22 Q. SG&A, that's essentially personnel, right?
- 23 A. It's personnel, but it's also inside spend. So think in
- 24 terms of copiers, for example, things of that nature.
- 25 Q. Okay. One of the things you would look to do on SG&A is

- consolidate job functions or find other ways to save money that way.
- 3 A. We would look at it more methodically than that. So this is nonmining personnel, for example. So if you look at 4 primarily Bristol, if you look at the different functional 5 areas -- finance, accounting, HR, legal, IT, et cetera, et 6 7 cetera, are there opportunities to get savings? And if it 8 relates to people, it could be. It could be a situation where the company is smaller, by its very nature. Are there 9 10 opportunities?

We'd also look at things like, for example, relative to benchmarks, our benchmarking data at McKinsey. How does Alpha stack up to other companies that are in their peer group, to see if there are opportunities to enhance value.

Q. And what comes under mining operations as a potential savings?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Most of that is productivity improvements across a number of mines. So I'll give you an example.

So our goal is -- has always been to reorganize as a company that's viable on a go-forward basis, we think, that maximizes value. So think in terms of a mine that is burning cash. It's not profitable. Are there ways for us to go in there alongside management and improve productivity, to make sure that we can hopefully reduce or eliminate that cash burn to make that mine more viable.

- Q. And for someone who's not a coal expert at all, when you talk about improving the productivity of work in a mine, do
- 3 you mean people work longer hours? I suspect you mean
- 4 something else.
- 5 A. It's not -- it wouldn't be longer hours, and it wouldn't
- 6 be people cuts. It wouldn't be say, for example, at this mine
- 7 it's cash flow negative, so let's cut our workforce by ten
- 8 percent. That's not what we're including in this bucket.
- 9 We're saying is there a way to improve the way -- is there a
- 10 way to improve the productivity of that mine?
- 11 0. And that --
- 12 A. So it could be conveyer belts, for example. Is there a
- 13 better way to use conveyer belts to get mine out at a cheaper
- 14 cost?
- 15 Q. All right. Now, the last one is the one that really
- 16 | flummoxed me. What is a "centralized policy lever"?
- 17 A. Sure. That go -- that really is the cousin of SG&A. So
- 18 there are things that you can do at the company to take cost
- 19 out, for example.
- 20 Q. Can you give me an example?
- 21 A. 401(k) match would be an example of one that you could
- 22 take a look at.
- 23 Q. Okay. So if I understand what you're saying, the company
- 24 today matches 401(k) contributions made by certain of its
- 25 employees?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. It's not under any legal obligation to do that?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. It's a good thing to do if you can afford to do it.
- 5 Right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. But if you can't afford to do it, you stop doing it and
- 8 you save money.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 0. How much would be in the 401(k) match?
- 11 A. It could be sizeable. It could be -- it depends on the
- 12 actual spend of the employees, but it could be fifteen to
- 13 twenty million dollars.
- 14 Q. Okay. And when -- we'll save a piece of this for the
- 15 closed session.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. So 401(k) match is a, sort of, a compensation type of
- 18 issue. Are there other compensation type of issues in the
- 19 centralized policy levers?
- 20 A. There is a whole host of them that we're looking at, so
- 21 there -- are there changes to benefits to get Alpha back to
- 22 its peer group? Are there things that we can do around their
- 23 edge without laying off employees?
- That, we think, makes sense. That would be part of the
- 25 centralized policy lever.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24 25

There's also things like -- minor things, that you could say, for example, are we spending -- what are we spending in discretionary dollars at Bristol that we could save, for example? It's the things that --

- Q. So coming back to the ma -- so in addition to a 401(k) match, what other kinds of things can you do to save money there?
- A. Well, you -- there's all -- none of these -- the ones that I've already mentioned aren't totally executed yet, but their idea is simple things, which you might laugh at.

For example, are we buying coffee? Do we need to buy as much coffee? How do you really wring cash out of the spend where it doesn't impact the operations? It really doesn't impact employees' lives. That's part of the centralized policy levers.

But we're looking across. Again, holistically across the entire organization, the four functional areas that are defined, to look at those opportunities where we can reduce costs and help make Alpha more competitive in a very difficult environment. That's the spirit of the APEP program.

- So things like health benefits?
- A. Health benefits can be one of them. Correct. 22
 - Life insurance or other kinds of benefits?
 - Could be. Yeah. You look at any -- we look at every Α. possible idea. Sure.

```
1
       And that kind of thing, those sorts of compensation issues
    form all of the thirty-two million that we --
 2
 3
    A. No. Not all of them. No. Again, there's a -- that's a
    component of it. You could have a laundry list of fifteen
 4
    different items that could impact an employee. And their
 5
    question is does it make sense to implement all fifteen of
 6
 7
    them? Probably not, because it's overkill.
 8
    portion of it.
 9
        But, again, part of the centralized policy levers are what
10
    can you do to quickly pull that lever so that you're not
11
    spending cash? And some of those won't be related to people.
             MR. WILLETT: Your Honor, I think the rest of my
12
    questions for the witness would have to be in the closed
13
14
    session.
15
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             MR. WILLETT: Thank you, Mr. Carmody.
17
             THE WITNESS: Thanks.
18
             THE COURT: Is there any other party that wants to
19
    examine the witness while this courtroom remains public?
20
             MR. WILLETT: Before I sit, can I offer --
21
             THE COURT: Yes, please.
22
             MR. WILLETT: -- Your Honor, UMW Funds Exhibits 9 and
23
    10?
24
             THE COURT: Any objection to 9 and 10?
25
             MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: All right. They're in.
 2
    (E-mail chain from Mr. Carmody to Mr. Crutchfield dated 11/3
 3
    was hereby received into evidence as Funds' Exhibit 9, as of
 4
    this date.)
    (E-mail chain started from Meridian to Mr. Banbury dated 10/28
 5
    enclosing updated KEIP documents was hereby received into
 6
 7
    evidence as Funds' Exhibit 10, as of this date.)
 8
             MR. WILLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
             MR. LONG: Yeah. Yeah. I'm sorry. Toby Long.
10
    for the record, the Court is using the same dial-in for the
11
    HDL hearing that's starting at 2. So I think I've notified
    everyone by e-mail, but just in case, anybody on the phone,
12
13
    just want to let them know that this is not the HDL hearing
14
    yet.
             THE COURT: If there's anybody on the phone that was
15
16
    expecting to see HDL, it's on another channel.
17
             MR. LONG: Thank you.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Ms. Levine?
19
             MS. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
    CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
    BY MS. LEVINE:
    Q.
22
       Mr. Carmody.
23
    A. How are you?
    Q. Mr. Carmody, just reading from the motion, which was
24
```

docket 1038, just for the record, basically what we have is if

- you combine the annual incentive bonus plan, or the AIB, as
 we've been referring to it, and the operational safety and
 environmental bonus plan, or the OSEB, plus this KEIP, that
 provides bonuses or incentives for all of the debtors'
 nonunion employees. Correct?
- 6 A. Can you -- I'm not sure I entirely followed that question.
 7 Can you ask that again?
 - Q. By the wages and benefits motion the debtors sought authority to pay employees pre-petition and post-petition wages and to continue a number of employee health, welfare benefit and incentive programs in the ordinary course of business, including, among others, the annual incentive bonus plan, or the AIB, and the operational safety environmental bonus plan, or the OSEB, which together provide annual performance incentives to substantially all of the debtors' nonunion hourly and salaried employees. Is that your understanding?
- A. It sounds correct. I wasn't involved. I didn't write
 that motion. So my focus was on the metrics of the KEIP, so I
 hesitate, because I'm not entirely sure. I'd defer to Jones
 Day.
- Q. Okay. I'm not asking -- I'm asking you if it's your understanding in your capacity --
- 24 A. Yeah.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25 Q. -- as to whether or not -- well, you're here supporting

- 1 the KEIP. Correct?
- 2 A. I am supporting the KEIP.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you're doing it as an incentive program for
- 4 | twelve key executives. Correct?
- 5 A. Seventeen in total
- 6 Q. Seventeen.
- 7 A. Or I'm sorry. Fifteen in total, rather.
- 8 Q. And in addition to that, you were supportive of court
- 9 approval of the AIB and the OSEB. Correct?
- 10 A. Right. It wasn't a focal point of my declaration for
- 11 sure, but, yes.
- 12 Q. But the point that I just want to clarify is basically
- 13 except for the union employees, every employee of the debtor
- 14 during the course of the bankruptcy case is going to be
- 15 benefitted by an incentive program. Is that correct?
- 16 A. Again, outside of my area of expertise I have no reason to
- 17 think that you're wrong. I just wasn't involved in that piece
- 18 of it.
- 19 Q. Okay. Are you involved in the 1113 negotiations?
- 20 A. I am not.
- 21 Q. Have you ever been involved in 1113 negotiations in
- 22 connection with prior cases?
- 23 A. I have.
- 24 Q. And in connection with those negotiations, did you sit in
- 25 the negotiating room with debtors' management?

- 1 A. I did.
- 2 0. What cases were those?
- 3 A. The one that immediately comes to mind is Hayes Lemmerz,
- 4 which you know well, I'm sure. An auto supplier in 2009.
- 5 Q. And during the course -- in Hayes Lemmerz was there a
- 6 KEIP?
- 7 A. As I re -- I don't think there was a KEIP. There was an
- 8 incentive plan that was post-reorg as part of a pre-planned
- 9 bankruptcy.
- 10 MS. LEVINE: Just for disclosure, we represented the
- 11 committee in Hayes, so.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 13 Q. Were you involved in any 1113 negotiations where there was
- 14 | a KEIP, and you sat in the room during the course of those
- 15 negotiations?
- 16 A. So I think -- I'm not specifically sure that was the case
- 17 in my -- that I've been directly involved in those. I know
- 18 that there were com -- actually, full stop. I can't confirm
- 19 \parallel that there was. My inclination is possibly not.
- 20 Q. So you have no personal knowledge, then, one way or the
- 21 other, with regard to whether or not having a KEIP, the
- 22 benefit of a KEIP might be outweighed by the detriment it
- would cause to the 1113 negotiations. Correct?
- 24 A. Well, I think of it differently, though. I think in the
- 25 Hayes case, in particular, we needed to incentivize our

1	management team. We got there, ultimately, as part of a post-
2	reorg incentive plan. It was a huge, as you know, a huge part
3	of that case. It was ultimately decided well, we had the
4	agreement with the lenders early on, so it was a very
5	different case, so they knew that they were being
6	incentivized, so I think in that case I was the CRO we
7	decided not to not move forward with the KEIP, because we
8	other had another incentive plan that was effectively going
9	to be in place once we got through the process.
10	Q. My focus is slightly different. Thank you for that, but
11	my focus is slightly different.
12	You have no personal knowledge with regard to how it could
13	impact potentially negatively impact the negotiations
14	from the perspective of the employees, because you haven't
15	been involved in a case where a KEIP was approved prior to the
16	time that you sat down at the bargaining table with the union.
17	A. So the last part of your question, I have not been
18	specifically involved in a case, to the best of my
19	recollection, where that was the case.
20	MS. LEVINE: Thank you. No further questions, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bernstein, did you have
23	anything?
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So at this point

```
1
    is it appropriate then that we close the courtroom so we can
    continue with this?
 2
 3
             All right. So let's take a break at this point so
    that we can do that. So we're going to do two things. We're
 4
    going to close the courtroom. We're also going to have to
 5
    terminate the call. So any of the parties -- I don't know
 6
 7
    who's on the phone and such, so we'll have to do both of those
 8
    two things. And we'll do that now.
             When we come back for -- when we open the courtroom,
 9
10
    then we'll invite anybody that was on the call to rejoin us
11
    for that period when we reconvene at that point, and which
    we'll take another break when we do that to allow parties to
12
13
    get back on the phone.
14
             Any questions with procedure at this point?
             All right. Very good. We'll be in recess.
15
16
             THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
17
        (Recess from 1:56 p.m. until 2:32 p.m.)
18
        (Sealed Session 2:31 p.m. until 3:15 p.m.)
        (Recess at 3:15 p.m. until 3:31 p.m.)
19
             THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Court is now in
20
21
    session. Please be seated and come to order.
22
             THE COURT: All right, you're batting cleanup, huh?
             MR. BLACK: Looks that way, Your Honor.
23
24
             THE COURT: All right.
25
             MR. BLACK: Good afternoon. For the record, it's
```

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Carl Black of Jones Day on behalf of the debtors. Your Honor, my intention is to be brief.

The focus of this key employee incentive program, as we've heard from the witnesses today and in the declaration, is to incentivize the key employees that are the participants to maximize the value of this enterprise and this debtor's estate, for the benefit of all the creditors.

The KEIP is being supported by the debtors' secured lenders. It is not opposed by the creditors' committee or the retiree committee. And I think that's important, particularly with respect to the creditors' committee, because their mandate is to look out for the interests of the creditor body as a whole. And they hired their own compensation consultant. They gave us some comments. And we resolved their potential objection to the KEIP.

The testimony that you've heard today pretty clearly demonstrates that the primary purpose of this plan is not retentive. This is an incentive program. You heard Mr. Carmody testify at length about his views on how difficult these targets/goals are going to be to achieve. And once you move this beyond the retentive aspect, then the question becomes is this a reasonable exercise of the debtors' business judgment, or under the words of 503, justified by the facts and circumstances of this case. And we believe that this is a KEIP that is justified by the facts and circumstances of this

case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you incorporate -- although it's not binding on this Court -- if you incorporate the standard that was utilized in Dana, it talks about is this calculated to achieve the desired performance. Again, you heard Mr. Carmody talk at length about the performance here that is being incentivized is to reduce the cash burn and reduce spending, and through that, increase the value of this enterprise as a whole.

These incentives are spot-on to those goals. cost of the plan is reasonable in the context of this case. think that was in Mr. Romanchek's declaration, where it talked about how the cost of these benefits compared to cases of similar size.

The other thing I'd note is that the value enhancement plan or the Alpha performance enhancement plan, if it is successful, if those maximum -- if the target is reached, that is basically cash accretive, because it will bring in sixty-odd-million dollars of value to the estate. Ιf management is successful in hitting the maximum, that is essentially value that inures to the benefit of all of the creditor constituents in this case.

The plan is fair and does not discriminate unfairly. It is comprised of the folks who are really responsible for driving this process. This is not a situation -- and the objectors -- various of the objectors make an issue of well,

you haven't identified what each and every person is doing to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

contribute to this. But that's not the standard. question is, is are these folks, whether individually or as a group, driving to this goal. And I think the answer to that question and I think based on Mr. Carmody's testimony, is yes. And the reason I think that that is the right

standard is because if you look at any of the achievements that are often seen in KEIPs, whether it be EBITDA or some other similar metric, those are not individual metrics. Those are enterprise metrics. Those are metrics that say how does this business perform. And I don't think you can say that the business -- that you can take one person and say, well, this one person contributed to X dollars of that particular target, especially when you're talking about liquidity, cash, these value enhancements.

Mr. Romanchek also testified that the plan, the metrics, the dollars involved, are consistent with the comparables that they put together, that Meridian put together.

There's been a question raised about well, what about the other Patriot case? What about other -- what about Walter? The short answer on this is, is that again, Meridian created their comparables based on the size of the companies and what those KEIPs that had been approved, what their elements were. The objectors -- and in that standpoint,

Patriot just simply, they didn't cover it, but Patriot

wouldn't have hit their dollar threshold.

Colloquy

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The argument that, well, why didn't you -- why didn't Meridian go out and look at KEIPs that were not successful or companies that did not have KEIPs, at least from our perspective, my perspective, doesn't really seem to make sense, because the question they were tasked with is come up

with a straw man proposal that we can look at and give us

cases. And that's exactly what Meridian did.

ideas of what has worked and what has been accepted in other

And then Kevin Carmody and the McKinsey folks took that and built on that proposal to hit the -- to create the incentives that were specific to this company and the facts and circumstances that it is facing.

There could really be no question that the debtor received independent advice in implementing this, both from Meridian and from McKinsey. And it was approved -- and I don't think anyone has really disputed this -- that this was approved by the independent compensation committee.

Against that backdrop, the debtors believe that this is a key employee incentive program that satisfies the requisite standards. And the comments or the objections about why doesn't it take into account the debtors' resolution of issues with the union, the debtors' resolution of issues with a particular creditor, the answer to that is, the purpose of

Colloguy

1 this KEIP is to incentivize the debtors to maximize the value of this enterprise for the benefit of all creditors. And it 2 3 is not to focus on a particular -- particular constituency, to say that here is the metric. 4

The other part of that is, if the debtors are successful in maximizing the value of this business, and in preserving aspects of this business for continued future operation, it does inure to the benefit of these individual parties, because you still continue to have the jobs that are associated with those continuing operations.

So from the debtors' perspective, again, we believe that we have met our burden, that we have demonstrated that this is a reasonable exercise of the debtors' business judgment. It satisfies the facts and circumstances test of 503. And we would ask the Court to approve it.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

All right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLETT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Sabin Willett, again, for the UMW Funds. I'd begin with just a trace of law we haven't talked about very much today. But at the essence, at the core of this thing, is a request to approve an administrative expense claim for the beneficiaries of the plan. And 503(b) says it has to be shown by the party with the burden, which is the movant, that the plan is necessary -- it's a necessary cost or expense of preserving

the estate.

We'll come back to that question when we reach the question of incentives. But the other thing we've said, I think, perhaps a trifle inaccurately, is we've said, well, the plan can't be retentive, because of the provision of 503(c)(2), I think it is. But in fact, a plan can be retentive. It's just very hard to meet the test for a retention plan, and it's limited what you can pay people for them.

And part of the problem here, which we heard right from the moment Mr. Heiman spoke this morning, when he said, "We need to retain and motivate this management." There has been an undercurrent of retention under this thing from the beginning. And it flowed right through the compensation expert, who originally came up with seven people, but then expanded it to seventeen, because there were ten they couldn't fit under the KERP.

My colleagues suggest that there's a standoff -- sort of standoffish business judgment standard here. But this is a Delaware corporation, and 363(b) doesn't impose a standard of review. We cited in our brief a case that I think is pretty close to this called Valeant, which called for the court to make its own independent scrutiny of insider bonuses applying what it calls an enhanced scrutiny. The court says -- it's talking about a bonus plan: "The transaction was initiated by

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

management. It was structured so that everyone would receive a bonus. The structure was not negotiated. Everyone involved had an interest in the transaction."

That was true here. And in Valeant the court in Delaware said that requires the court itself to make an independent assessment of the fairness of the transaction. So it's not a situation where you would yield to the so-called business judgment here. It's not like they made a deal with an outsider who is acting in its own interest. Everybody who's interested was in the room.

So there is a high threshold --

THE COURT: So the fact that there was an independent compensation committee, you don't put any credence into that?

MR. WILLETT: Well, Your Honor, as far as the record shows, and as far as other evidence that we didn't put in, the compensation committee made no changes to what was presented to them. And what was presented to them was a management-driven plan. So you really didn't have independent pushback, independent scrutiny.

What you did have was the compensation committee's own guy coming up with a KEIP -- and that's what it was, it wasn't a straw man, it was a KEIP -- that was rejected out of hand by management.

Now, I'll come on to that in a minute, but I think that unusual fact pattern here has to give you pause about the

33__3

1 role of the insiders in approving this transaction.

You heard Mr. Romanchek again and again, answer questions with some version of that wasn't my directive; that wasn't my directive; I wasn't directed to look at that. Well, 503(c)(3) doesn't say that you approve KEIPs if they're like the KEIP in the last case. It says you have to find that they're justified by the facts and circumstances of this case. And every time Mr. Romanchek was asked a detailed question -- not even a detailed question -- tell us about any of the comps: I don't know anything about the comps.

There was no -- none of the information that he gathered was -- there was no attempt to see whether it was relevant to the facts of this case. He had only two coal companies in his peer group, and he didn't have information from them, when literally he could have walked down this corridor and found out that the total amount of the Patriot II KEIP was 1.75 million dollars.

You know, what's happened in these cases, Your

Honor -- the first time I had one of these battles, it was a

KERP, and that's what they all used to be. The debtor's

justification was, we need to pay this bonus to retain the

employee. And Congress hated those things. We all saw the

legislation in 2005. Well, the fact that we're here today is

a testament to the creativity of my profession. The whole

profession just scrambled away from KERPs and they became

1 KEIPs. And then the KEIPs are justified, not by the facts and 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

circumstances of the case but by what happened in the last This is kind of what was done in the last case. And maybe it's a little bit higher than the last case, and that

sets the new median. And it's like barnacles on a boat. Pretty soon there're so many barnacles you can't see the boat

anymore. And that's where these KEIPs take you.

So with that backdrop on the law, let's look at the four buckets of fact that I promised you you'd hear about today. The first was, what was the genesis of this KEIP? Where did it come from?

And here -- I'm sorry, but there's just no other way to say this -- Mr. Romanchek was dancing. Exhibit 4 says this is a KEIP and it's the KEIP you asked for. At the request of Alpha, we've developed preliminary specs for a KEIP that's intended to meet 503(c)(3). And then there's a -- this isn't a document we created; it's his document. And there's all the detail in his attachment that exists in the KEIP that's before you: who's in it, what they get paid, what the metrics are, what the measurement dates are, it's all there.

That's the 29th of October. And then we see on the 3rd of November, Mr. Carmody is on his way to the company, and he's in touch with Mr. Banbury: what's going on with the KEIP? Not the straw man. Not the analysis of the comps. The KEIP. What's going on with the KEIP. He says: has Kevin,

referring to Mr. Crutchfield, seen it?

Let me get the words exactly right: "Has Kevin reviewed the proposal?" He's calling it a proposal. Then Mr. Banbury wants to talk to him. Then he comes -- Mr. Carmody comes back: "We should look at including a provision in KEIP that adjusts EBITDAR targets." So there is KEIP. It has an EBITDAR target, and he's talking about adjusting it, not throwing it out and starting a new one. This is Exhibit 10.

And Banbury says, that's Meridian's point. They agree. But Mr. Crutchfield is motivated in a different direction, I believe.

Then the very same day, that afternoon, Mr. Carmody meets Mr. Crutchfield alone and this KEIP is dead. It's gone. Nobody ever hears from it again. They start over with something that bears no relation to what's here. The total number is bigger. The metrics are different. The key metric is different. There's this new cash management that wasn't there before. And that thing goes all the way through the process to the compensation committee, which does not push back or change it or say what about our experts' proposal. Why are we abandoning that? So that's where this came from.

The second thing I suggested the Court might want to look at is just the pure scope of it, the size of it. And this is where Mr. Romanchek's testimony was really disappointing, because he came up with a set of comps and he

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knew nothing about any of them, not one could he tell you anything about that company.

All of the comps that had no KEIP at all, they're just eliminated, so they don't show up in his means and averages. Let's go find some other cases that are big and have KEIPs in them, and call them our comps. That's what he did. And he didn't even get the coal company ones.

There's two coal companies on his list. They're not there because they couldn't find them, and they didn't bother to get the Patriot II one, which was -- the motion was July of last year.

So what did that result in? Well, it resulted in The target that's in the KEIP before you is about 7.4 this. million, which is higher than what Mr. Romanchek proposed in his KEIP, Exhibit 4. That was 5.865 million. Which is higher than the 1.75 million in Patriot II. Those are the targets that -- the numbers become higher when you hit the maximums. But you have this elevation from the true comp, to what Mr. Romanchek initially suggested, to what's now before you.

And if we're talking about the most highly compensated employee, you will see in Exhibit 1, the Fund's Exhibit 1 at page 3432, you'll see what management employees were paid in incentive compensation in '14, '13, and '12. And it's a fraction of these figures.

Now, the third thing I was going to ask the Court to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think about was the metrics themselves. There's two that we're fighting about, two that we're not. As I said earlier, we don't disagree that it's appropriate to incentivize management to observe safety standards and meet with environmental requirements. But this cash -- this idea that you can take a snapshot of cash on a specific day and that can be a metric, the record's closed, and the debtors haven't identified you any KEIP ever that had that. They pointed to three that might, but Mr. Romanchek doesn't know. They talk about liquidity, cash flow, but they haven't identified any KEIP ever that just took a snapshot on a specific day of a cash balance.

And the reason that's so important is KEIPs make sense when the beneficiaries can't control the outcomes. you say to somebody, look, we're trying to sell this company, let's say, and we have a charismatic salesman for a CEO, let's get him out there to beat the bushes. And if he can do better, by golly, he'll have brought value for everyone. can't control that, but he puts his skill to work for the estate. It makes sense.

Or you have a KEIP that's based on a consensual plan being confirmed on a certain date. No one can control that. Many warring parties. It takes a diplomat. It takes a negotiator if you incentivize people to reach results that are good for everyone.

But when your incentive is to say, you have a billion

dollars of cash. Just make sure you still have 775 million on a date six months from now, and you say that to the very people who control every dime that they spend, you're giving them something that's within their control. Yes, they're not in control of pricing. But they are in control of timing of every check they write. And as you saw from the exhibits in the closed session, there's a lot of moving around as the experts model some of these types of line items that shows monies may not have to be spent or they may not have to be spent at a given moment. There's a reason why Mr. Romanchek, who's been in the business thirty years, could not identify a plan with this provision.

The other one here that's troubling is the thirty percent on cost savings. Now, you heard what some of those cost savings are. You heard how they relate -- what kind of issue they relate to and how, frankly, obvious some of them are. One might ask why haven't they been done already? And more centrally: why would you pay somebody an incentive to not spend money that they don't have? Why does someone need an incentive to look around to save money?

When families have to find savings, they don't need an incentive to find the savings. It's just they're faced with a difficult reality, and that's what they do. So that metric, the thirty percent one, didn't make sense either.

The last issue that I asked the Court to bucket was the challenge issue: how hard is this? Now, we heard Mr. Carmody. He's an effective witness. He's a partner to the management team. And he's a good soldier. And he was in here fighting for them for sure.

But when we looked at the cash modeling, the details of which I can't discuss in open court, but we saw what was subject to the manipulation. And I don't mean that in a negative sense. Just what was subject to timing and amount changes by those who are in charge of writing the checks.

And we heard a list of the kinds of savings that probably struck all of us as not that remarkable, the sorts of things that good management -- and I'm sure these people are good management -- would do in this distressed circumstance.

What we never heard was why do you need to incentivize someone to do that, unless what you're really trying to do is pay them a bonus for not leaving, which you can't do legally. Why does a manager in the distressed situation have to be paid an incentive to look at his expenses and find the ones that he can cut costs on? That was never explained.

In fact, what we heard back to some extent was emotional. We heard the word "travesty" early in the day from Mr. Heiman. And we heard and we read in their brief that these fellows are fiduciaries. And there was the suggestion

that we're attacking their integrity, which is not the case at all.

I had a dog for many years, Your Honor. The dog was a model of fiduciary duty. His entire life was devoted to pleasing others. It was loyal, faithful. But it was not prudent to leave that dog alone in a room with a steak.

People are natural. And when you create incentives that place a very great deal of money in the path of somebody who has power to manipulate the timing and amounts of payments, it's a negative incentive, and it's not one that a court should approve.

I don't say that there isn't some incentive plan that doesn't make sense here. I don't say that the parties wouldn't -- including our client -- would be happy to sit down and talk about that. We raised two examples of things that made sense in this case, not because the unions are special or because reclamation claimants are special, but just because those things are hard. And so if you had an incentive around things like that, you can see why it would make sense. You can see why the management team would really be delivering value if they were able to reach consensus.

I'm sure that creative minds could come up with other things that are hard, that are challenging, that are good for the estate, and that are not entirely within their control, and that are not at such large sums of money. Thank you, Your

1 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, before you cede the podium, let me ask you a question about Mr. Carmody's testimony. Because he was talking about a couple of things about specifically with the cash and why cash was so important, and how we get from here to there.

MR. WILLETT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And why -- I quess what I'm interested in is, from your client's perspective, why isn't that terribly important in this case?

MR. WILLETT: Well, cash is certainly important. What we don't understand is why all of these things wouldn't happen anyway without any of this bonus plan? These people are effective managers. Cash is tight. Their own futures may depend upon some sort of reorganization in which they figure as the management of a reorganized entity. I don't know if that would be something that they would consider. Why wouldn't they want to save cash?

THE COURT: So you're not suggesting that it would not be good for your constituency to achieve the goals that are here. You're just saying that those goals are just going to be achieved anyway?

MR. WILLETT: Well, I'm saying that they will either be achieved or not anyway, regardless of what happens with this plan, because these management are fiduciaries and they

are compensated already, and they have already received the

benefit of the AIB, and it's in their interest to act the way

2

3

they do.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

This is a zero-sum game. There's a lot of pain that's going to go around, certainly to people for whom we speak. And there's no answer to it other than the industry is in distress. But somehow it seems like the executives always get a pass on that.

We hear about how hard they work. They ought to work hard. All of us ought to work hard in a case like this. We hear they're loyal and good fiduciaries. They ought to be. Of course they should be. Nobody suggests they aren't. But in a zero-sum case, why is this huge sum of money being allocated away from one set of employees to another? That's really the question.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Mr. Bernstein?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Hugh Bernstein on behalf of the United States Trustee. to do my best to not go over the same -- cover the same ground that Mr. Willett did, but I don't want the Court to take that as I'm not agreeing. I do agree with everything he said, and I would incorporate that. Just no need to say it again.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

What I want to do is really focus on what I said at the beginning, that this is not justified by the facts and circumstances of this case, and ask the question of just how much is too much.

This is a company that lost 1.4 billion dollars last It lost big money, tens of millions of dollars every single month that it's been in bankruptcy. Just last month Alpha was before you arguing that it was so hopelessly insolvent that its investors, that its shareholders, have no chance of seeing any return on any of their investment into the company.

Pending before the Court right now is a motion to terminate the healthcare benefits of all of its nonunion retirees. The cost of those benefits is three million dollars. So when it comes to carrying through on the promise to its retirees, Alpha describes that three million dollars in its motion as a "financial burden". So three million dollars to the retirees is a financial burden, but two to three times that paid to the top executives, who are already making much more than those retirees, for the most part, is an incentive that Alpha can't do without.

And then we ask ourselves, well, that's an incentive for what? And that's what a lot of the testimony today dealt with. It's not an incentive to turn a profit. Profitability was forty percent of the AIB. It's completely removed from

9 10

13 14

11

12

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

the KEIP. All the KEIP really is, it's a bonus for doing the jobs that management is really required to do. And something that Mr. Romanchek said, I think, brought that home. Because I was asking him about the differences between the AIB and a KEIP. And what he said, well, they lost a lot of their other compensation. Well, now what we see what the real focus, what the real reason for the KEIP is. It's not to incentivize them to get something done, it's to make up for something that they lost, something that they're not getting, because times are bad, times are tough.

We've heard how bad the industry is. And again, I will try to stay away from covering the same ground, but I think it bears repeating. Mr. Willett talked about the shared sacrifice and the desire for shared sacrifice. But where's the sacrifice of the top executives who are just making up for what they lost by upping the KEIP in this case?

So the KEIP recipients already make a combined 5.7 million dollars in salary, before the KEIP. They're getting that 5.7 million. And Alpha admits that the KEIP recipients already have a fiduciary duty to maximize the estate. reply memo that it filed yesterday, at paragraph 6 Alpha argued that that fiduciary duty would prevent the KEIP recipients from doing things detrimental to the estate in order to get their bonuses or to reach those goals. But at the same time, they're telling us that the KEIP recipients

can't be expected to do their jobs unless we sweeten the pot
by a couple million dollars or several million dollars,
really. So again, another questions: which is it?

Now, maybe there is some deference to the debtors' business judgment under 503(c). I won't concede that, but perhaps there is. But it certainly doesn't require the Court to simply believe without question every self-serving that the debtors make to support themselves -- or to support paying themselves big bonuses.

If this was really an incentive program, one that really incentivized, then I think the targets would have something more to do with the real goals of a bankruptcy case and the real goals of a company that's intending to turn itself around. Profitability, as I mentioned before, and I think I've said it three or four times now, was historically forty percent of the AIB. Now, it's not even a factor. How about payments to creditors? That's a big deal in bankruptcy. What are we going to pay the creditors? That's not a factor. How about increasing the stock value? Again, it's just not a factor.

Instead, the main target is just having the same amount of cash in the bank that Alpha's basically had since it started. Mr. Carmody's testimony was that Alpha's got a billion dollars today. The operating reports show it's had about that the whole time. This is a target -- that cash

target -- and I'm not saying it's not worth something, that it's not important. I think we all actually know what it's worth, because the prior AIBs had it at about ten percent. It was ten percent of the bonus was based on this sort of cash metric.

THE COURT: So you don't think the world has changed based on where we are from a profitability standpoint. I mean, because the testimony I heard from Mr. Carmody was that we've got downward pressure with regard to the price of coal. We've got downward pressure with regard to volume of tonnage that's being sold. And the model that -- I mean, you heard him testify to and how that is not consistent with anymore. And you don't think that based on those dynamics and parameters that maybe then we need to adjust something anymore? Or we have to use the old parameters?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I think -- no. I think it's certainly changed. It's gotten a lot harder, no question. But the answer to it's harder isn't to make the incentive program, let's throw out the hard stuff and just move to the easy stuff. When an industry is failing, when an industry is going down, the executives make less money. That's kind of how business works.

If we're not turning a profit, we shouldn't be paying big bonuses to top execs. And outside factors -- and I'm not blaming the executives or the KEIP recipients. I'm not saying

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

they're at fault. It appears they've done a tremendous job. That's not the issue. Everybody says that this is the price of coal. These are outside factors. Okay. But that's the industry they're in.

But their answer to that, and to your question, is to take out of what's supposed to be an incentive program, the hard stuff. It's hard to turn a profit when the price is going down. So let's take something that's easy. We've got a billion dollars in cash sitting around, let's just keep that. If we can keep it around for a couple months, it's a whole lot easier than turning a profit.

So again, if we want to incentivize management to turn the company around, then why don't we tie the bonuses into how much can we repay the creditors, how successful the business is, and how profitable the business is? Again, not on how much cash we can accumulate on a randomly picked day, and not while the company shows annual losses of over a billion dollars. I mean, that's got to count for something.

The bonuses here keep going up while the company's losses also keep going up. That seems to be the inverse proportion.

And Alpha hasn't explained why this year's KEIP bonuses had to be so much higher than the AIBs to incentivize management. What they explained was, it has to be higher because we lost some other compensation somewhere else.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Again, Alpha lost -- and I hate to keep harping on this -- but they lost 1.4 billion last year, even though the AIB was in place. And the AIB was supposed to provide the same incentives to do these same kind of things. So I don't know why we think it's going to change just because we throw a little bit more money at it. But that's Alpha's answer. Let's just -- let's throw some more money to the execs and to the KEIP recipients, and maybe they'll have a greater incentive, I guess, is sort of the answer.

So that kind of brings me to my original point that these bonuses, in this facts and circumstances, are wrong and they're not justified. And for that reason, Your Honor, I'd ask that you deny the motion.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

MS. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor. Just a couple of points. Most of the arguments have already been made, and we won't repeat them.

If you take a look at the comps, they take out the cases where a KEIP was denied or where a KEIP wasn't sought. And we don't have any inclination with regard to whether or not those cases were successful. And we would respectfully submit, actually, some of them were.

In addition to that, Your Honor, they take out from the comps or they leave into the comps cases that are very,

COIIO

very different on a fact-by-fact basis. And this Court is required to look at the facts. So we have comps that include situations where the debtor kept its collective bargaining agreement, where the debtor paid unsecured creditors a hundred cents on the dollar, where the debtor had very different obstacles to overcome and where it actually overcame them: environmental issues and other issues. None of that was discussed in terms of what the comps mean.

In addition to that, Your Honor, they left out of the comps -- and Mr. Carmody actually alluded to it in his testimony -- that it's not unusual for the debtor to say we want to implement a bonus plan, but we're going to do it at the time of confirmation of a plan. And confirmation of a plan doesn't necessarily mean everybody is suddenly supportive of the incentive program. But if you're voting for your treatment under the plan, implicit in that is the management team gets their treatment for having accomplished the successful result.

But to do a program like this one in the middle of the case in a vacuum, makes it a much more difficult standard for the debtor to achieve on their own burden of proof, but also makes it much more detrimental to what has to happen for the rest of the case, in terms of the negotiations with the employees and in terms of the context that you find yourself in in a case that that's very, very CBA-labor intensive.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

In addition to that, Your Honor, one of the other things that came out during the testimony is Mr. Carmody's obviously good at what he does. That's how he's achieved the reputation that he has. Even under his most conservative projections, the KEIP here is achieved.

I understand that there are external factors and that the coal industry continues to be in flux. But under the most conservative projections, redone based upon the recent upheaval in the commodities market, the KEIP is achieved.

In addition to that, Your Honor, one of the reasons why we keep hearing in almost an offhanded way why the KEIP is important, is because it's going to preserve jobs. In a weird way that's almost insulting. In other words, we have to really, really overcompensate, from the view of some of the miners and rank-and-file employees, a handful of senior management, on the outside chance that some of the rest of the employees get to keep their jobs. And in this case, it's a little bit more difficult, because everybody -- every other employee is entitled to an incentive bonus except for the union employees, except for the miners. And it's the miners, Your Honor, that have the most difficult, most dangerous jobs.

So I would respectfully submit that if the CBA negotiation is as critical to this restructuring as we believe it is, and we fully intend to participate in it and have signed the NDAs and have started that whole process, and are

committed to a successful outcome; it just makes it that much

you're important, and by the way, they think themselves very,

more difficult in this situation to be sitting across the

table from people who have told you that they don't think

2

3

4

5

very important.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I would respectfully submit that I understand that the committee settled, and that the retiree committee settled, and that there are inferences that flow from that. We would caution the Court to consider the fact that sometimes it's very difficult to sit in a room and negotiate against a bonus to the person that you're going to have to negotiate plan terms with or sale terms with. But that doesn't necessarily translate into a ringing endorsement as opposed to simply moving forward to the next stage of the case.

THE COURT: Why does approving the KEIP suggest that your constituents, the miners, are not important?

MS. LEVINE: Your Honor, the --

THE COURT: I mean, if the pie grows, doesn't everybody benefit from that?

MS. LEVINE: The pie's not -- this KEIP is not tied to the pie growing. This KEIP is tied to liquidity. So these guys are getting bonused for cutting us. And we recognize that getting from upside down to right side up is not necessarily a bad thing. But paying twelve million dollars to cut us fifty million dollars is not the pie growing.

sacrifice. We'll work hard for a shared sacrifice Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

We don't want to be the sacrifice.

In other words, we are willing to accept a shared

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. LEVINE: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Does any other party wish to be heard before I hear again from Mr. Black?

MR. MEYER: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Damon Meyer --

THE COURT: I think we've actually moved to the It might even be evening. afternoon.

MR. MEYER: Oh, yes.

THE COURT: But that's -- I know it's hard.

MR. MEYER: Time is just flowing. Good afternoon, Your Honor. Damon Meyer form Davis Polk & Wardwell. We're counsel to the agents for the debtors' pre- and post-petition secured credit facilities. Your Honor, it's my client's cash collateral that's being used for this program here. And we just wanted to stand up to let the court know that the steering committee for both the pre- and the post-petition credit facilities supports the relief requested by the debtor with respect to the KEIP.

We believe that it appropriately incentivizes the debtors' management team. We believe it's necessary to preserve the value of the estates and maximize that value.

1 And we believe it's justifiable under the circumstances. 2 Unless Your Honor has any questions, that's all we 3 have to say. Thank you very much. 4 THE COURT: 5 MR. MEYER: Thank you. THE COURT: Does any other party wish to be heard? 6 7 Does the committee wish to be heard on this? I realize I'm 8 calling on you, but I --9 MR. FLECK: That's okay. 10 THE COURT: -- would like to hear what you have to 11 say. Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate 12 MR. FLECK: 13 that. We actually would -- our statement of position of the 14 committee is that we're not objecting. We're satisfied that the changes that were made improve the program. And on that 15 16 basis, the committee made a determination that it was not in 17 the best interests of the committee to pursue an objection under all the facts and circumstances. 18 19 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 20 MR. FLECK: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: I appreciate that. 22 Any other party wish to be heard? All right, you get the last word. 23

MR. BLACK: Your Honor, if I may? Mr. Hamilton,

actually, was going to do the rebuttal.

24

25

THE COURT: You just like to keep me on my toes about

1

2

who's going to be doing what.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAMILTON: Three points, Your Honor. 17/15 versus 8 in the KEIP. It's a little disingenuous. additional eight, the non-insider executives, or non-senior executives, were removed from the KERP on the grounds that people were convinced that they had too much power, too much influence on corporate policy decision-making, that they could not be in a KERP. They were deemed insiders for purposes of -- deemed disqualified for a KERP. That very basis necessarily follows from that that these are individuals that

So you can't take these eight people and put them in a no-man's-land, where they have too much influence to be in a KERP but not enough influence to be in a KEIP. That's just not -- that's just not a reasonable position, I think, for anybody to take, in good faith.

have a major influence on the ability of the company to

achieve the targets that the KEIP is designed to incent.

The evidence is uncontroverted from Mr. Carmody. All fifteen people will be contributing substantially to the achievement of the goals -- or have the ability to contribute to the achievement of the goals in the KEIP. I believe we've met our evidentiary burden with respect to all fifteen people that we have proposed in the KEIP.

Second, with respect to the Trustee's argument about

how much is too much. Quite simply, the Romanchek testimony is unrebutted. The amount in this KEIP is within the parameters of other comparable companies within the bankruptcy. That is his finding in his declaration based on the twenty other comparable bankruptcies -- companies in bankruptcy of the relatively same amount of size.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We can quibble about it if we had contrary evidence or contrary testimony, but we don't. It's unrebutted testimony. It's within the market; it's within the comparables. There's no evidence to suggest that it's too much.

All of the other arguments from the union, Ms. Levine; from the funds; from the trustee, all are essentially the same argument. This is a zero-sum game. How can you take money away from miners who are very important or from other creditors, and give it to this management when it's a zero-sum That's just not right. How can you give bonuses to game? management when the company is losing money? That makes no sense.

Those can be very superficially appealing arguments until you try to understand what's really happening here. What we have here is not a pie that is growing. We have a pie that is shrinking, and it's shrinking very fast, not at just this company but at almost every company in this industry, on an unbelievably accelerated pace, over the past year or so.

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

What needs to be incentivized here is action that stops the bleeding, that minimizes the shrinking. What is the action that has to occur to minimize that shrinking? It requires a lot of creativity. It requires a lot of work. It requires a lot of review and assimilation of information. It requires talking with countless number of constituencies to figure out what is the best way to minimize the shrinking of this pie. You have to answer phone calls at midnight. You have to deal with e-mails at 3 in the morning. You have to work on holiday weekends. And you need to incentivize people to do that work, because if you don't, at the end of the day, that pie will be a lot smaller than it otherwise would be, and there will be less to go around for everybody.

And that means there will be less mines operating, and there will be fewer miners working, and there will be more people unemployed with no benefits. It means that the secured creditors will get less. It means the unsecured creditors will get less. These are not bonuses for people turning a profit. This is incentives to stop the bleeding in a way that benefits everybody.

You've got to ask yourself, whose money are we spending here? We're spending the cash collateral of the first lien lenders. If the Trustee's arguments were right, if the funds' arguments were right, this is too much money, why would the first lien lenders be in favor of this? There is

Trust me.

nobody tighter with money than these banks.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

They are willing for us to spend their cash

collateral to do this. Why? Because they understand that if you incentivize management to save this money and figure out the most profitable mines, and figure out the way to stop the bleeding, in the end, they will have more cash collateral at the end than they would otherwise.

The same determination, with some tweaks and a lot of negotiations was made by the creditors' committee. figured out, if there's any recovery for the creditors' committee at the end of the day, it's going to be bigger if we incentivize management to achieve these goals. We will be better off, if we incentivize man -- we will end up making money by allowing these incentive payments to be made to management. Because if we don't, in the end, there'll be less money for us at the end of the table -- end of the game.

The funds and the union, they're members of the creditors' committee. So how come they have a different view? Why do these two groups have a different view than the tightest people in the world: the first lien lenders and the second lien lenders; and the unsecured creditors' committee? Why is their view different?

And in the end, if you look at your pleadings, you kind of get a feel for it. What they are concerned about is that we are incentivizing management to conserve cash and

1 maximize the value of the enterprise, and that in the end, we might be terminating jobs that they don't want to be 2 3 terminated. Believe me, I understand that concern. Everybody here understands that concern. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But we all understand, in the big picture, what we need to do is minimize the bleeding, maximize the value in a way that preserves as much of an operation as we can, either as a standalone reorganized company or sell it as a going concern. Because if you do it that way, in the end, you will maximize the number of miners who remain employed. You will maximize the value of the operations that continue to contribute to retirement funds and continue to meet the reclamation liabilities.

That's what everybody else has determined needs to happen. And we believe the testimony here that says that's going to happen is unrebutted, and the only thing you have in response to it is lawyers' argument.

On that basis, we would urge the Court to grant the motion.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. All right, the Court has before it the motion of the debtors to approve the KEIP in this case. So we've been going at this for a long time today.

The Court is sensitive to all of the arguments that it has heard today. And the Court weighs the evidence that it has, in light of the parameters that the Code has given us.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

The first question is whether this is an incentive program or whether it's a retention program. And we've heard some comments about whether or not, since it has some sort of a retention basis to it, that that somehow is a poison pill. And I don't think that that's the case. I think that if the Court finds that it is an incentive program, the fact that it would also have a retentive feature to it is not necessarily the final answer with regard to the analysis. And the Court

does find that it has an incentive feature to it.

Whether the incentives are what everybody agrees the incentives should be is a big question that we've heard about today. We've been hearing about whether the metrics are the right metrics or whether the metrics should be something else. Should it be valuation? Should it be EBITDA? Should it be EBITDAR? Should it be -- but the testimony we had today was from Mr. Carmody. And he said cash was king. And he said that what we've got is a cash bleed to which he testified. And we walked through the projections that we heard. And we all heard that testimony of where we are and where we're going to be based on that, and what has to happen as was adjusted, that we have to stop the cash burn. So how do we do that? And do we incentivize management to do that?

Now, I've been hearing from the beginning of this case what a gifted management team is here and is -- has their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

hands on the controls of this company and what's going on. And we've heard about fiduciary duty today, and the fiduciary duties that are expected of this critical management team. And I have no doubt that we have the dedicated management that we need at the threshold here and is in the best position to guide the company, and that they will perform their fiduciary duties. But I don't think this is a steak with a dog. I think that this is something that would incentivize the management team to make something happen; make something happen that right now, if we look forward, might not happen, given what's happening to the price of coal on a daily basis, and given the tonnage that is coming out.

And I do believe -- and anybody that's run a reorganization knows that cash is king. And I take to heart what Mr. Carmody said, and that's the only testimony that I have on that. And so to say that that should not be the metric, I think it is suggesting that we ignore a certain reality.

Whether or not it's the business judgment rule or whether or not it's some enhanced independent scrutiny, I don't think makes much difference in this case, because the only testimony I have with regard to that is the testimony of Mr. Carmody and the testimony that I had from Mr. Romanchek. Mr. Romachek said specifically he was responsible for design. He was responsible for cost of the overall. And he put this

based on other reorganizations; that the cost of this
incentive plan was certainly within the range that had been
approved in other cases from the cost standpoint.

The fact that he didn't consider other things or didn't get into the weeds or the specifics of this particular company, that was provided by Mr. Carmody, who did do that. And what we saw was a plan where we had some overall design, but when Mr. Carmody got there, what he did was he streamlined it specifically for what Alpha needed to have happen in this case, and where this case needed to go, and where we needed to be in this case, six months from now. And that is with a stockpile of some cash left in this case, so that we can get to where we want to be.

There's been a lot said about the pie, zero-sum game. What we've got here -- what we need to do is maximize value. And I think that this key employee plan will go a long way toward doing that.

I'm going to approve the KEIP. I think that the fact that we've got a management team in place -- I heard that loud and clear from Mr. Carmody how they meet, the committees that meet, what goes on there. To say that one member of this team is more important or less important than any others, just doesn't ring true to me. That's not the way management teams work. And this is a team. We've got fifteen on the team that would be a part of this.

9 10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

By incentivizing management, I don't see that as a slap in the face or in any way being disrespectful or completely disregarding other employees including especially the union employees in this company. I see that if the value is preserved, the funds benefit. If the value is preserved, the unions can benefit. And yes, there may be some hard decisions we're going to have to make, not only for those constituencies but for other constituencies, as we go forward in the case; whether or not the viability of this industry, the viability of this company. But we need to have the opportunity to get there in order to figure out where that is. And if we don't get there, then there's not going to be anything there.

So those are the reasons the Court is going to approve this. I thank you very much for your presentations today. I don't want you to think that I didn't hear what you were saying. I heard you loud and clear. And but I did want to put that on the record.

And of course you have the right to appeal this Court's decision, as you well know, which is one of the reasons I wanted to make sure that we had the record as we went through today, if that's the decisions that you make.

I think that management in this case has their work cut out for them. And I'm rooting for them. I'm rooting for them so that they can lift every constituency in this case,

and that we can hopefully come to some successful exit that works to everybody's benefit. It doesn't always work, but I'm hopeful that in this case it might just.

So in any event, that's the Court's ruling in this case. Are there any questions regarding the Court's ruling?

MR. BLACK: Your Honor, we will -- if it pleases the Court, we'll submit a revised order. As I said, we did need to add the language that I mentioned earlier about 1113/1114 carve-out.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BLACK: So we will add that language, and we will get it uploaded to you as soon as possible.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any other questions, comments?

All right. Thank you very much for your time.

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Court is now adjourned.

(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 4:31 PM)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

									216
1									
2			I	NDEX					
3								VOIR	
4	WITNESSES:		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIE	RECT	RECROSS	DIRE	
5	FOR THE DEB	STOR:							
6	Robert Roma	ınchek	65	82/127	13	33	136		
7	Kevin Carmo	ody	137	146					
8									
9									
10	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTI	ON			I.D	. EVID		
11	Debtors':								
12	2 1 Mr. Hassey's declaration 64 64								
13	2 Mr. Romanchek's supplemental			ntal	70	0			
14		declarati	on						
15	3	Mr. Roman	chek's d	eclarat	ion	7	4 74		
16	4	L. Patric	k Hassey	's		11:	3 113		
17		Declarati	on (dupl	icate)					
18	U.S. Truste	e's:							
19	1	KEIP sche	dule			12	1 126		
20	2	Revised K	EIP sche	dule		12	1 127		
21	Funds':								
22	1, 2, and	Various d	ocuments				127		
23	4 to 8								
24									
25									

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

		A35	7			
						217
1	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	I	.D.	EVID	
2	Funds':					
3	9	E-mail chain from Mr	. Carmody to	1	172	
4		Mr. Crutchfield date	ed 11/3			
5	10	E-mail chain started	l from Meridi	an	172	
6		to Mr. Banbury dated	l 10/28 enclo	sing		
7		updated KEIP documer	nts			
8						
9	RULINGS:		P	AGE	LINE	
10	Interim fee	applications approve	ed for	22	16	
11	debtors' pr	ofessionals.				
12	Interim fee	applications approve	ed for	24	11	
13	creditors'	committee's profession	onals.			
14	Debtors' su	pplemental application	on to expand	25	19	
15	the scope o	f retention of Ernst	& Young			
16	approved.					
17	Debtors' mo	tion to reject certai	n unexpired	26	17	
18	leases of p	ersonal property appr	coved.			
19	Debtors' mo	tion for assumption a	and renewal	28	18	
20	of insuranc	e program with Nation	al Union Fir	e		
21	Insurance C	ompany of Pittsburgh	approved.			
22	Debtors' mo	tion to authorize per	formance of	32	16	
23	their oblig	ations under the seco	ond amendment			
24	approved.					
25						

1	RULINGS: PAGE	LINE	
2	Creditors' committee's application to retain 35	5	
3	Innovative Compensation and Benefits		
4	Concepts, LLC as its compensation consultant		
5	approved.		
6	Creditors' committee's motion for extension 36	11	
7	of the challenge period approved.		
8	Committee of retired employee's motion for 37	15	
9	information sharing procedures and protocols		
10	motion approved.		
11	Wilmington Trust's motion for a standing 41	4	
12	order authorizing telephonic appearances		
13	approved.		
14	Debtors' motion to seal the declaration 47	25	
15	of Robert Romanchek approved.		
16	KEIP is approved. 213	17	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CERTIFICATION

I, Penina Wolicki, the court approved transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing is a true and correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

January 25, 2016

DATE

AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-569

lenina hodiem.

PENINA WOLICKI

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

-				
	131:1;143:13	31:15,18;90:7;	21:8,9,10;23:10;	25:22;26:20,24;
\$	accurately (2)	95:3;125:23;126:5,	81:3;82:3;147:1;	28:21;32:24;37:20;
	68:10;70:19	10	156:11	41:16;80:20
\$204.18 (1)	achieve (13)	adding (4)	advisors (5)	agent (2)
21:22	39:15;44:11;	49:6;76:20;134:6,	13:6;56:21;80:6;	50:7;53:2
	109:20;142:2;144:5,	8	138:24;162:16	agents (1)
\mathbf{A}	7;145:7;178:20;	addition (11)	advisory (1)	204:16
	179:4;193:20;	38:10;49:2;61:24;	161:6	aggregate (4)
abandoning (1)	201:21;206:13;	128:16;146:20;	advocate (1)	30:15;106:4,12;
187:21	209:12	170:5;174:8;200:24;	59:6	107:11
ability (5)	achieved (10)	201:9;202:1,10	advocating (1)	ago (5)
38:7,25;144:5;	49:10;55:7;72:1,3,	additional (6)	43:9	14:2;65:18;73:1;
206:12,21	10;193:22,24;202:3,	39:25;49:3;53:14;	afar (1)	82:19;83:3
able (17)	5,9	90:7;97:24;206:5	155:15	agree (14)
10:10,12;32:2;	achievement (7)	address (2)	affect (1)	17:23;23:17;37:4;
38:25;39:18;40:12;	49:13,24;52:18;	11:12;22:4	15:17	45:3;58:11;86:4;
47:14,19;70:9;76:8;	72:12;133:17;	adequate (1)	affidavit (2)	122:20;157:11;
101:21;105:14;	206:21,22	19:25	83:5,6	158:4;160:10;
106:2;115:22;137:2;	achievements (2)	adjourned (3)	affiliate (1)	164:16,20;187:10;
162:7;192:21	52:10;180:7	20:18,20;215:17	27:2	194:24
above (1)	achieving (2)	adjudicated (1)	afford (2)	agreed (6)
108:3	86:22;133:20	20:1	169:4,7	7:21;27:21;30:9;
	acknowledge (1)	adjust (5)	afloat (1)	51:9;52:2;63:15
absent (1) 82:20	44:19	66:22;151:5;	11:4	agreeing (1)
	across (9)	152:5;153:1;198:14	afternoon (14)	194:24
absolutely (3)	10:5;61:19;	adjusted (6)	82:1,2;108:24,25;	agreement (25)
100:12;160:12;	139:18,19;140:10;	13:12;52:3;	109:7,9;137:25;	7:21,23;14:19;
162:18	167:17;170:16,16;	117:24;118:7,15;	138:1;146:18;	15:13,20;16:4;
accelerated (1)	203:2	211:21	177:25;182:18;	21:21;28:24;29:5,9,
207:25	Act (3)	adjusting (1)	187:12;204:11,14	12,13,16;35:13,14;
accept (1)	59:15;152:7;194:2	187:7	again (74)	42:7;51:7;63:13;
204:1	acting (1)	adjusts (3)	6:20;8:1;15:6;	130:12;131:6,11;
acceptable (2)	184:9	150:19,25;187:6	16:15;17:25;23:2;	157:11;165:2;176:4;
47:25;110:23	action (2)	administrative (1)	24:6,8,17;53:23;	201:4
accepted (2)	208:1,3	182:22	54:11;60:11;67:5;	agreements (7)
112:20;181:9	actions (1)	admirably (1)	72:11;76:1,17;78:1;	14:6;27:4;29:4;
Access (1)	51:25	17:12	80:4,9;84:24;87:17;	43:3;51:25;129:16,
25:25	active (1)	admissibility (1)	88:11,16;90:20;	21
accompli (1) 20:3	61:10	127:11	91:9;93:21;94:14;	agrees (1)
	activities (1)	admission (1)	97:1;100:13,24;	211:11
accomplish (2)	29:23	74:6	101:21;105:18;	ahead (7)
74:16;139:12	actual (9)	admit (1)	107:18;108:24;	33:13;39:21;
accomplished (1)	22:1;33:25;72:12;	127:8	115:10;116:11,15,	40:20;43:17;53:12;
201:17	88:9;89:8;90:1,17;	admits (1)	17;117:15;118:14;	75:18;132:5
accordance (1) 50:19	148:6;169:12	196:19	119:1,18,19;124:22;	AIB (29)
	actually (31)	admitted (5)	126:10:130:7:137:1:	50:11,14,20,24;
according (3)	34:8;42:9;44:22;	64:24;74:2;	140:12,25;142:14;	52:9;60:14,16,16,17;
61:17;116:11; 136:6	48:6;61:7;62:6,16;	111:23;126:22;	146:19;161:20;	69:11;70:19;71:10,
Accordingly (2)	65:16,21;72:5;	127:17	163:4;164:18;	12,14,21;72:11;
26:9:31:10	82:19;86:2;89:1;	advance (7)	170:16;171:3,9;	78:18;100:10;
/	90:15;92:2,3;97:6;	19:21;20:1;39:4,7,	173:7;174:16;179:5;	122:23;124:9;173:1,
account (3) 108:5;132:12;	100:3;107:20;	13,24;40:2	180:22;182:11,19;	13;174:9;194:2;
	110:20;117:7;129:7;	advancing (1)	185:2,2;187:14;	195:25;196:4;
181:23 accounting (3)	150:5;175:18;198:2;	20:6	194:25;196:11;	197:16;200:3,3
24:23;65:19;167:6	200:23;201:6,10;	advice (2)	197:3,19;199:12,15;	AIBs (4)
24:25;05:19;107:0 accretive (1)	204:10;205:13,25	83:19;181:16	200:1;204:7	119:21;124:20;
179:17	ad (1)	advise (1)	against (6)	198:3;199:23
accumulate (1)	38:4	39:6	31:1;54:12,21;	AIG (1)
199:16	add (8)	advised (1)	159:22;181:20;	27:2
199:16 accurate (11)	8:16;49:8,20;58:6;	67:23	203:10	air (1)
9:22;18:20;69:15;	76:18;145:8;215:8,	advising (1)	agenda (17)	74:20
73:10;98:23;100:12;	11	155:7	6:2,7;16:24;20:17,	airport (1)
106:11,14;110:10;	added (7)	advisor (8)	20,21;23:5,10;24:18;	150:6
100:11,14;110:10;	,		, , , , ,	

Case 110. 15-55070-1110	· 1			Junuary 21, 2010
all-important (1)	6:2;7:20;48:22;	APEP (5)	177:1;189:3	68:6
10:16	49:2;51:20	49:13,23;144:13;	appropriately (3)	around (17)
allocated (1)	amendment (13)	151:18;170:20	33:19;66:22;	17:6;138:11,20;
194:14	28:23;29:3,12,15,	apologize (3)	204:23	142:14;152:13,19;
allow (5)	18,20,24;30:5,11,18,	19:20;44:3;67:22	appropriateness (1)	162:7;169:22;190:8,
29:25;37:24;	22;31:4;32:14		76:1	
		Apparently (2)		21;192:18;194:5;
50:16;54:25;177:12	amenities (1)	22:15;104:19	approval (7)	197:14;199:9,10,13;
allowance (1)	106:11	appeal (1)	21:15;23:6;28:9;	208:13
21:13	America (2)	214:19	51:16;73:3;99:14;	arrangement (1)
allowing (2)	21:9;61:10	appealing (1)	174:9	164:22
20:8;209:14	among (1)	207:20	approve (20)	arrived (1)
alluded (4)	173:12	appear (8)	22:16;23:25;	116:9
53:6;54:10;55:24;	amount (20)	37:24;38:6;39:9;	24:11;25:9;34:24;	article (2)
201:10	10:24;13:2;30:15;	40:23;85:21;89:12;	38:18;40:8,9;41:4;	68:7,10
almost (13)	33:25;62:14;72:8;	122:22;127:10	57:18,21;129:5;	aside (1)
7:8;9:11;46:16;	94:5;101:6;103:2;	appearance (3)	142:6;182:15,22;	152:16
56:7;57:25;60:8;	116:4;118:7;132:11;	38:14,19;40:3	185:5;192:11;	aspect (5)
62:13;79:16;93:16;	133:18;155:19;	appearances (2)	210:21;213:18;	45:7;94:13;95:21;
121:19;202:11,13;	159:22;185:16;	37:22;218:12	214:15	164:11;178:21
207:24	191:9;197:22;207:2,	appearing (1)	approved (37)	aspects (3)
alone (2)	6	35:10	14:2;22:6;24:21;	14:12;163:21;
187:13;192:6	amounts (7)	appears (2)	25:6,19;28:7,11;	182:7
along (6)	34:17;52:11;58:2;	85:12;199:1	31:6;35:6;50:12;	assemble (1)
19:11;79:6;80:22;	72:15;88:4;160:5;	appendix (13)	56:22;57:3;71:7,20,	11:24
153:6;160:19;161:6	192:9	41:17;84:6,8,25;	21,23;72:7;80:24;	assertions (3)
alongside (2)	analyses (1)	85:1,2,11,14;86:1,7;	102:16,18;128:17,	55:20;130:18,25
147:7;167:23	12:25	95:18;113:13,15	19,23;129:19,20;	assessment (1)
Alpha (42)	analysis (8)	applicable (3)	155:11;176:15;	184:6
6:1;10:9;25:24;	89:16;95:24,24;	27:9,24;151:17	180:24;181:17,19;	asset (4)
26:3;28:24;51:22;	96:5;99:3;119:21;	application (8)	213:3;218:5,7,10,13,	102:25;135:7;
63:10;65:14;66:7;	186:24;211:9	21:19;23:9;24:19;	15,16	136:19;152:5
78:9,15;80:8;82:23;	Anderson (2)	25:9;32:25;33:15;	approving (6)	assets (33)
86:12;117:8,22;	23:9;35:10	35:3;218:2	25:13;26:11,25;	8:9;13:21,23,24,
118:6,10;119:9,14,	Andy (1)	applications (12)	129:4;185:1;203:15	25;14:3,9,10,11;
24;133:11;138:10;	141:7	20:22;21:2,16,25;	approximate (1)	15:2,3,4,4,10,17,23;
140:1,9,24;141:22;	annual (14)	22:9,12,16,24;23:6;	30:23	25:2,4,8;35:21;36:1;
144:15;152:19;	66:8,10,14,21,22;	24:4,7,11	approximately (6)	53:17,25;54:5,17,20;
167:12;169:21;	71:7,8;77:4;119:22;	applied (1)	6:11;9:4;29:6;	75:2;128:10;136:20;
170:19;179:15;	123:18;173:1,12,14;	34:21	52:2;65:15,18	150:20;151:1;
186:15;195:8,16,21;	199:17	applies (2)	arduous (1)	150:20;151:1;
196:19,21;199:22;	annualized (2)	34:3;164:10	17:23	assigned (1)
200:1;213:9	51:23;115:2	apply (2)	area (7)	29:10
Alpha's (5)	ANR (6)	55:15;136:21	57:24;58:9,19,21;	assignment (4)
118:20;142:5;	30:9;106:15;	applying (1)	59:2;119:19;174:16	28:23;29:11,13,16
197:22,23;200:6	107:4,21;152:15;	183:23	areas (3)	assimilation (1)
alternative (3)	154:15	appreciate (6)	139:18;167:6;	208:5
27:19;136:21;	ANR-KEIP (1)	18:4;19:2;68:12;	170:17	assistance (1)
152:17	158:21	100:24;205:12,21	argued (2)	36:4
alternatives (4)	ANR's (2)	appreciative (1)	44:21;196:22	associated (1)
26:5;27:16;	94:23:95:16	61:7	arguing (2)	182:10
152:12,22	answered (1)	approach (11)	132:7;195:8	Associates (1)
although (2)	131:22	16:4;43:9;63:23;	argument (5)	65:17
21:11;179:2	answer's (1)	69:3;72:22;81:16;	39:8;181:3;	assume (5)
Alvarez (5)	132:4	97:7;114:12;140:13;	206:25;207:14;	28:2,4;63:1;
21:8;160:19,21;	anticipated (1)	143:1;146:13	210:17	148:12;150:8
161:6;162:16	149:2	appropriate (24)	arguments (11)	assumed (1)
	anticipating (1)			129:16
always (8)	2 0	7:19,25;18:13;	16:25;17:25;	
11:25;40:21;	55:25	23:23;24:1,12;	43:13;44:15;45:4;	assuming (1)
50:17;60:17;127:21;	anticipation (1)	27:11;31:12;35:23;	200:17;207:12,20;	47:8
167:19;194:7;215:2	27:17	44:15,16;45:2,5;	208:23,24;210:24	assumption (6)
Amazing (2) 11:16,17	anymore (4) 94:10;186:7;	46:17;75:22;77:13;	arise (1) 39:10	26:25;28:23;
amended (5)	94:10;186:7; 198:12,15	81:5;89:15;96:10, 20;97:2;130:4;	39:10 arises (1)	29:12,13,16;123:9 assumptions (2)
amenucu (3)	170.12,13	20,71.2,130.4,	a1 15C5 (1)	assumptions (2)

	1		1	. ,
13:11;42:16	avoid (1)	21:3;22:2,21;28:8;	134:14;158:20,23;	53:18:54:23:55:18;
attach (1)	40:1	31:7;53:10;59:13,	159:3	56:14;139:22;
31:23	award (2)	19;66:7;74:24;95:4;	batting (1)	173:11;175:22;
attached (13)	61:22;100:4	101:17;104:23;	177:22	178:7;179:20;182:2,
31:17,23;37:8;	aware (10)	118:22;123:10,11,	battles (1)	8;194:2;203:19;
67:6;72:18;73:14;	19:10;98:1;	25;124:23;128:24;	185:19	214:5,6;215:2
84:21;85:14;99:2;	101:14;103:2;	129:4,21,22;139:4;	bear (2)	Benefits (17)
111:5;112:13,19;	129:23;132:20;	155:17;164:8;	54:6;59:19	33:1;49:11,12;
143:17	134:20;154:17;	174:14;175:9;195:7;	bears (2)	50:13;60:7;61:21;
Attachment (5)	154.20,154.17,	197:12,17;207:4,6	187:15;196:13	169:21;170:21,22,
86:4,24;88:5;	away (4)	banks (1)	beat (1)	23;173:8;179:12;
	185:25;194:14;	209:1	189:17	195:13,14;208:16,
158:8;186:18	196:12;207:15	bargaining (7)	became (5)	20;218:3
attachments (1) 95:2	190.12,207.13	129:16,21;130:12;	65:13;132:20;	benefitted (1)
	В		138:9;140:1;185:25	174:15
attacking (1) 192:1	В	131:6,11;176:16; 201:3		
	hl- (40)		become (6)	Beran (1)
attempt (1)	back (40)	barnacles (2)	8:10;80:4,8;	36:16
185:12	6:18;8:12,23;11:7,	186:5,6	138:15,15;188:17	BERNSTEIN (41)
attended (1)	21;12:4;13:1;33:16;	barrel (1)	becomes (2)	59:24,25;61:1;
80:19	34:10,25;45:2,23,24;	55:25	38:22;178:22	69:21;108:22,24;
attends (1)	47:15;54:11;67:14;	base (19)	becoming (1)	109:1,1,6,7;110:11,
71:4	74:25;79:4;94:18;	91:11;93:12,16,	62:21	18,22;111:6,16,20,
attorney (1)	97:4,18;103:24;	24;103:24;122:7,17;	bed (3)	22;112:1;114:3,8,12,
109:8	106:19,22;107:3;	123:18,18,23;124:1,	29:22;30:1,14	15;120:1,4,9,13,15,
attractive (2)	113:25;150:10,11;	11;125:11,13,25;	begin (1)	17,20;121:8;126:12,
54:1;82:15	151:24;161:11;	139:7;159:23,25;	182:19	14,20;176:22,24;
attributed (1)	164:14;165:5;	166:15	beginning (8)	194:19,20,21;
73:9	169:21;170:5;177:9,	based (35)	20:25;27:15;	198:16;200:14,15
auditor (1)	13;183:2;187:5,20;	39:1;51:23;52:3,	42:22;69:12;86:4;	best (16)
21:6	191:22	18;72:5;73:1;77:21;	183:14;195:2;	11:3;16:14;17:17;
August (4)	backdrop (3)	86:22;87:23;88:21;	211:24	37:1;38:12;69:16;
20:25;65:15;	54:12;181:20;	90:23;98:17;103:21;	begins (1)	73:11;134:3;143:13;
66:13;102:12	186:8	104:1,6,10;106:14;	98:17	145:2;157:23;
authenticate (2)	backwards (1)	117:17;127:7;	behalf (23)	176:18;194:22;
111:8;143:6	147:24	128:10;133:18,19;	6:5,20;18:9,12;	205:17;208:7;212:5
authority (5)	bad (3)	134:9;149:6;152:2;	20:8,15;23:3;24:17;	better (8)
25:17;155:13,18,	196:10,11;203:24	180:5,23;189:21;	32:22;35:10;37:3,	11:13;13:21;
22;173:9	baked (2)	198:4,7,13;202:8;	18;39:12;41:10;	82:10;142:3;161:16;
authorization (2)	71:12;149:14	207:4;211:21;213:1	55:1;59:25;61:9;	168:13;189:18;
32:13;73:8	balance (8)	baseline (1)	73:6;109:1;138:7;	209:13
authorize (3)	58:15,16,18;	141:9	147:3;178:1;194:21	beyond (6)
24:1;28:22;73:5	103:21;104:1,10;	basic (1)	behavior (2)	10:14;66:4;75:8;
authorized (2)	134:21;189:12	13:12	44:19,22	129:24;131:19;
143:9,14	ball (1)	basically (14)	belief (4)	178:21
authorizing (7)	45:14	13:20;44:14;	21:25;69:16;	bid (4)
24:22;26:25;	Banbury (11)	50:16;51:10;53:22;	73:11;143:14	136:22,25;160:6,6
31:11;37:21;38:19,	84:11,14;85:15;	77:19;78:4;88:20;	below (4)	bifurcated (1)
24;218:12	98:13;148:1,11;	109:11;147:12;	76:18;102:25;	139:1
auto (2)	153:7;172:5;186:23;	172:25;174:12;	108:2;135:7	big (9)
27:7;175:4	187:4,9	179:17;197:22	belts (2)	100:23;104:13;
automatic (1)	Banbury's (1)	basis (20)	168:12,13	188:5;195:6;197:9,
35:21	86:24	8:10;15:25;21:14,	benchmark (2)	17;198:24;210:5;
automobile (2)	bank (2)	16;22:6,17;24:1,12;	8:22;62:6	211:12
27:5,24	132:11;197:22	40:5;53:22;66:10,	benchmarking (2)	bigger (2)
available (5)	banker (1)	22;115:2;167:20;	139:4;167:12	187:16;209:11
27:25;59:14;	21:5	201:1;205:16;	benchmarks (1)	biggest (4)
76:17;110:20;	bankrupt (3)	206:10;210:18;	167:12	58:14;60:16,18;
129:11	74:22;100:20;	211:5;212:11	beneficiaries (2)	124:5
average (6)	105:25	baskets (2)	182:22;189:14	bill (2)
107:17,20,23;	bankruptcies (1)	13:21,23	beneficiary (1)	136:5;156:20
108:5,8,13	207:5	Bates (12)	57:22	billion (11)
averages (3)	bankruptcy (36)	85:8,8,12,23;86:5;	benefit (19)	10:13,13;60:6;
99:3,17;188:5	8:24;12:12,15,17;	103:8,10;106:25;	26:8;44:22,24;	165:19,23;190:1;
	. , , ,	<u> </u>		

-	1	1	1	
195:5;197:24;199:9,	200:11;207:17;	151:7;152:12;	183:24;208:8	82:12,17;83:1,15;
18;200:2	208:18	158:11	came (11)	98:2;115:23;137:19,
binder (6)	book (5)	burden (8)	13:16;88:20;	25;138:4;142:24;
81:12;83:24;98:7,	52:4;117:24;	19:21;46:4;	101:11;132:24;	143:9,17,24;146:18;
9,10;146:21	118:7,15;127:11	182:12,24;195:17,	135:20;139:25;	171:16;172:2,22,24;
binding (1)	both (15)	18;201:21;206:23	149:22;183:15;	178:19;179:5;
179:2	13:5;15:14,18;	burn (8)	187:21,25;202:2	181:11;186:22;
bit (10)	21:11;27:7;46:18;	10:16,20,22;	can (133)	187:4,12;191:3;
16:17;19:8;58:21;	52:6;53:9;55:13;	53:17;54:25;167:24;	8:16;10:7;11:3;	198:8;201:10;
60:12;73:1;121:11;	106:5;107:25;	179:7;211:22	12:7,22,23;15:6;	206:19;211:17;
162:3;186:4;200:6;	108:13;177:7;	burning (2)	17:21;20:1;31:23;	212:15,23;213:6,8,
202:18	181:16;204:20	108:15;167:21	34:15;36:5;39:6,14;	20
Bizzack (2)	bother (1)	bushes (1)	40:1,4;42:5,20;	Carmody's (6)
29:1,11	188:9	189:17	43:14;44:4,9,13;	118:18;143:21;
BLACK (29)	bottom (9)	business (65)	45:5;46:18;47:1,15,	180:5;193:3;197:23;
6:3,5,5,16,17;7:7;	98:17;103:10;	7:15;8:1,7;10:15;	16;48:18;55:21;	202:2
41:9,9,12,14;43:14,	106:17;125:7,11;	11:6,8;12:10,13,20;	57:25;58:7;59:9;	carries (1)
17,21;44:7;45:17;	134:14;147:25;	13:4,9,11,13,17,19;	64:2,8;65:9,13;	114:23
48:3,17,21;51:3,6,	156:17;158:20	26:8,10;27:8;28:6,7,	66:11;67:3;69:9;	carry (1)
14;57:24;177:23,25;	boy (1)	11;31:5,6;42:15,17;	70:23;71:1;72:24;	14:14
178:1;204:7;205:24;	152:21	53:2;56:25;65:21;	73:17;74:10,14;	carrying (2)
215:6,11	break (12)	138:12,18;139:7;	81:12,16,18;82:14;	10:12;195:15
Blackacre (1)	63:2,3;73:18;	140:2,8,13,14,18,19,	85:2,6,23;87:25;	carve-out (1)
23:11	143:5,20;146:4,9;	23;141:23;142:14;	91:15;92:2;95:25;	215:9
blaming (1)	157:3,13,21;177:3,	144:9;147:18;	96:4;102:13;104:14;	case (109)
198:25	12,13,13,21,177.3,	149:16;151:13,18;	105:2;107:22;	6:10;7:11;11:21,
blanket (1)	breaks (1)	158:12;161:9;162:9,	110:11,14;114:11;	22;12:16,21;14:15;
109:3	157:10	10;163:2;173:12;	116:19;117:4,16;	15:22;16:19,23;18:5,
bleed (1)	brief (14)	178:22;180:11,12;	122:7,23;130:16;	15,24;19:1,1,10,13,
211:18	6:13;18:15,16;	182:6,7,13;183:19;	133:3,11;138:9;	17;20:3,7;22:19;
bleeding (4)	29:2;46:23;48:5,19;	184:8;190:12;197:5;	139:14;142:2;143:5;	23:13;25:18;36:25;
208:2,19;209:6;	68:2;127:20;135:20,	198:22;199:15,15;	146:10,13;150:14,	37:6;38:22;40:11,
210:6	21;178:2;183:21;	212:19	15;151:12;152:11,	24;43:2;45:11;
board (8)	191:24	buy (2)	11;156:1,12;157:10;	47:10;50:12;56:17;
10:6;46:3,13;	briefly (2)	105:6;170:11	159:1,1;160:4;	58:2;59:12;60:10,
47:12;54:12;65:25;	7:17;61:6	buying (1)	161:21,23;163:12;	23;62:3;75:13;
141:19;142:5	bring (5)	170:11	164:14,16,20;	93:15;102:7,14;
boat (2)	16:2,8;18:5;95:7;	byzantine (1)	167:24;168:18,20;	104:12;105:4;
186:5,6	179:18	29:1	169:4,22;170:6,18,	123:13;128:2,7;
body (1)	brings (1)	29.1	22;171:10,20;173:6,	129:5,16,20,21,22;
178:12	200:10	C	7;177:1,4;180:11,12;	131:24;148:4;
bones (1)	Bristol (5)	C	181:8;183:6,8;189:6,	155:11,17;160:14;
13:3	140:10;147:10;	cabin (3)	6,17,22;191:20;	161:16;163:19;
bonus (33)	153:25;167:5;170:3	33:18,25;34:16	192:19,20;199:10,	164:11;172:12;
52:19;58:4,5;	brought (5)	calculated (1)	14,16;207:7,14,17,	174:14;175:16,25;
62:18;66:9,14;71:8;	54:6;59:18;106:2;	179:4	20;210:7;213:12;	176:3,5,6,15,18,19;
109:19;117:11;	189:18;196:3	calculation (1)	214:6,25;215:1	178:24;179:1,10,21;
119:22;123:10,14,	Brown (1)	52:25	candor (1)	180:21;183:21;
23;124:1,2;128:22;	29:10	calendar (1)	36:23	185:6,7,13;186:2,3,
129:4,5,19;173:1,3,	bucket (2)	69:12	cap (2)	3,4;192:1,16;193:10;
12,14;183:25;184:2;	168:8;191:1	call (15)	34:1,11	194:10,13;195:3;
185:21;191:17;	buckets (3)	7:4;13:15,23;	capacity (2)	196:16;197:12;
193:13;196:1;198:4;	57:14;166:5;186:9	16:10;17:5;42:24;	141:12;173:23	201:20,23,25;
201:12;202:19;	build (2)	50:15,16;65:3;77:16,	Capital (2)	202:17;203:14;
203:11	141:23;160:17	24;151:3;177:6,10;	25:24,25	210:22;211:6,25;
bonused (1)	building (6)	188:6	care (1)	212:21;213:10,10,
203:22	102:8,9;139:6;	called (9)	62:8	11,12;214:9,23,25;
bonuses (14)	150:2,12;160:19	7:23;29:21;45:18;	cares (1)	215:3,5
71:25;72:6;	built (2)	87:8;109:14;135:8;	58:16	cases (37)
125:15;173:4;	160:18;181:12	156:18;183:22,22	Carl (3)	7:9,12;24:24;25:2;
183:23;196:24;	bullet (1)	calling (2)	6:5;41:9;178:1	30:13;33:22;45:1;
197:9;198:24;	95:15	187:3;205:8	Carmody (38)	55:10;62:21;78:5;
199:13,19,23;	bunch (3)	calls (2)	46:21,23,24;47:6;	100:3;104:13;
	` ´	` ´	. , , , ,	, ,

		1	1	1	1
	107:22;108:16;	168:16;169:19,25;	184:16;191:10;	35:19,20;54:22;	8;198:9;199:3;
	128:11,16,19,22;	170:14;171:9	205:15	165:8	202:7;212:11
	129:4,8;135:1,3;	centrally (1)	channel (1)	clarification (1)	Coalfields (3)
	141:14;144:24;	190:19	172:16	68:25	29:8,9,21
	163:23;164:5;165:8;	cents (1)	chaotic (2)	clarify (4)	co-bankruptcy (1)
	174:22;175:2;	201:5	12:11,11	127:25;129:2;	21:4
	179:12;181:10;	CEO (5)	Chapter (14)	132:12;174:12	cocounsel (2)
	185:18;188:5;	91:10;98:1;	7:12;24:24;25:2;	cleanup (1)	23:9;61:5
	200:20,22,25;213:3	138:21;148:18;	26:8;31:2;52:20;	177:22	Code (8)
Ca	ash (92) 8:15;10:16,20,22;	189:16 CEO's (2)	131:24;133:12; 138:7,13;140:16;	clear (16) 47:11;49:9,10,16;	22:3,9;28:8;31:7; 59:19;86:21;128:24;
	47:5;52:4;53:16;	148:6;149:8	144:24;147:4;	54:20;56:16;64:23;	211:1
	54:19,20,21,22,23;	certain (17)	163:20	68:9,16;88:17;	coffee (2)
	58:15,16;60:19;	21:6;25:23;26:4;	characterized (1)	131:18;149:19;	170:11,12
	61:15;89:9;103:21;	29:14;30:18;35:19;	77:23	159:19;163:12;	cognitive (1)
	104:1,3,10;117:24;	42:11;48:23;49:4;	charge (1)	213:20;214:17	78:22
	118:7,15,21;123:23;	118:7;119:15;151:1;	191:10	clearly (6)	collateral (5)
	124:1;132:11;	155:19;157:2;	charged (2)	16:3;83:9;148:9;	10:23;204:18;
	134:20,24;135:5,11,	168:24;189:22;	22:19;24:10	149:5,15;178:16	208:22;209:3,6
	14;155:16,19;156:4,	212:17	charismatic (1)	Cleary (1)	colleagues (3)
	8;159:12,15;160:10,	certainly (12)	189:16	21:6	81:15;98:12;
	13,15,17,18;161:4,5,	22:20;39:3,6,14;	chart (3)	clerk (1)	183:18
	8,10,12,13,14,15;	40:19;74:18;114:12;	107:3;115:8;	67:23	collect (1)
	162:2,4,25;165:18,	193:11;194:5;197:6;	121:19	clerk's (1)	77:1
	19;167:22,24;168:7;	198:17;213:2	charts (1)	32:1	collected (2)
	170:12;171:11;	cetera (6)	8:13	client (1)	78:25;136:10
	179:7,17;180:14;	65:22;76:7;	cheaper (1)	192:14	collection (1)
	187:17;189:5,6,10,	140:12;141:8;167:6,	168:13	clients (1)	146:22
	12;190:2;191:6;	7 CEO (1)	check (2)	65:22	collective (6)
	193:5,5,11,14,18; 197:22,25;198:4;	CFO (1) 141:5	53:4;190:7 checks (1)	client's (2) 193:9;204:17	129:16,20;130:12; 131:6,11;201:3
	199:9,16;204:17;	CGL (2)	191:10	close (13)	color (1)
	208:22;209:2,6,25;	27:7,23	Chicago (3)	10:11,12;12:12;	59:8
	211:17,18,22;	chain (2)	147:20;150:9,10	42:12;47:18;56:3,	column (3)
	212:14;213:12	172:2,5	chief (2)	10;62:20;126:17;	106:4;124:9;
ca	atch (1)	chairman (1)	53:11;57:22	149:21;177:1,5;	125:10
	163:10	63:9	choice (1)	183:22	com (2)
ca	tegories (3)	challenge (8)	25:3	closed (10)	75:9;175:18
	44:14;57:16;126:8	11:4;35:11,24;	choose (1)	43:13;45:19;	combination (1)
ca	tegorize (1)	36:9;55:14;165:7;	128:9	145:21;157:3;163:6,	125:12
	116:20	191:2;218:7	choosing (1)	15;169:15;171:13;	combinations (1)
	tegory (2)	challenged (2)	128:11	189:7;190:8	79:13
	44:14,24	15:18;35:20	circle (2)	closely (2)	combine (1)
ca	nuse (3)	challenges (1)	14:11;123:20	141:8;142:1	173:1
	7:3;36:2;175:23	10:15	circles (1)	closing (3)	combined (3)
Ca	nution (1)	challenging (3)	45:2	47:17;48:11;55:20	52:8;60:21;196:17
00	203:9 nutiously (2)	10:15;53:7;192:23 chambers (3)	circumstance (2) 95:4;191:14	closings (3) 47:14.18.20	coming (8) 13:10;74:13;
Ca	155:25;166:3	39:7;40:2,13	circumstances (20)	closure (1)	140:23;150:8,9;
C	avatoni (3)	chance (2)	17:4;23:23;55:16;	56:6	170:5;184:21;
	141:5;160:20;	195:10;202:16	60:10,23;66:21,23;	clouds (1)	212:12
	161:6	change (12)	77:20;94:23;130:3;	17:5	commenced (1)
ca	veat (1)	16:8;31:14;69:13;	178:24,25;181:14;	coal (40)	25:14
	82:18	76:20;81:1;117:16;	182:14;185:7;186:2;	8:22;9:7,9;11:9,	commencement (1)
\mathbf{C}	BA (1)	123:7;134:7;148:6;	195:3;200:11;205:1,	10,11;12:15;15:9;	30:12
	202:22	149:7;187:20;200:5	18	17:6;23:11;25:24;	comment (5)
C	BA-labor (1)	changed (7)	cited (1)	26:3;29:23;36:25;	16:20;149:4,18;
	201:25	88:6;91:9;117:7,	183:21	38:16;40:16;55:22;	152:3;164:14
ce	ede (2)	18,18;198:6,17	claim (3)	59:15,16;75:5,6,8,	comments (10)
	22:23;193:2	changes (11)	31:1;59:15;182:22	13;101:24;105:21,	8:6;18:12,14;
ce	enter (1)	34:14,15;48:23,	claimants (1)	24;106:9,13;128:2,7;	19:16;22:15;36:21;
	15:10 entralized (5)	25;56:16;86:1;	192:17 claims (4)	163:23;166:19;	178:14;181:22;
	and anzeu (5)	158:14;169:21;	Ciamis (4)	168:1;185:13;188:7,	211:4;215:14

·	1			
commercial (2)	180:23;181:5;	187:19;188:23;	18:5;56:7;106:10;	95:5,21;162:20
42:17;139:16	185:14;188:8;207:3,	196:6;199:25;218:3,	117:25	consistencies (1)
commercially (1)	5	4		85:4
•	•	•	condensed (1)	
42:17	company (80)	competitive (1)	83:9	consistent (8)
commit (1)	10:6;12:22;15:4;	170:19	condition (2)	28:5;42:6;44:25;
19:18	27:2;29:23;51:19;	complaints (2)	27:9;95:22	71:14,17;77:5;
committed (1)	52:22;53:7,20,21;	12:1,3	conduct (3)	180:17;198:12
203:1	54:16,18,25;55:8;	complete (3)	44:8;68:21;161:21	consistently (1)
committee (91)	56:8;58:4;60:5,5,6,	13:9;72:14;87:11	conducted (2)	8:18
18:9,13,20;19:10;	20;66:14;77:12,12;	completed (2)	74:22;88:13	consolidate (1)
20:8;21:12;22:24;	80:6;87:25;90:24;	7:11;30:12	conference (1)	167:1
23:3,12,21;24:5,8;	91:16;94:10;95:23;	completely (3)	18:11	constituencies (4)
32:19,22;33:7,11,19;	100:24;105:6;106:9,	132:17;195:25;	confident (1)	54:21;208:6;
35:1,4,10,19;36:1,	13;109:20;123:9;	214:3	68:22	214:8,8
16;37:13;38:4;43:6;	128:5,8;130:4;134:5,	complex (2)	confidential (5)	constituency (4)
48:24;49:1,3,6,7;	6;138:16;139:10;	57:13;75:8	42:24;46:9;47:2,	20:9;182:3;
50:4,22,23;52:3;	140:5;141:23;142:2,	compliance (1)	16;165:14	193:20;214:25
53:1,1;55:2;56:22;	17;144:1,20;147:2;	55:6	confidentiality (2)	constituents (3)
63:9;65:25;71:2,5,7,	151:12;152:16,22;	complicated (1)	43:3;166:5	55:11;179:21;
20;73:2;74:14,17,21;	161:17;162:1,6;	15:14	confirm (1)	203:16
77:13;79:2,6;80:11,	165:22;167:9,20;	complication (1)	175:18	constitute (1)
18,19,21;83:2,4;	168:18,23;181:13;	26:22	confirmation (2)	160:8
87:19;89:15;100:16;	186:22;188:2,7;	complications (1)	201:13,13	construct (1)
140:7;141:3,6;142:5,	189:15;195:5,11;	15:18	confirmed (6)	148:24
11,19;144:14;145:5,	197:13;199:13,17;	component (13)	52:20,22;133:23,	Construction (2)
10,15;175:11;178:9,	206:12;207:18,24,	50:11;52:1,4,5;		29:1,6
			24;136:15;189:22	
10,11;181:19;	24;210:8;212:1,6;	55:13;89:20;123:21,	conflict (1)	constructive (1)
184:13,16;187:19;	213:6;214:4,10	25;159:5,9,12;	71:4	16:12
203:7,7;204:20;	company's (6)	161:14;171:4	confusing (1)	consultant (14)
205:7,14,16,17;	10:1;53:16,17;	components (1)	11:11	23:11;33:2,15,22;
209:9,11,18,21;	54:17;144:5;199:19	123:17	Congress (2)	57:19;79:5,8,8;
218:8	comparable (10)	comprehensive (4)	62:22;185:22	80:13,21;94:14;
committees (1)	28:1;45:1;81:1;	29:5,9,15;57:11	connection (23)	141:17;178:13;
213:20	106:8,12;130:5;	comprised (1)	22:11;24:4;25:4,	218:4
committee's (8)	131:5,9;207:3,5	179:23	17,18;26:16;28:16;	consultants (1)
23:7;32:25;33:3;	comparables (5)	comps (17)	29:22;32:13;35:3;	66:1
36:9,19;184:20;	57:2;129:9;	75:21;78:8;98:14;	36:8;37:5,12;38:14;	consultation (2)
218:2,6	180:18,23;207:10	100:18;128:4;	39:14,16;40:6,25;	33:13;81:3
commodities (1)	compare (4)	185:10,10;186:24;	42:11;66:6;82:23;	consulting (3)
202:9	72:13;88:25;	187:25;188:3,6;	174:22,24	65:21;66:2,5
	106:15;122:10	200:19,25,25;201:2,	consensual (3)	consumption (1)
common (5)	,			
77:22;78:17;91:3;	compared (2)	8,10	164:12;165:2;	10:19
119:18;141:20	60:15;179:12	computer (2)	189:21	contacted (1)
community (1)	compares (1)	67:24;114:7	consensually (1)	14:4
15:5	159:18	concede (1)	21:21	contain (2)
comp (14)	comparing (2)	197:5	consensus (1)	91:5;110:16
62:1,1,2;65:21;	9:9;107:6	conceived (1)	192:21	contained (1)
66:1,4;79:6,7;80:21;	comparison (1)	90:3	conservative (2)	98:14
94:14;104:9,20;	72:10	Concepts (2)	202:4,8	contains (2)
123:23;188:18	compensated (3)	33:1;218:4	conserve (1)	88:23;127:6
companies (50)	58:4;188:21;194:1	conceptually (1)	209:25	contemplate (1)
8:19;45:1;74:22;	compensation (48)	80:24	consider (4)	58:23
75:1,5,6,8,11;76:3;	17:11;33:1,2,22;	concern (7)	99:22;193:17;	contemplated (1)
81:1;82:10;88:15;	56:22;57:19;63:9;	39:18;40:1;47:21;	203:9;213:4	90:4
98:21,24;99:3,13,19,	65:11,16,17,25;66:1;	162:12;210:3,4,9	consideration (5)	contest (1)
20,22,25;100:17,21;	71:2,5,7,20;73:2;	concerned (2)	35:15;72:11;	131:1
101:8,22;103:19,20;	74:14,17,21;77:13;	49:25;209:24	77:19;132:14;142:5	contested (4)
105:1,11,21,24;	79:2;80:11,17,19;	concerns (4)	considerations (1)	39:3,7;40:20;
119:23;124:23;	82:3,7;83:2,4;124:3;	12:14;56:12;	78:16	41:16
128:9,18,25;129:10;	142:5,11,19;169:17,	145:12,16	considered (5)	context (9)
130:3,8;132:23;	18;171:1;178:13;	concluded (1)	94:22;95:3,13;	149:4,18;151:6,
104010071470				
134:8;138:7;147:3;	181:19;183:14;	215:18	96:2,4	21;152:25;154:9,15;
134:8;138:7;147:3; 164:8;167:13;				

continuation (2)	129:12,15;130:12;	15,20;25:10,12,16;	courtroom (23)	32:19,25;37:5;43:5;
50:13,21	183:20	26:15,21;28:16;	6:15,22;42:13;	49:1,7;52:3;53:1;
continue (9)	corridor (1)	29:17;31:13,20,25;	43:1,6,12;44:8;	55:1;145:10;178:9,
13:12;18:4;68:18;	185:16	32:4,7,10,12,20;	45:19,23,23;47:11,	11;209:9,10,18,21;
123:14;173:10;	cost (29)	33:4;34:5,15,19,24;	15,18;59:9;82:12;	218:2,6
177:2;182:9;210:11,	19:17;30:23,25;	35:2,8,15;36:7,14,	87:8;146:4;163:9;	criteria (7)
12	58:23;71:25,25;	24;37:11;38:21;	165:16;171:19;	98:18;130:14;
continued (5)	87:13;93:8;109:20;	39:4,13,18;41:2,4,8,	177:1,5,9	131:5,13,15,17;
26:6;36:4;55:5;	114:20;115:4,17;	11,13,21;42:12,25;	courts (2)	132:14
65:23;182:7	116:3,12;117:6;	43:10,15,20,25;44:2;	100:3;108:16	criterias (1)
continues (2)	139:22;159:20;	45:6;46:1,18,23,25;	Court's (8)	131:18
54:10;202:7	160:1;168:14,18;	47:21,25;48:13,18;	18:23;22:12;	critical (16)
continuing (4)	179:10,12;182:25;	50:12,12,24,24;51:2,	33:25;48:4,12;	33:11;34:21;
20:5;34:2;53:8;	190:15,16;195:14;	4,13;57:5,7;59:6,23;	214:20;215:4,5	54:18;55:6;140:24;
182:10	212:25;213:1,3	61:1,3;62:25;63:3,6,	cousin (1)	155:10,13,14,19;
continuously (1)	cost-cutting (1)	17,19,22,24;64:4,6,	168:17	156:8,14,19;157:5;
53:24	10:3	10,15,17,25;65:4,9,	cover (5)	161:14;202:23;
contour (3)	costs (8)	13;66:11;67:3,5,8,	60:3;74:7;86:8;	212:3
30:1,2,7	19:10;53:21;	11,14,16,17,17,20,	181:1;194:22	CRO (1)
contrary (4)	58:23;87:13;107:1;	20,22;68:4,13,24;	coverage (4)	176:6
55:19;70:2;207:7,	140:25;170:19; 191:20	69:2,5,9,20,24;70:2,	27:13,19,24,25	cross (11)
8 contribute (3)	191:20 cost-saving (1)	6,8,12,20;71:1;72:7,	covering (1) 196:12	46:19,24;47:1,1,8,
180:2;206:21;	58:19	20,23,24;73:16,20, 23,25;74:9,15,24;	196:12 create (7)	10;48:10;74:8; 126:17;131:2;
210:12	cost-savings (2)	80:3;81:8,14,16,18,	13:20;75:9;78:3;	145:22
contributed (1)	51:21;109:16	18,23;82:14;88:3;	134:3;156:10;	cross-examination (9)
180:13	counsel (33)	90:16;92:2,5,7,9,20;	181:12;192:7	63:14,16;81:8,24;
contributing (1)	21:3,4,5,6,8;22:23;	93:2;96:7;97:8;	created (7)	109:5;127:22;
206:20	36:16;39:23;40:21;	99:14;104:9;105:7;	12:23;17:5;75:10;	143:25;146:16;
contributions (1)	43:4,5,5,24;46:24;	108:19,25;109:4;	77:12,15;180:23;	172:20
168:24	47:7,12;53:11,12;	110:9,13,19,24;	186:17	cross-examine (2)
control (9)	74:8;91:22;97:9;	111:2,14;112:10,16,	creates (1)	108:19;130:17
156:21;189:14,19,	110:23;127:5;	23;113:1,4,6,9,17,20,	10:25	crowded (1)
22;190:4,5,6,6;	134:12,19;144:12,	23,25;114:7,9,14;	creation (1)	20:17
192:24	12,17,22;145:22;	120:3,8,12,14,16,19,	31:1	Crutchfield (11)
controls (1)	157:10,20;204:16	21,24;122:15;	creative (1)	98:1;138:21;
212:1	count (4)	126:14,19,22;127:9,	192:22	148:12;149:1,2;
conversation (1)	10:5;49:12,22;	10,13,17,21;129:5,	creativity (2)	153:15,19;172:2;
150:3	199:18	20;130:20,24;131:3;	185:24;208:4	187:1,10,13
conveyer (2)	counted (1)	132:13,16;133:2;	creature (1)	crystallized (1)
168:12,13	49:15	135:18,21;137:5,8,	57:22	158:13
convinced (1)	countless (1)	10,15,20;138:2,9;	credence (1)	current (6)
206:7	208:6	143:2,8,19;145:23;	184:13	53:11;54:15;89:6;
cooperation (1)	couple (13)	146:3,7,9,12,15;	credit (6)	94:23;95:1;125:13
16:12	15:21;18:12,14;	157:11,15,20;158:2;	136:22,25;160:6,	currently (1)
copiers (1) 166:24	19:16;94:12;127:25; 138:17;139:13;	161:24;163:6; 171:15,18,21,24;	6;204:17,21 creditor (11)	50:9 customer (1)
copies (6)	150:18;193:4;197:2;	171:15,18,21,24; 172:1,10,15,18;	11:23;15:5,25;	163:8
110:11,13;114:4,	199:10;200:16	172.1,10,13,18,	16:2,6;17:23;54:21;	customize (1)
5;127:8;143:2	course (14)	174.8,170.22,23, 177:16,20,20,22,24;	59:15:178:12;	76:8
copy (9)	7:5;9:22;12:19,20;	177:10,20,20,22,24,	179:21;181:25	customized (2)
69:4;73:17;81:15;	18:19;28:11;35:25;	183:22,24;184:4,5,	creditors (26)	80:14;90:23
95:25;110:18;114:4;	39:11;173:11;	12;187:22;188:25;	12:6,23;13:3;15:8;	cut (6)
146:14,21;148:1	174:14;175:5,14;	191:1,7;192:10;	17:18;32:23;44:23;	96:6;123:21;
core (6)	194:12;214:19	193:2,8,19;194:16,	48:24;54:3,24;55:3;	168:7;191:20;
13:23;15:1,3,10,	COURT (317)	18,23;195:12;197:6;	56:5;62:11;105:5;	203:25;214:24
23;182:21	6:1,4,9,12,14,17,	198:6;200:14;201:1;	129:6;131:17,21;	cuts (4)
corner (1)	24;9:12,14,16,19;	203:9,15,18;204:4,6,	178:7;182:2;197:17,	61:12,13,20;168:6
103:10	11:9,17;12:9;15:23,	10,13,19;205:4,6,10,	18;199:14;201:4;	cutting (2)
corporate (2)	24;16:16;18:7,16,18;	19,21;206:1;210:18,	207:16;208:17,17	53:21;203:22
138:6;206:8	19:21,23;20:2,10,12,	20,21,24,25;211:7,9;	creditors' (24)	cycle (1)
corporation (6)	14;21:23;22:4,8,16;	214:14;215:7,10,13,	18:13,20;19:9;	71:7
21:7;104:21;	23:1,4;24:3,7,8,11,	16,16	21:12;22:23;23:3;	
	I		1	1

	178:1;187:12;189:6,	39:16;42:15;49:14;	28:10;75:11;	97:12
	11;191:23;199:16;	50:14;53:4;56:21,	206:9,10	deposition (17)
D	208:11;209:11	25;64:2,8,18,21;	deep (1)	46:6,8,10,11;
J-9 (1)	days (9)	69:23,24;70:15;	66:17	63:13,15,18;96:13,
daily (1)	11:5;12:3;52:18;	73:22;74:4;109:11;	deeper (2)	17,19;97:10;101:14;
212:11 Doman (2)	53:2;80:10;83:8;	110:6,23;111:11,18,	135:5;136:11	111:25;112:11;
Damon (2)	96:12;119:10;	20,23;112:3;113:8,	default (3)	146:14,21;154:20
204:9,15	147:11	11;114:17;132:11;	14:21;68:16,22	depositions (1)
Dana (1) 179:4	Day's (1)	143:18,22;163:18;	defer (1)	56:19
dancing (1)	21:21	173:4,15;174:25;	173:20	describe (11)
186:13	day-to-day (1)	178:8,22;181:23,24;	deference (1)	47:16;65:13;
dangerous (1)	26:3	182:11,13;197:4;	197:4	66:11;70:19;71:1,
202:21	dead (1)	204:16,24;218:14	defined (2)	19;74:15;109:10,13;
data (48)	187:13	debtor's (2)	164:23;170:18	114:19;117:20
8:5;11:24;76:20,	deal (14)	178:6;185:20	definition (4)	described (5)
21;77:14,17,21,22;	13:8;14:12,16;	December (9)	104:2;115:20;	51:20;70:20;
78:4,19,24;79:10,10,	42:20;45:21;46:3;	14:2;25:13;27:14;	135:3,5	83:12;84:2;109:14
19,20;87:16,19,23;	152:4;164:17,18;	34:3;35:1;67:9;	definitions (1)	describes (5)
88:10,20,22;89:14;	165:8;184:8;192:8;	142:10;158:5;163:1	137:1	69:11;71:11;
90:21;91:4;94:22;	197:17;208:9	decided (2)	Delaware (2)	74:12;119:8;195:16
95:7,13;99:16;	dealing (1)	176:3,7	183:20;184:5	description (3)
100:12;101:2,21;	162:9	decision (4)	delayed (1)	31:17,22;50:24
106:8,12;117:17;	dealt (2)	28:4;56:3,7;	29:13	design (29)
119:2;125:1;128:5;	165:16;195:23	214:20	deliver (1)	29:5;76:5;77:14;
129:11,25;132:24;	debate (1)	decision-making (1)	11:24	78:1,1,2,6,7,12,14,
134:3,7,10;136:10;	16:10	206:8	delivered (1)	18;79:2,8,10;80:5;
149:3;151:11;162:6;	debt (2)	decisions (2)	12:2	87:22;91:22;94:14;
167:12	10:12,14	214:7,22	delivering (1)	105:19;116:3;
database (1)	debtor (21)	declaration (62)	192:20	117:16;119:2;
133:25	6:20;25:23;28:24;	41:17;42:3;46:6,8;	Deloitte (2)	124:24;130:9;
date (22)	29:23;30:9;32:13; 48:10;57:18;85:7;	51:8,11;63:9,10,12; 64:19,24;67:1,25;	21:8;25:17 demand (3)	138:19;160:12; 161:15;212:24;
25:14;26:11;	155:13,18;164:13;	69:4,14,19;70:14,18;	55:22,24;56:5	213:7
34:25;64:21;70:15;	165:18;174:13;	71:24;72:18,21,25;	demands (1)	designed (7)
74:5;75:2;102:20;	181:15;201:3,4,5,11,	73:1,6,10,14;74:3,7,	11:5	76:3;93:18,21;
103:22;113:11;	21;204:21	12;109:10;111:4,8,	demarcation (1)	97:3;123:10;125:21;
121:5,7;126:25;	debtors (71)	10,24;112:2,6,7,12,	7:9	206:13
127:2,19;134:21;	6:6;15:12,22;	13,18;113:7,10,12,	demonstrate (1)	designing (6)
135:14;143:23;	18:11;19:11;20:16,	14,20;114:1,2;	56:20	74:18;94:23;
172:4,7;189:22; 190:3	23;21:11;22:5,22;	115:25;116:3,11,17;	demonstrated (1)	95:14;97:19;138:16;
dated (4)	24:17,22,25;26:9,13;	118:18;121:18;	182:12	141:18
97:14;149:11;	27:3,6,12,16,19;	142:24;143:9,17,21;	demonstrates (1)	desire (2)
172:2,5	28:2,3,8;29:3,11;	174:10;178:4;	178:17	55:2;196:14
dates (3)	30:4,13,15,20,22,24;	179:11;207:4;	denied (1)	desired (1)
34:16;159:13;	31:3,10,12;33:14;	218:14	200:20	179:5
186:20	34:12;36:4,21;37:3,	declarations (3)	deny (1)	despite (2)
David (1)	9;38:10;41:10,17;	30:19;56:19;70:5	200:13	29:19;30:3
6:20	43:3;44:7;46:4;48:9,	declare (1)	departed (1)	detail (7)
Davis (1)	22;51:16;54:20;	68:22	51:19	7:5;8:11;22:9;
204:15	56:11,11;71:19;	decline (5)	Department (1)	77:11;85:3;136:13;
Day (43)	72:1;73:5;132:7;	9:25;54:11;139:8,	28:25	186:18
6:5,20;8:12;11:5;	143:10,14;147:3;	15;162:10	departure (1)	detailed (3)
12:5;20:15;21:3,18;	155:7;157:18;165:7;	declines (1)	53:10	135:3;185:8,9
23:14;24:16;41:9;	166:5;173:8;178:1;	10:2	depend (1)	details (7)
43:23;56:9;59:17;	181:20;182:1,5;	declining (2)	193:15	79:7;105:14;
60:20;66:13;77:4;	189:7;197:8;210:21	11:6;164:9	depending (3)	116:19,21,22;
80:12;102:9;104:1,	debtors' (69)	decreased (2)	52:11;56:10;	129:24;191:6
10;106:1;119:12;	19:16;21:16,18;	9:8;26:5	133:17	determination (4)
132:12;138:25;	22:7;23:13,24;24:18,	dedicated (1)	depends (1)	88:24;144:8;
141:25;142:13;	21;25:1,4,8,22;26:7,	212:4	169:11	205:16;209:8
144:21;147:8,10;	12,24;27:10,11,23,	deeds (1) 30:19	depo (1) 161:22	determine (3)
150:12;153:23;	25;28:22;30:17; 31:3,9;33:4;38:13;	deemed (4)	deposed (1)	107:11;131:13; 143:12
154:4,4;173:21;	31.3,7,33.4,36.13,	ucemen (4)	ucposeu (1)	143.12

			1	
determined (5)	diligence (1)	155:6;175:10	17:1;22:13;36:18;	185:15;192:14;
25:1;29:20;36:25;	151:10	disclosures (1)	66:25;67:5,10;	198:21;199:8;
117:13;210:14	dime (1)	135:4	72:19;102:11,13;	203:23
determining (3)	190:4	discover (1)	142:24;172:25	downside (2)
66:19;94:16;130:4	diminished (1)	58:22	document (22)	162:13,20
detriment (1)	17:11	discovered (2)	69:9;71:9;84:2,7,	downsized (3)
175:22	diminishing (1)	75:10;102:24	24;85:7,22;86:2;	10:7,9,10
detrimental (2)	10:24	discovery (1)	87:22;110:16,19;	downward (3)
196:23;201:22	DIP (9)	58:20	111:6;114:10;	8:15;198:9,10
develop (9)	7:20;27:12,25;	discretion (1)	120:13,14;121:2,13,	draft (3)
29:21;30:1;137:1;	35:12;50:6,7;53:2;	155:16	23;122:13;127:5;	147:18;151:14;
138:12,22;140:5,13;	54:24;61:17	discretionary (1)	186:17,17	158:13
141:10;149:12	diplomat (1)	170:3	documents (11)	drafts (2)
developed (8)	189:23	discriminate (1)	45:19;110:24;	83:17;149:13
30:13;56:20;	dipping (1)	179:22	111:5;120:6;127:18;	drastically (1)
80:14;81:2;86:13;	42:24	discuss (5)	148:2,6;151:7,25;	55:22
99:5;124:21;186:15	dir (1)	23:15;60:12;98:2;	152:21;172:6	draw (1)
developing (5)	159:1	154:9;191:7	Dodd-Frank (2)	123:20
118:17;138:23;	direct (11)	discussed (3)	65:18;66:2	drawing (1)
140:17;141:13;	46:18,23;47:6;	80:23;120:7;201:8	dog (4)	54:12
158:15	65:7;69:7;98:20;	discussing (1) 98:20	192:3,3,6;212:7	drill (1) 95:9
development (3)	101:11;137:23;		dollar (5)	
12:20;30:7;124:20	141:3;145:20;159:1	discussion (4)	34:17;94:4;	drive (1)
devoted (1)	directed (2)	48:5;94:3;151:21;	107:13;181:2;201:5	12:21
192:4	47:9;185:4	152:25	dollars (55)	driven (3)
Di (1)	direction (5)	discussions (20) 13:15:14:6.19:	8:25;9:2,3,5; 10:13,21,22;30:10,	56:4;140:15;
161:12 dial-in (1)	18:15;53:19; 74:21;153:10;	, , - ,		184:18
172:10	187:11	15:11;16:7,13;19:5, 14;23:16;34:12;	16,23;34:1,9,11; 55:25;56:25;58:1,6,	driver (1) 160:10
difference (1)	directive (14)	42:19;43:24;49:3,4;	7;59:13;60:4,6,8,8,9;	drivers (1)
212:21	76:5;83:21;99:18,	138:13,21;144:14,	61:15,22;72:2;	56:2
differences (5)	21;100:13,15;	16;145:14;163:8	107:1;108:5;109:25;	drives (1)
90:14,16,18,20;	105:18;124:22,23;	disenfranchised (1)	122:8;125:11;	58:18
196:4	129:25;132:18;	61:14	155:22;165:19,23;	driving (2)
different (33)	134:4;185:3,4	disingenuous (1)	169:13;170:3;	179:24;180:4
37:7;52:10;54:21;	directly (5)	206:4	179:18;180:13,17;	drop (2)
57:20;71:16;75:23;	83:18;84:18;	dispute (3)	185:17;190:2;195:5,	95:23:96:5
79:11;96:19;99:7,8;	105:2;155:14;	44:13;55:21;59:19	6,15,16,17;196:18;	due (4)
103:17;113:2;	175:17	disputed (1)	197:2,2,24;199:9,18;	7:21;27:14;53:9;
124:18;132:17;	director (1)	181:18	203:24,25	65:18
140:11;149:13;	87:19	disputes (1)	Dominic (1)	duration (2)
152:11,12;153:10;	directors (1)	44:14	161:12	33:21;43:13
158:12;167:5;171:5;	141:19	disqualified (1)	Donald (1)	during (18)
176:5,10,11;187:10,	disagree (2)	206:10	158:8	9:1;16:23;20:24;
16,17;201:1,5;	58:11;189:3	disregarding (1)	done (26)	22:1;33:21;39:11;
209:18,19,22	disagreements (1)	214:3	10:1;12:7,8,15;	71:6,6;80:25;
differently (2)	16:11	disrespectful (1)	13:8;17:3;18:2;	118:21;145:21;
139:24;175:24	disappointing (1)	214:2	33:17,24;36:6;40:8;	148:21;157:9,21;
differs (1)	187:25	dissonance (1)	45:5;46:18;82:25;	174:14;175:5,14;
158:5	Disb (1)	19:8	87:18;95:24;137:7;	202:2
difficult (25)	156:18	distinguished (1)	144:9;146:3;149:16,	duties (4)
12:24,25;16:14;	D-I-S-B (1)	59:6	20;156:21;186:3;	20:8;53:13;212:3,
17:3;45:17;46:22;	156:19	distress (1)	190:18;196:8;199:1	7
48:2,2;61:19,23;	disbursement (1)	194:7	doubt (1)	duty (5)
102:1;145:8;163:23;	156:13	distressed (4)	212:4	17:15;192:4;
164:4,6,21;165:7;	disbursements (1)	162:17;166:18;	down (28)	196:20,22;212:2
170:19;178:19;	156:23	191:14,18	9:2,3,10;10:5;	dynamics (1)
190:24;201:20;	disclose (3)	divesting (3)	13:1,11;17:7;39:25;	198:13
202:18,21;203:2,10	106:2;120:10;	150:20;151:1;	55:20,23,24;75:23,	T7
difficulties (1)	156:7	153:2	25;76:10;95:9;	E
13:19	disclosed (1)	divide (1)	98:22;100:22;102:4;	E 0 X/I - (1)
difficulty (3)	106:7	44:13	114:24;135:7;137:5;	E&Y's (1)
57:15;59:1;67:25	disclosure (2)	docket (11)	149:2;176:16;	25:13

93	Case 110: 13-33070-1110	T			54H441 y 21, 2010
Top:2143981892; cighty-two (1)	earlier (7)	9:3	93:16:124:11:	51:22:109:15:	erroneous (1)
102:11498;189:22 215:88 carly (9)					
215:8 eighty-two (1)					
139:513820; 149:9147:17; 22:15:44:17; 20:19:213:16 enhorements (t) 547:144:24; 154:19:185.10; 157:55:59:18; 157:85:21:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:185.10; 157:85:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:					
129:5;138:20; 429:14717; 154:19:158:5;10; 45:157:55:99:13; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:17:50:14; 108:35:18:38:23; 108:35:18:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38:38					
142-91.43-17; 22:15-44-17; 136-19-18-18-19:10-18-18-19-19-18-18-18-18-19-18-19-18-18-18-18-18-19-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-					1 • • •
154:19:158:5,10 45:15:755:59:13; 158:310; 159:10:190:25; 150:10:190:20					
11/2-11/18/8-7; 11/2-11/18					
5019;133:11; 193:23:210:7 element (3) 88:2:91:0;141:1 element (3) 174:13;176:14: element (3) 13:13;88:23; 194:14:201:24; 194:14:201:24; 194:14:201:24; 199:11 easier (1) 14:20 easity (2) 16:16 employee's (2) 178:31:18:8 employee's (2) 178:31:18:8 employee's (2) 183:18 employee's (2) 10:15:188:4 esset (4) 16:15:22:11:12; easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easity (2) 10:15:188:4 esset (4) 16:15:22:11:12; 198:20:199:8 16:15:22:11:12; 198:20:199:8 15:17:13:168:4; 15:17:104:31:61:16; 180:82:11:15 180:25 178:61:199:20 encloses (1) 18:13:19:154:6; 180:25:13:155:1 15:22:175:23:18:15 15:22:175:23:18:15 15:22:175:23:18:15 15:22:175:23:18:15 15:22:18:33:18 15:22:20:18:13:19:18:35:18 15:22:20:18:33:18 15:22:18:33:18 15:22:18:33:13 15:22:18:33:18 15:32:18:33:18 15					
23:173:59.16: carmings(1) 38:293:10;14!:1 174:131:76:14; carth (1) 13:31:88:23; 194:42:01:24; casce (1) 14:20 188:18 casce (1) 17:10;14:5; deliminate (1) diminate (2) cassity (2) design(2) diminate (2) diminate (2) design(2) diminate (2) diminate (3) diminate (4) diminate (4) diminate (4) diminate (1) disconnice (3) diminate (1) disconnice (4)					
arrings (1) 33:11 clements (3) 178:18:17:16:14; 139:10 clements (3) 178:28:22 194:14; 202:15:17; 202:15:17; 202:15:17; 202:15:12; 33:13 clevation (1) 4 clements (3) 170:14 10:38:21 clevation (1) 4 cleven (1) 10:38:21 clevation (1) 10:38:21 cleven (1) 10:38:31					
carch (1)			23;173:5,9,16;		51:24;52:8;53:3;
13:13 18:25 19:41:4201:24; 10:38:21 10:41:25; 10:38:21 10:45:36:24; 13:31:38:23; 19:41:4201:24; 10:38:21 10:38:21 10:10:14:5; 10:10:14:5; 10:10:14:5; 10:10:14:5; 10:10:14:5; 10:10:14:5; 10:10:14:5; 10:10:18:4 10:10:13:21:88:4 10:10:14:5; 10:10:18:84 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:15:18:4 10:10:10:10 10:10:10 10:10	earnings (1)	88:2;93:10;141:1	174:13;176:14;	139:10	54:23;57:22;166:22;
18.025	133:11	elements (3)	178:5;188:22;	enter (5)	179:20;207:13
casic (1) 14:20 eleva (1) 18:8:18 eleve (1) 170:14 employee's (2) 25:14:28:15; 29:18:36:24;37:4; 38:16 entering (1) 16:15;119:20 establishing (2) 16:15;1106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easy (2) 18:20:199:8 40:10:89:17;100:10, 11;131:19:154:6; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:159:5, 9;187:6,7:211:16 180:223:21:15 181:23:21:15 181:23:11:15	earth (1)	13:13;88:23;	194:14;201:24;	7:22;25:12;37:1,	establish (3)
casic (1) 14:20 eleva (1) 18:8:18 eleve (1) 170:14 employee's (2) 25:14:28:15; 29:18:36:24;37:4; 38:16 entering (1) 16:15;119:20 establishing (2) 16:15;1106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easity (2) 26:21:106:20 easy (2) 18:20:199:8 40:10:89:17;100:10, 11;131:19:154:6; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:31;163:4; 151;71:34:159:5, 9;187:6,7:211:16 180:223:21:15 181:23:21:15 181:23:11:15	11:3	180:25	202:15,17,20;214:3,	10;38:21	95:10;151:24;
18818 eleven (1) 170:14 29:18;36:24;37:4; established (1) 170:14 29:18;36:24;37:4; enterprise (7) 167:24 eliminate (1) 167:24 eliminate (2) 167:24 eliminate (3) 10:15;188:4 eleven (1) 10:15;188:4 eleven (1) 10:15;188:4 eliminate (2) 10:15;188:4 eliminate (3) 43:7 enterprise (7) enterprise (7) 13:15;22;16:15; 178:6;179:8;180:10; 179:22;10:11 180:82;11:15 157:13;168:4; 199:25;210:14; 199:25;210:14; 199:25;210:14; 159:20;25;11:15; 157:20 enterprise (7) 180:22;16:15; 157:13;168:4; 199:25;210:14; 179:18 180:82;11:15 157:13;168:4; 159:20;25;11:15; 157:20 enterprise (7) 180:22;16:15; 179:18;183:1; 179:20 20:45:20;3 170:20;40:9; 157:20;11:16; 179:18;183:1; 179:20;118:17;18:7; 179:20;20:15;18:7; 179:20:20;20:2	ease (1)	elevation (1)			
easier (3) 17:10;114:5; 199:11 easiest (1) 8:21 ediminate (2) 116:724 eimpty (1) easity (2) 26:21;106:20 easy (2) 198:20;199:8 81:55;2:23;79:15, 15,17;104;3:161:16; 1808;211:15 EBITDAR (12) 189:209:21;14; 150:20,25;151:4; 152:11;351;159:5; 9:187:6,7;211:16 economic (1) 33:21 edge (1) 169:23 effect (3) 12:244;9:21;64:12 effective (6) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:ffected (1) 35:21 effective (6) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:ffected (1) 35:21 effective (6) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:ffective (7) 13:15 10:3 26:ffeetive (8) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:ffective (1) 10:3 26:ffeetive (2) 27:24 28:6(1) 28:18:26 28:18:15; 114:5 28:18:10:0 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 28:22:10:1:10 29:22:20:22:20:25:20:20 20:22:20:24:25:20:10 20:22:20:24:25:20:10 20:22:20:24:25:20:10 20:22:20:24:25:20:10 20:22:20:24:25:20:10 20:22:20:24:25:20:20 20:22:20:24:25:20:10 20:22:20:24:25:			employees' (1)		
17:10;114:5; easist (1)					
Seasiest (1)					
easiets (1) eliminated (2) easily (2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0 . ,
8:21 edsily (2)					
easily (2) cls: (14) clse (14) 53:22:164:15; 36:137:138:13; 36:137:138:13; 35:137:138:13; 35:22:164:15; 178:6;179:8;180:10; 35:22:164:15; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 39:162:5;40:18; 44:202:4;56:23; 46:16;51:16;13; 15:2;178:7; 46:16;512;176:18; 4					
26.21;106:20					
easy (2) 1982(0)199.8 EBITDA (9) 8:15,52:23,79:15, 15,17;104:3;161:16; 180.8;211:15 EBITDA (12) 89:20,90:1; 150:20,25;151:4; 152:1:153:1:159:5, 9;187:6,7;211:16 economic (1) 31:2 edge (1) 12:24,49:21;16:19; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 150:21,82,3;153:18; 150:20,28:9 11:141:6,10,22; 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:20,28:9 12:24,49:21;64:12 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,20,24:9;25;13; 26ffect (3) 12:24,49:21;64:12 edge (1) 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,2,12-5,12 edge (1) 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,2,12-5,12 edge (1) 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,18,23;153:18; 150:2,2,12-5,12 edge (1) 12:22,49:22;64:12 edge (1) 12:24 entire (5) 19:24 entirely (5) 140:20;140:9; 151:21;170:17; 151:21;170:17; 151:21;170:17; 151:21;178:7; 179:18;183:1; 196:20,23 estates (7) 139:19:24 entirely (2) 20:2:19 20:19 20:2:19 20:19 20:2:19	•	*			
EBITDA (9)					
EBITDA (9)					
8:15:52:23:79:15,					
15.17;104:3;161:16; 199:25;210:14; 211:14 157:20 199:25;210:14; 211:14 157:20 209:15;163:1;159:5, 9;187:6,7;211:16 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:46 150:20,25;151:46 150:20,25;151:46 150:20,25;151:46 150:20,25;151:46 11;149:6,10,22; 150:21,82;3;153:18; 147:23,25;148:1, 150:21,82;3;153:18; 147:23,25;148:1, 150:21,82;3;153:18; 142:25;147:12,15, 62:21,23 204:25					
180:8;211:15 EBITDAR (12) else's (1) encouraging (1) 13:3 150:20,25;151:4; 150:20,25;151:4; 152:1;153:1;159:5, 147:23,25;148:1, 11;149:6,10,22; 150:2,18,23;153:18; 142:25;147:12,15, 202:19 202:19 204:25 20					
EBITDAR (12) 89:20;90:1; 150:20,25;151:4; 152:1;153:1;159:5, 9;187:6,7;211:16 economic (1) 31:2 edge (1) 169:23 effect (3) 12:24;49:21;64:12 effect (1) 12:24;49:21;64:12 effect (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:10;191:3;193:14 effectively (3) 34:16;49:22;176:8 efficiency (1) 10:3 Eddon (1) 135:8,13;136:2 emerge (1) 10:3 Eddon (1) 135:8,13;136:2 emerge (1) 10:3 Eddon (1) 135:8,13;136:2 emerge (1) 10:3 Emerge (1) 10:31;84:17; 200:55;14 employee (18) 9:17 9:17 9:17 9:17 9:17					
19:11		-			
150:20,25:151:4; 152:1;153:1;159:5, 9;187:6,7;211:16 147:23,25;148:1, 159:2;20:2;52:20; 71:19;133:12,16,22; 150:2,18,23;153:18; 142:25;147:12,15, 159:23 150:9;208:9 209:6,7,11,13,15,16, emanated (1) 150:9;208:9 209:6,7,11,13,15,16, entity (2) 66 ethically (1) 157:8 ethically (1)					
152:1;153:1;159:5, 9;187:6,7;211:16 11;149:6,10,22; 71:19;133:12,16,22; entitlement (2) 150:2;18,23;153:18; 158:8;172:2,5,12 edge (1) emails (2) 150:9;208:9 effect (3) 12:24;49:21;64:12 effected (1) 35:21 21:7 ending (5) ended (2) ended (2) ended (3) 13:15 117:24;118:7,15 endersement (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:10;191:3;193:14 effective (6) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 13:15 117:24;118:7,15 embark ing (1) 133:12 emerge (1) 133:12 emerge (3) 133:12 14:18 emotion (1) 135:8,13;136:2 emotion (1) 10:13;84:17; 200:5,14 eighty-four (1) employed (1) e15:13 eighty-four (1) employee (18) 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Enant (4) enanced (2) enhanced (2) enhanced (2) enhanced (2) entitlement (2) e10titlement (2) e20:21;23 et (6) e10titlement (2)					
9;187:6,7;211:16 economic (1) 31:2 edge (1) 169:23 150:9;208:9 effect (3) 12:24;49:21;64:12 effected (1) 35:21 21:7 embark (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:10;191:3;193:14 effective (3) 34:16;49:22;176:8 embark (1) 153:12 effective (3) 34:16;49:22;176:8 embark (1) 153:15 16:19 20:20;24:9:25:13; 26:10;191:3;193:14 effective (3) 34:16;49:22;176:8 embark (1) 10:3 Efficiency (1) 11:3:15 Eidson (1) 11:33:12 Eidson (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31:13 embarking (1) embarking (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31:13 embarking (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31:13 embarking (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31 10:10 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31:13 embarking (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31:13 embarking (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;191:31:14 embarking (1) 10:13;84:17; 20:10;10 31:1 emphasize (1) 31:1 emphasize (1) 97:25 19:6 entitlement (2) 62:21,23 entity (2) 20:11;31:10 entry (2) 20:11;11:31:10 entry (2) 20:11;11:13:10 entry (2) 2					
economic (1) 150:2,18,23;153:18; 142:25;147:12,15, 24;150:20;151:1; 62:21,23 entity (2) et (6) 31:2 edge (1) e-mails (2) 153:1;162:2;208:11; 139:11;193:16 entity (2) 65:22;76:7; effect (3) emanated (1) 16,23;210:1,9 enure (2) 6 ethically (1) 12:24;49:21;64:12 Emanuel (1) 125:2 ended (2) enure (1) 157:8 effective (6) 21:7 ending (5) enures (2) 132:9 effective (6) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 13:15 117:24;118:7,15 environment (4) 33:2 effectively (3) 13:15 117:24;118:7,15 environment (4) 33:2 Evaluating (1) 34:16;49:22;176:8 emerge (1) ends (5) environment (4) 18:8;23:2;32:21 efficiency (1) 133:12 71:24;85:8; 52:57;55:6,8; 9:67;11:17;88:17; 10:3 energe (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equivent (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 eight-figure (1)<					
31:2 edge (1)					
edge (1) e-mails (2) 153:1;162:2;208:11; 139:11;193:16 140:12;141:8;167:6, 6 effect (3) emanated (1) 16,23;210:1,9 entry (2) ethically (1) 13:2:4 13:2:2:2:2:					
150:9;208:9 emanated (1) 16,23;210:1,9 entry (2) 26:11;31:10 entro(1) 157:8 evaluate (1) 157:8 177:18 177:18 177:18 177:18 177:18 177:18 177:					
effect (3) emanated (1) 16,23;210:1,9 ended (2) 26:11;31:10 enure (1) ethically (1) effected (1) 35:21 21:7 ending (5) 55:17 evaluate (1) effective (6) embark (1) 20:25:52:3; 54:22;56:14 evaluating (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 13:15 117:24;118:7,15 environment (4) 33:2 26:10;191:3;193:14 effectively (3) 15:19 203:13 environment (4) 33:2 26:10;217:6:8 emerge (1) ends (5) environmental (11) 18:8;23:2;32:21 efficiency (1) 133:12 ends (5) environmental (11) Even (14) efficiency (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 18:8;23:2;32:21 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; 107:15;131:24; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 eight-figure (1) embasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 evening (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1)					
12:24;49:21;64:12 effected (1) 35:21 21:7 ending (5) enures (2) 132:9 evaluate (1) 132:9 evaluating (1) evaluation (1) evaluation (1) evaluation (1) evaluation (1) evaluati					_
effected (1) Emanuel (1) 79:15;89:1 55:17 evaluate (1) 35:21 21:7 embark (1) 20:25;52:3; 54:22;56:14 evaluating (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 13:15 117:24;118:7,15 environment (4) 33:2 26:10;191:3;193:14 embarking (1) endorsement (1) 12:11;139:17; Evan (3) effectively (3) 16:19 ends (5) environmental (11) 18:8;23:2;32:21 efficiency (1) 133:12 ends (5) environmental (11) Even (14) efficiency (1) 133:12 71:24;85:8; 52:57;55:6,8; 58:12;78:17;119:14; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) event (4) eighty- (1) 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employ	* *			1	
35:21 effective (6) embark (1) 20:25;52:3; 54:22;56:14 evaluating (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 23:15 117:24;118:7,15 environment (4) 33:2 26:10;191:3;193:14 embarking (1) endorsement (1) 12:11;139:17; Evan (3) 46fectively (3) 16:19 203:13 environmental (11) Even (14) 34:16;49:22;176:8 emerge (1) 133:12 71:24;85:8; 52:57;55:6,8; 9:6,7;11:17;88:17; 10:3 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 50:13;51:17;58:4; <td></td> <td></td> <td>-0.4</td> <td></td> <td></td>			-0.4		
effective (6) embark (1) 20:25;52:3; 54:22;56:14 evaluating (1) 22:20;24:9;25:13; 13:15 emborsement (1) 117:24;118:7,15 environment (4) 33:2 26:10;191:3;193:14 embarking (1) endorsement (1) 12:11;139:17; Evan (3) 34:16;49:22;176:8 emerge (1) ends (5) environmental (11) Even (14) efficiency (1) 133:12 71:24;85:8; 52:5,7;55:6,8; 9:6,7;11:17;88:17; 10:3 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; 141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty-(1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 enhance (2) 105:6		` '	1		
22:20;24:9;25:13; 26:10;191:3;193:14 effectively (3) 34:16;49:22;176:8 efficiency (1) 10:3 Eidson (1) 117:24;18:7,15 emotion (1) 10:13;84:17; 206:5,14 eight (4) 10:13;11 eighty-figure (1) 31:1 eighty-four (1) 9:17 13:15 embarking (1) 16:19 203:13 endorsement (1) 203:13 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 52:5;7;55:6,8; 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 145:8;23:2;32:21 Even (14) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;170:20 environment (4) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;170:20 environmental (11) 12:11;139:17; 145:8;23:2;32:21 Even (14) 9:65;13;11;18:4; 103:11;18:4; 103:12;11:19:14; 107:15;13:19:14; 109:10:10:10:10:10					
26:10;191:3;193:14 embarking (1) endorsement (1) 12:11;139:17; Evan (3) effectively (3) 16:19 203:13 145:8;170:20 18:8;23:2;32:21 add (5) environmental (11) Even (14) efficiency (1) 133:12 r1:24;85:8; 52:57,755:6,8; 9:6,7;11:17;88:17; 10:3 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; 141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 e5:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eighty-figure (1) emphasize (1) 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty-four (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)				1	
effectively (3) 16:19 203:13 145:8;170:20 18:8;23:2;32:21 34:16;49:22;176:8 emerge (1) ends (5) environmental (11) Even (14) efficiency (1) 133:12 71:24;85:8; 52:5,7;55:6,8; 9:6,7;11:17;88:17; 10:3 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; 141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) Ernst (4)					
34:16;49:22;176:8 emerge (1) ends (5) environmental (11) Even (14) efficiency (1) 133:12 71:24;85:8; 52:5,7;55:6,8; 9:6,7;11:17;88:17; 10:3 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; 141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)	The state of the s		` ′		
efficiency (1) 133:12 13:12 10:3 10:3 10:3 10:4;85:8; 10:3:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:1					
10:3 emergence (3) 103:11;118:4; 58:12;78:17;119:14; 107:15;131:24; Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; 141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)				1	
Eidson (1) 135:8,13;136:2 125:21 173:3,13;189:5; 144:17;149:20; 141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 19:3 everybody (22)					
141:8 emotion (1) Energy (2) 201:7 185:9;188:7;197:16; eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					
eight (4) 59:18 25:24;26:4 equate (1) 200:2;202:4;204:11 10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) enhance (2) 105:6 49:15;215:4 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) enhanced (2) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					
10:13;84:17; emotional (1) engaged (1) 104:3 evening (1) 206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) enhance (2) 105:6 49:15;215:4 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) enhanced (2) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					
206:5,14 191:23 65:24 equipment (1) 204:11 eight-figure (1) 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) enhance (2) 105:6 49:15;215:4 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)			*		
eight-figure (1) emphasize (1) engaging (1) 26:10 event (4) 31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) enhance (2) 105:6 49:15;215:4 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) enhanced (2) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					
31:1 97:25 19:6 equity (1) 12:11;45:15; eighty- (1) employed (1) 105:6 49:15;215:4 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) enhanced (2) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					
eighty- (1) employed (1) enhance (2) 105:6 49:15;215:4 115:13 210:10 53:17;167:14 equivalent (1) events (1) eighty-four (1) employee (18) enhanced (2) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)	0 0				
115:13					
eighty-four (1) employee (18) enhanced (2) 27:18 19:3 9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					
9:17 50:13;51:17;58:4; 183:24;212:20 Ernst (4) everybody (22)					` '
eignty-nine (1) 80:15;87:10;92:24; ennancement (11) 24:20,21,25;25:5 6:15,22;14:20;					
	eignty-mne (1)	80:15;87:10;92:24;	emiancement (11)	24:20,21,25;25:3	0:15,22;14:20;

-	1			1
16:13;40:10;44:19;	exceedances (1)	109:11;110:7,12;	22:5;23:7,16,17,22,	fact (28)
46:13;113:3;144:17,	119:15	111:2,3,4,12,15,17;	25;38:11,13;39:16,	18:23;30:13;
21,25;148:25;184:9;	excellent (1)	112:3,16,21;113:8,	25;40:17;156:18;	33:21;34:20;84:7;
199:2;201:14;	158:2	11,15,18,19,20,25;	191:19	86:11;93:12;105:16;
202:18;203:19;	except (3)	114:6,17;115:7;	expensive (1)	106:8;124:2;130:18,
208:13,20;210:3,14;	174:13;202:19,20	116:11;117:20;	108:8	25;132:20;148:5;
211:11	exception (1)	121:5,7,12,22;122:4,	experience (1)	154:3,20;160:13;
everybody's (4)	8:5	10,16,17,23;123:3;	141:16	162:8;183:6;184:12,
46:3;61:7;123:14;	Excessive (2)	124:8;125:4,5;	experienced (1)	25;185:23;186:9;
215:2	108:15;130:23	126:25;127:2,5;	162:16	191:22;203:9;211:7;
everyone (10)	excessively (1)	134:12;136:2,13;	expert (7)	213:4,18
9:8;10:11;44:9;	108:10	142:23;143:17,18,	82:7;95:6;97:3;	fact-by-fact (1)
48:9;164:1;172:12;	exchange (1)	22;147:21,23;	115:22;118:16;	201:1
184:1,2;189:18,25	30:7	153:18;156:1,2;	168:1;183:15	factor (4)
evidence (37)	exchanges (1)	158:6,17,19,23;	expertise (3)	124:20;197:16,18,
45:4,15,20;56:18;	11:24	159:15,17;166:1,3;	25:2;94:15;174:16	20
57:12,14;58:3;	exclude (1)	172:3,7;186:13;	experts (3)	factors (5)
59:20;63:12;64:20,	133:25	187:8;188:15,21,22	95:10;117:15;	71:11,14;198:24;
24;69:18;70:5;	excluded (1)	exhibiting (1)	190:9	199:3;202:6
73:14;74:4;81:21;	100:17	164:9	experts' (1)	facts (22)
111:9,12;112:19,20;	exclusive (1)	exhibits (20)	187:20	57:13;60:10,22;
	66:4	41:25;42:5,6,11,	expiration (1)	66:21,23;77:20;
126:16,24;127:1,4,7,	excruciatingly (1)		27:17	101:15;105:11;
18;131:3,4;143:18,		14,21;64:12;70:3;		
22;172:3,7;184:15;	12:24	81:21;120:2,22;	explain (4)	130:2,16;151:12;
206:19;207:7,10;	excused (1)	121:11;126:16,21,	14:22,24;85:23;	178:23,25;181:13;
210:25	137:10	22;127:4,19;146:22;	115:22	182:14;185:7,13;
evident (1)	exec (5)	171:22;190:7	explained (3)	186:1;195:2;200:11;
132:1	65:21;66:1,4;79:7;	Exide (2)	191:21;199:22,24	201:2;205:18
evidentiary (1)	94:14	130:12,13	explanation (2)	factual (2)
206:23	execs (3)	exist (1)	90:11,12	105:15;116:2
Evidently (2)	60:9;198:24;200:7	105:20	expressly (2)	failed (3)
101:17;115:6	executed (6)	existed (1)	60:23;62:23	30:4;99:13;126:20
evolved (2)	51:23;116:13,14,	132:20	Expressway (2)	failing (1)
89:9;138:14	25;117:1;170:9	exists (1)	29:8,21	198:20
exactly (9)	executive (10)	186:18	extension (9)	Fair (7)
40:11;47:21;	50:15;60:5;65:17;	exit (1)	35:11,16,16,17,22;	79:25;84:19;
58:23;68:14;77:25;	66:1,14;71:9;72:1;	215:1	36:2,3,9;218:6	106:13;154:12;
83:9;89:15;181:10;	73:3;82:7;103:25	expand (1)	extensive (1)	163:24;165:24;
187:2	executives (15)	24:19	43:24	179:22
exam (1)	59:11;82:10;94:4;	expanded (3)	extent (10)	fairness (1)
101:11	123:11,17,19;140:7;	25:13,17;183:16	28:10;30:4;34:5,	184:6
EXAMINATION (6)	174:4;194:7;195:19;	expect (7)	17;39:23;50:18;	fait (1)
65:7;69:7;98:20;	196:15;198:21,25;	9:23;33:21;90:19;	68:5;105:2;166:18;	20:3
133:5;137:23;	206:5,6	94:15;155:17;158:9;	191:22	faith (4)
161:21	exercise (6)	160:9	external (3)	16:13;19:6;20:5;
examinations (1)	20:8;28:6;31:5;	expectation (1)	166:9,11;202:6	206:18
59:4	56:24;178:22;	72:6	extreme (1)	faithful (1)
examine (1)	182:13	expectations (1)	40:3	192:5
171:19	exercised (1)	42:16	extremely (1)	fall (2)
example (34)	26:9	expected (4)	16:12	57:16;75:3
27:9;53:10;58:3;	exhibit (114)	89:13;94:5;197:1;	•	fallen (1)
62:10;78:16,23;	41:20,21,24;46:8,	212:3	\mathbf{F}	76:17
79:15;93:9;100:3;	9,10;63:11;64:1,2,8,	expecting (1)		familiar (6)
101:24;129:13;	13,18,21;69:23,25;	172:16	face (3)	109:15;118:20;
130:11;131:16;	70:12,15;72:18;	expedited (2)	13:5,5;214:2	119:25;121:17,21,22
139:19;141:4,22;	73:14,20,21,22,25;	42:10;58:20	faced (1)	familiarity (1)
144:10,13,18;153:3;	74:4;81:12;83:22,	expended (1)	190:23	25:1
159:20;162:25;	23;84:11;86:5;	34:1	facilities (2)	families (1)
166:24;167:4,11,18;	88:14;89:3,5,18;	expense (4)	204:17,21	190:22
168:6,12,19,20,21;	90:4;92:13,23;93:7,	44:23;139:20;	facility (2)	far (11)
170:2,4,11	8;94:19;98:6,10;	182:22,25	27:12,25	26:21;32:1;40:9;
examples (1)	102.7.104.14.14.	(16)	P! (1)	10.24.50.20.104.4.
	103:7;104:14,14;	expenses (16)	facing (1)	49:24;50:20;104:4;
192:15	106:16,22;107:3;	20:24;21:22,25;	181:14	116:4;118:20;157:1;

Case No. 15-33896-KR	Н			January 21, 2016
104.14 15	20:6	156.12.167.1.195.6.	2.24.2 14.22.21 21.	formanating (2)
184:14,15	29:6	156:12;167:1;185:6;	3;24:3,14;32:21,21;	forecasting (2)
fashion (2)	fighting (2)	188:5,9;190:22,23;	34:23;35:7;48:25;	160:16;161:5
42:20,22	189:2;191:5	191:20;201:24;	55:1;205:9,12,20	foregoing (1)
fast (1)	figure (13)	211:10	flip (1)	98:18
207:23	14:16;16:13;92:3;	finding (3)	115:7	foregone (1)
faster (3)	104:18;113:3;	22:18;53:21;207:4	flood (3)	56:7
55:2;132:2,14	140:13;151:10;	finds (3)	40:10,16,17	forfeited (1)
fault (2)	152:8;193:15;208:7;	24:9;54:16;211:7	flow (11)	52:21
158:25;199:1	209:4,5;214:11	fine (11)	55:4;104:3;	forgive (1)
favor (1)	figured (1)	51:13;63:4;64:7;	134:25;135:5;156:5,	16:21
208:25	209:10	70:1;80:2;97:23;	8;162:4,25;168:7;	forgot (1)
favorable (1)	figures (2)	112:15,22;146:8,11;	189:10;203:8	111:9
20:7	156:7;188:24	158:1	flowed (1)	forgotten (2)
fear (1)	file (6)	finish (2)	183:14	151:22,23
112:4	33:15;38:8;42:9;	125:3;161:3	flowing (1)	form (5)
fearful (1)	86:25;143:14;	finished (2)	204:14	11:23;147:18;
109:2	156:21	32:8;161:1	fluid (1)	158:13;171:2;
feature (2)	filed (41)	finite (1)	149:18	204:15
211:8,10	20:22;21:2,12,15;	54:23	flummoxed (1)	format (1)
· ·				
February (5)	22:24;23:19;26:13;	Fire (1)	168:16	42:5
35:17,18;70:23;	28:14;31:8;33:6,16;	27:1	flux (1)	former (1)
71:21;112:6	36:20;37:23;41:20;	firm (3)	202:7	53:12
fee (4)	45:21;48:22;49:2;	36:15;65:15;138:6	focal (1)	formulating (1)
20:22,25;22:12,24	50:12;51:8;66:7;	firms (1)	174:10	33:3
feel (7)	67:9;75:6;76:16;	65:19	focus (10)	forth (12)
10:23;12:1;17:2;	91:7,10;92:16,20;	first (73)	55:5;138:17;	8:14,16;13:7;14:7;
48:11;51:4;68:22;	93:2;95:4;96:7;	7:7,11,14,23,25;	164:19;173:19;	15:16;27:21;28:3;
209:24	101:17;102:23;	8:12,12,20;12:5,16;	176:10,11;178:3;	29:8;31:11;32:14;
fees (11)	110:19;145:11,17;	14:20;15:11,11;16:4,	182:3;195:1;196:6	34:22;145:2
21:14,25;22:5,18;	155:8;158:4,11;	5,9;20:20,21,24;	focusing (1)	fortune (1)
23:6,15,17,22,25;	159:8,11;196:21	22:1,6;23:5;29:12,	139:9	56:1
24:10;34:8	files (2)	19;35:17;41:16;	folded (1)	forty (4)
fell (1)	118:25;123:9	44:6,14;46:7,15,17;	140:2	60:17;124:4;
102:25	filing (9)	48:7;49:9;50:4;	folks (9)	195:25;197:16
fellows (1)	8:24;33:17;35:23;	57:17;60:3;63:8;	53:13,15;54:7;	forty- (1)
191:25	38:1,14;67:10;75:2;	65:13;68:20;75:10,	140:11;141:7;164:7;	75:10
felt (2)	76:15;85:17	13;77:4;82:17,25;	179:23;180:3;	forty-four (11)
161:15;163:3	filings (3)	85:8,19;86:8;94:19;	181:11	75:12,13,20,23;
few (5)	74:24;76:15;77:3	98:7,9;100:22;	follow (1)	98:21;100:19,22,22;
6:24;7:24;54:20;	filled (1)	114:25;120:17,24;	104:13	101:1;128:18;
55:23;68:19	8:13	121:12;122:5;128:1;	followed (1)	155:22
fewer (1)	final (11)	138:17;144:9;	173:6	forward (21)
208:15	16:20;21:14;	147:25;148:8;149:6;	following (6)	15:19;16:16;
fiduciaries (3)	46:20;79:16;87:22;	157:8;161:10,25;	85:12;94:22;	17:21;18:21;19:6;
191:25;193:25;	89:16;90:23;91:9;	164:3,5;185:19;	95:13;96:2;99:6,9	20:6;22:17;38:23;
194:11	92:17;97:19;211:9	186:10;208:23,25;	follows (1)	47:24;55:10;63:2;
fiduciary (8)	finalize (1)	209:20;211:2	206:11	65:5;67:25;80:24;
17:15;19:5;192:4;	163:2	firsthand (1)	foot (1)	112:24;130:3;
196:20,22;212:2,2,6	finally (3)	12:9	45:12	137:20;176:7;
fifteen (15)	21:9;126:7;143:24	first-pass (1)	footnote (5)	203:14;212:10;
9:10,11;51:17;	finance (3)	88:21	136:17,18,18,25;	214:8
52:8;58:14;143:25;	79:5;141:5;167:6	fit (3)	160:5	forward-looking (1)
144:4;145:5;169:12;	financial (24)	136:5;139:5;	footnotes (1)	156:4
171:4,6;174:7;	21:9;23:10;57:13;	183:17	160:5	found (3)
206:20,23;213:24	79:8,12;89:16;94:13,	five (5)	footprint (1)	103:18;134:17;
fifth (1)	16;95:1,5,6,10,10,	53:2;76:19;	139:23	185:16
124:9	22;115:20,21;	102:17;133:18;	force (1)	foundation (1)
fifty (4)	116:23;117:15;	136:14	59:8	12:17
52:22;136:19;	118:16,17;141:16;	five-minute (1)	forecast (8)	four (8)
160:7;203:25	162:16;195:17,18	67:12	47:5;160:17,18;	20:20;51:20;
fifty-five (3)	find (16)	Fleck (20)	162:4,5,13,23,25	57:14;58:10;75:11;
52:2;58:16;89:8	53:16,25;55:4,15;	18:8,8,17,19;	forecasted (2)	170:17;186:9;
fifty-mile (1)	77:10;105:21;	19:24;20:11,12;23:2,	95:16;162:1	197:15

-				
four-lane (1)	193:14	goals (29)	greater (1)	6;135:16;137:7,12,
29:7		33:9;49:25;52:12,	200:8	18,24;142:23;143:5,
fourteen (1)	G	18;66:20;72:10,12,	greatly (1)	16;145:19;157:7,16,
64:12		14;76:7;79:9,11;	9:24	23;160:25;161:20;
fourth (7)	game (8)	86:23;94:2,17;95:10,	ground (3)	171:25;205:24;
7:20;9:2;58:25;	59:13;151:8,13;	11;116:23;119:20;	13:20;194:22;	206:3
82:16;95:15;112:16;	194:4;207:14,17;	125:22;133:20;	196:12	hand (11)
114:24	209:16;213:14	179:9;193:20,21;	grounds (1)	25:24,25;34:14;
fraction (1)	,	196:24;197:12,13;	206:6	
	gap (1)			54:19;68:1;73:17;
188:24	91:1	206:21,22;209:12	group (20)	81:18;114:4;118:21;
frame (3)	Gary (3)	goes (7)	14:13;15:2,3,10;	120:4;184:23
83:11;142:10;	148:1;149:1,23	11:25;47:3;48:4;	19:7;61:14;76:10;	handed (1)
158:21	gas (2)	85:11;87:24;187:18;	88:13,15;98:22;	114:16
frankly (4)	26:3,6	213:21	99:2;100:23;107:7,9,	handful (2)
139:17;152:18;	gather (1)	go-forward (1)	16;134:16;167:13;	83:8;202:15
154:25;190:17	151:12	167:20	169:22;180:4;	handing (1)
fringe (6)	gathered (1)	golly (1)	185:14	120:18
96:14,22,24,25;	185:12	189:18	groups (6)	handle (2)
97:18,23	gave (5)	Good (69)	11:23;16:2,6;	43:16;163:10
front (9)	11:9;154:20;	6:3,4,19;7:3;8:4;	17:23;99:4;209:19	handling (1)
19:20;23:4;63:12;	161:22;163:11;	12:1;13:8;16:11,13;	growing (3)	47:24
67:1;110:7;114:10;	178:14	18:8;19:6;20:5,13,	203:21,25;207:22	hands (1)
142:21;143:8;	gear (1)	14;23:4;35:9;36:13,	grows (1)	212:1
146:20	61:18	14;37:17;38:4;41:2;	203:18	hangs (1)
full (4)	general (15)	43:22;57:6,7;59:18,	guess (10)	59:9
86:19;155:6;	8:16;9:22;10:6;	24;60:12,14;61:2,3;	48:3;85:2;88:24;	happen (11)
160:5;175:18	11:10;25:2;27:5;	63:1,6;64:15;65:9,	90:18;104:4;110:15;	43:11;68:23;
fullness (1)	35:17;53:11,12;	11;81:23;82:1,2;	115:16;119:13;	193:13;201:22;
116:2	94:3;131:17;144:12,	101:24;108:24,25;	193:8;200:9	210:15,16;211:21;
fully (2)	12,17,22	109:7,9;128:6;	guessing (1)	212:9,10,10;213:9
149:14;202:24	generally (2)	130:20;137:25;	85:25	happened (9)
functional (5)	49:19;82:11	138:1;145:23;	guide (1)	71:1;72:5,9;79:20;
139:18;144:18,22;	generate (1)	146:18,19;151:22;	212:6	100:11;104:12;
167:5;170:17	161:10	152:20,20;164:13;	guy (2)	131:12;185:18;
			45:13;184:21	186:2
functions (1)	genesis (6)	169:4;177:15,25;		= = :
167:1	57:15,17,23;58:7,	182:18;189:25;	guys (1)	happening (10)
Fund (1)	25;186:10	191:4,13,14;192:23;	203:22	62:9,24;97:19;
127:4	gentleman (1)	193:20;194:11;	gyrations (1)	105:3;139:8;144:15,
funds (20)	82:15	202:3;204:8,14;	94:16	15;166:19;207:21;
43:5;44:1;46:25;	gets (4)	206:18		212:11
47:12;50:2,2;57:9;	29:1;93:19;	Gottlieb (1)	H	happens (7)
64:11;74:8;83:23;	159:22;201:17	21:6		7:21;40:4;87:10;
127:3;134:13,19;	gifted (1)	governed (1)	half (3)	133:10,15;152:22;
145:22;171:22;	211:25	89:9	79:16;123:21,24	193:24
182:19;207:13;	given (11)	grab (1)	hall (1)	happy (4)
209:17;210:12;	33:19;34:20;	120:2	102:4	17:22;34:14;
214:5	35:18;62:6;105:25;	grade (3)	Hamilton (97)	50:23;192:14
funds' (5)	106:1;118:21;	29:22;30:1,14	41:15;43:16,19,22,	hard (22)
47:7;127:19;	190:11;211:1;	grant (3)	23;44:1,5;46:2;59:1;	17:7;18:4,5;61:7;
172:3,7;208:24		31:13;123:22;	63:1,5,7,18,23;64:2,	
	212:11,12			149:10;163:18,20,
Fund's (1)	gives (2)	210:18	5,7,11,16,22;65:1,8;	20;164:8;183:7;
188:21	59:20;150:15	granted (5)	66:25;67:4,6,9,13,	191:2;192:18,23;
further (14)	giving (3)	26:18;28:19;	15;69:3,8,18;70:1,4,	194:9,10,10;198:19;
33:20,21;35:21;	60:4,8;190:4	32:16;36:11;37:15	7,11,16,17;72:17,21;	199:7,7;204:2,13;
36:2;43:21;50:24;	glad (2)	granting (1)	73:13,19,21,24;74:6,	214:6
81:6;100:8;126:12;	6:21;94:18	26:14	10,11;79:25;80:2;	harder (2)
133:1;135:16;	glasses (1)	granular (1)	81:6;91:19;92:4,6,8;	198:17,18
137:13;138:13;	82:15	161:5	96:16;110:15,21;	harping (1)
176:20	goal (9)	gravitated (1)	111:1,7,19,21,24;	200:1
future (5)	39:15;44:11;	15:20	112:2,9,14,18,25;	Hassey (11)
12:21;17:8;42:15;	109:16;115:13;	great (6)	113:2,5,7,12,19,22,	46:7;63:10;64:19,
47:5;182:7	133:17;164:14,15;	10:25;11:19,20;	24;122:12;127:15;	23;111:24,25;112:5,
futures (1)	167:19;180:4	67:4;146:24;192:8	130:15,22,25;133:4,	11,18;113:7,20
	107.12,100.1	57.1,110.21,172.0	130.13,22,23,133.7,	11,10,113.7,20

	1	1	1	
Hassey's (1)	80:1	historically (1)	122:12;126:13,15;	
113:10	heart (1)	197:15	127:3,12,15,16,20;	т.
hate (1)	212:14	hit (14)	130:15;133:4;	I
200:1	heaven (1)	55:17;58:1;60:2;	135:17,19,23;137:7,	
hated (1)	11:3	91:16;93:9,15,19;	14,18;142:23;143:1,	idea (7)
185:22			6,16;145:19,25;	44:25;129:18;
	heavy (2)	94:1,5;160:1;162:8;		136:8;142:1;170:10,
hats (1)	14:14;41:11	181:2,12;188:17	146:8;157:7;158:1;	25;189:5
53:14	Heiman (23)	hitting (2)	160:25;161:20;	ideas (1)
Hawk's (4)	6:9,19,20;7:1;	93:17;179:19	165:13;171:12,22,	181:9
29:22;30:8,13,20	9:13,15,17,20;11:11,	hoc (1)	25;172:8,19;176:21,	identical (1)
Hayes (4)	19;18:14;19:15,20;	38:4	24;177:23;178:1;	121:19
175:3,5,11,25	53:6;54:10;55:24;	hold (1)	182:18;184:14;	identically (1)
HDL (3)	59:6;68:2,5,14;69:1;	97:23	185:19;192:3;193:1,	123:10
172:11,13,16	183:11;191:24	holdback (1)	7;194:17,20;200:12,	identification (9)
HDT (3)	Heiman's (1)	24:2	16,24;201:9;202:1,	35:20;64:20;
8:22,25;11:14	19:2	holding (1)	10,21;203:17;204:2,	70:15;74:4;100:18;
head (4)	help (9)	12:4	8,15,17;205:2,12,24;	113:11;121:4,6;
10:5;80:12;84:16;	16:20;39:15;44:4;	holiday (1)	206:3;215:6	143:22
151:8	138:11,22;141:23;	208:10	Honor's (1)	identified (4)
headed (1)	142:16;144:12;	holistic (2)	10:18	35:12;180:1;
140:16	170:19	139:25;140:12	hoop (1)	189:8,10
heading (3)	helped (1)	holistically (1)	45:14	identifies (1)
150:5,10,11	141:10	170:16	hope (2)	41:21
health (4)	helpful (1)	home (5)	9:6;10:19	identify (4)
62:8;170:21,22;	39:24	147:19,20;150:10,	hopeful (1)	35:25;104:9;
173:10	helping (4)	11;196:3	215:3	134:10;190:12
healthcare (2)	33:11;140:21;	honest (2)	hopefully (7)	identifying (1)
61:21;195:13	144:7;145:7	157:2;161:19	7:13;12:7;20:6;	115:20
hear (18)	hereby (12)	honestly (2)	55:12;142:2;167:24;	idle (2)
8:4;12:3;44:9;	64:19;70:14;74:3;	156:20;158:7	215:1	56:3,9
47:1;48:15;51:2;	113:10;121:4,6;	Honor (203)	hopelessly (1)	
57:15,16,21;58:9;	126:24;127:1,18;	6:3,9,16,19;7:17,	195:8	idling (1) 56:6
92:4;94:10;186:9;	143:21;172:3,6	20;8:3;11:7;12:9;	host (2)	
194:9,11;204:7;	Here's (2)	13:25;14:7;18:6,8,	149:3;169:20	ignore (1)
205:10;214:16	44:5;74:20	10,19;19:19;20:11,	hour (1)	212:17
heard (45)	hesitate (1)	13,21;21:11;22:3,22;	146:1	II (4)
8:3;22:11;24:4;	173:20	23:2,22,25;24:14,16,	hourly (1)	62:1;185:16;
25:16;26:15;28:16;	hesitating (1)	20;25:11,21,22;26:1,	173:16	188:10,16
32:12;35:3;36:8;	152:7	12,19,23;27:3,23;	hours (3)	Illinois (1)
37:12;40:6;47:15;	Hewitt (2)	28:14,20,21;29:4;	150:18;168:3,5	147:20
50:5,25;55:1;57:12;	65:17,22	31:10;32:3,18,21;	housekeeping (2)	immediately (3)
178:4,16,18;179:5;	high (2)	33:5,17;34:4,10,13,	81:12;126:16	8:24;39:10;175:3
183:10;185:2;	164:10;184:11	23;35:7,9;36:13,18,	hover (2)	impact (8)
190:15,16;191:2,11,	higher (13)	20,23,25;37:4,6,7,16,	14:24;68:18	9:23;55:7;162:11;
15,22,23,24;196:11;	62:22;94:2,6;	17,20,23,25;38:10,	HR (1)	170:13,14;171:5;
198:8,11;204:7;	107:17,21;108:9;	15,17;39:2,6,13;	167:6	176:13,13
205:6,7,22;210:25;	124:2;186:4;188:14,	40:15,22;41:3,6,9,	huge (4)	impeach (1)
211:3,12,19,20;				96:18
	15,17;199:23,24	12;42:14;43:14,18,	13:2;176:2,2; 194:13	implement (4)
212:2;213:19;	highest (5) 60:4,9;92:24;	22;44:12;45:7;48:3,		88:10;144:13;
214:17		21;51:6,14;54:1;	Hugh (4)	171:6;201:12
hearing (19)	93:15;124:11	57:4,6;58:20;59:22,	59:24;109:1,7;	implemented (2)
6:21;10:18;33:6;	high-level (3)	24;60:25;61:2,6;	194:20	69:12;117:1
34:4;38:1,8;39:10,	7:4;8:1,5	62:9,19,24;63:1,21,	huh (1)	implementing (1)
11,15;44:8;47:24;	highly (2)	23;64:3,14,22;65:2;	177:22	181:16
61:11;64:1;132:6;	58:3;188:20	66:25;67:15;68:2;	human (2)	implicit (1)
172:11,13;202:11;	highway (1)	69:3,18,21,22;70:1,	84:14,16	201:16
211:13,24	29:7	5;72:17,22;73:13;	hundred (3)	importance (1)
hearings (5)	hill (1)	74:6;79:23;81:7,11,	14:4;93:10;201:4	132:10
8:13;39:1,9,19;	53:23	15,22;83:23;91:19,	hundreds (2)	important (28)
40:19	hired (1)	25;92:4;96:16;97:6,	10:20,22	8:2;19:14;33:10;
hears (1)	178:13	7;108:22,24;110:15;	Hunton (1)	44:7;47:19;54:14;
187:14	historical (1)	111:8;112:4,14;	21:3	59:11;62:8;95:9;
hearsay (1)	70:19	114:3,13;120:1;		123:16;130:14;
				-,,

				,
132:16;144:4;163:7,	incentivizing (5)	165:3	50:15;76:19,20;	94:16
8;178:10;189:13;	44:22;60:12;	individual (3)	185:1;206:9	internalization (1)
193:5,10,11;198:2;	151:20;209:25;	122:21;180:9;	insolvent (1)	95:9
202:12;203:4,5,16;	214:1	182:8	195:9	internalize (2)
207:15;213:22,22	inclination (2)	individually (1)	instance (1)	88:1;95:8
importantly (1)	175:19;200:21	180:3	38:7	internalized (2)
78:13	include (17)	individuals (1)	instances (1)	78:13;117:18
impose (1)	25:7;34:4;43:4;	206:11	39:9	internally (2)
183:20	78:19;79:17;98:25;	Industrial (2)	Instead (1)	11:3;80:15
imposed (1)	99:20;100:5;106:3;	75:8,9	197:21	interrupt (2)
53:15	131:5,9,15,16,20,23;	industry (18)	institutionalized (1)	32:10;68:3
improve (4)	141:18;201:2	8:4,22;12:15;17:6;	140:14	interruption (1)
167:23;168:9,10;	included (20)	76:1;101:24;139:8;	instrumental (1)	69:1
205:15	41:23;42:2;71:9;	144:16;166:19,20;	145:7	intervening (1)
improvements (2)	75:12;95:18;99:16;	194:6;196:11;	insulting (1)	16:1
139:22;167:17	100:12;101:16;	198:20,20;199:4;	202:13	intimately (1)
improving (1)	128:3,8;131:9;	202:7;207:24;214:9	insurance (14)	161:8
168:2	138:19;140:3,5;	inferences (1)	27:1,2,5,6,11,12,	into (62)
inaccurately (1)	141:11;144:1;145:6;	203:8	14,16,20;28:1,4,9,	7:5,22,25;10:20,
183:4	158:8;162:10,24	influence (4)	17;170:23	21;13:14;14:5,21;
inappropriate (1)	includes (1)	206:8,12,15,16	insurer's (1)	16:8;18:25;29:18;
96:17	136:22	informal (2)	28:1	37:1;42:14,23,24;
Inc (4)	including (11)	21:19;36:20	intact (1)	44:14;45:17,20;
21:5;23:10;25:25;	30:5;31:9;78:23;	information (45)	65:22	47:5;50:20;57:16;
138:5	136:24;150:19,25;	11:24;12:4;36:5,	integrity (1)	63:12;64:20,24;
incent (1)	150:24;150:19,25; 168:8;173:12;187:5;	19;37:13;41:23;	192:1	69:18;70:5;71:12;
206:13				
incentive (50)	192:14;214:3	42:2,18,25;43:4,12;	intend (4)	73:13;74:4;77:17,
	inconsistent (1) 161:22	45:18;46:10,12;47:2,	16:7;17:25;	19;85:3;111:9,12;
51:17;58:4,5,24;		3,3,16,17;59:3;	137:12;202:24	112:19,20;124:20;
66:8,14;86:14;	incorporate (3)	74:14;76:16;77:9,11,	intended (3)	126:24;127:1,4,18;
115:4;119:22;	179:2,3;194:25	11,13;80:17;94:22;	86:14,21;186:16	129:24;139:5;140:2,
123:19,20,22,25;	Incorporated (3)	95:13;96:2;98:14;	intending (1)	20;143:18,22;151:8,
141:15;158:15;	6:2;48:23;49:19	99:4,7;107:19;	197:13	13,19;157:3;163:8;
164:16;173:1,11,12;	increase (1)	110:17;119:1;122:1;	intensive (1)	164:8;172:3,6;
174:3,15;175:8;	179:8	127:6;152:9;157:10,	201:25	181:23;184:13;
176:2,8;178:3,18;	increased (1)	18;185:11,14;208:5;	intent (1)	195:10;199:14;
181:21;188:23;	53:9	218:9	49:9	200:25;203:13;
190:1,19,21,23;	increasing (2)	initial (8)	intention (2)	213:5
191:19;192:10,12,	10:21;197:19	24:25;74:13;	49:18;178:2	introduce (2)
18;195:20,22,24;	incredibly (1)	75:20;77:23;91:6;	intentionally (1)	70:4;73:13
197:10;198:18;	54:19	138:20;142:11;	133:25	introduced (4)
199:6;200:9;201:15;	incur (1)	154:7	interaction (2)	42:11;45:20;
202:19;209:14;	38:13	initially (6)	23:15;141:2	111:12;112:19
211:2,7,10;213:2	incurred (2)	29:9;76:6;138:12,	interactions (1)	inure (1)
incentives (10)	20:24;21:25	17;152:7;188:19	82:20	182:8
173:4,15;179:9;	indeed (2)	initiated (1)	interchange (1)	inures (1)
181:13;183:3;192:7;	10:15;33:11	183:25	134:22	179:20
200:4;208:19;	indefinitely (1)	initiative (1)	interest (8)	inverse (1)
211:11,12	54:25	116:14	14:9,9,10;38:12;	199:20
incentivize (23)	indenture (2)	initiatives (3)	44:9;184:3,9;194:2	investigated (1)
44:18,20;55:16,	37:19;38:2	51:24;116:13,25	interested (4)	27:16
19;82:9;132:8;	independence (2)	Innovative (5)	43:8;48:14;	investment (2)
144:6;152:23;	65:19;66:3	33:1,10;34:6;35:4;	184:10;193:8	21:5;195:10
175:25;178:5;182:1;	independent (9)	218:3	interests (6)	investors (1)
189:3,24;191:16;	56:22;181:16,19;	Innovative's (1)	17:17,18;62:7,7;	195:9
196:7;199:12,23;	183:23;184:6,12,18,	34:2	178:12;205:17	invite (1)
208:10;209:4,12,13;	19;212:20	inquire (1)	interim (12)	177:10
211:23;212:8	index (1)	157:4	20:22,24;21:15;	invited (1)
incentivized (5)	8:25	inside (1)	22:1,6,6,12,17,24;	98:4
58:13;176:6;	indicated (6)	166:23	23:6,25;24:12	involve (1)
179:6;197:11;208:1	15:1;21:24;48:25;	insider (1)	interjected (1)	163:23
incentivizes (1)	56:11,15;63:7	183:23	43:23	involved (47)
204:23	indirectly (1)	insiders (5)	internal (1)	19:5;33:7;65:14;

Case No. 15-33896-KRI	Н.			January 21, 2016
66:8,13;79:21,24;	Jefferies (1)	keeps (3)	123:14;124:23;	37:18;40:21
80:4,9;94:15;96:10;	23:11	13:10;91:23;	128:17,19,25;129:1,	
105:2,12,12;115:19;	Jeremy (1)	130:22	11;131:14;139:4;	${f L}$
116:15,23;117:15;	37:17	KEIP (223)	141:13,15;180:8,24;	
118:17;130:5;	job (11)	17:9;33:8,23;	181:4,5;185:5;186:1,	labor (2)
134:20;135:1,1;	10:2;17:14,14;	42:12;44:13;48:23;	1,7;188:6;189:13	15:15;61:19
138:9,15,25;140:6;	36:6;62:7,11;	49:1,20;50:9,16;	Kellogg (1)	lack (2)
141:5;142:4;144:19,	130:20;144:21;	51:1,15,16,20;52:23,	29:10	13:21;27:25
23;145:9,14;147:17;	164:21;167:1;199:1	25;53:7;54:7,15;	Kelly (1)	laid (2)
155:14;158:10;	jobs (11)	55:15;56:2,20,23,24;	21:4	59:1;60:1
161:8;173:18;	62:13,17,18;	57:1,2,17,19,21;	kept (1)	land (1)
174:17,19,21;	84:17;182:9;196:2;	59:14;60:15,15,18;	201:3	162:6
175:13,17;176:15,	197:1;202:12,17,21;	61:25;62:10;72:19,	KERP (7)	language (5)
18;180:17;184:2	210:2	22;73:3,3,15;74:14,	62:16;183:17;	49:8,20;68:17;
involvement (5)	joint (1)	18,20;77:18;78:9;	185:20;206:6,9,10,	215:8,11
66:12,17;142:8;	144:16	80:10;83:8,17,19;	16	large (5)
147:13,15	Jones (14)	84:22;87:13,18;88:2,	KERPs (2)	14:13;15:2;58:2,
involves (3)	6:5,20;20:15;21:3,	8,9,23;89:1,7,8,17;	62:24;185:25	19;192:25
47:5;133:8;156:1	18,21;23:14;24:16;	91:7,10;96:7;97:20;	Kevin (17)	larger (1)
inward (1)	41:9;43:23;138:25;	98:2;99:5,14,19,20,	46:20;82:12;	42:8
17:16	142:13;173:20;	22,24;100:4,4,23;	137:18;138:4,21;	largest (2)
issuance (1)	178:1	101:6,15;102:16;	141:7;142:24;	159:5,11
27:9	Judge (3)	103:2,20;106:16;	143:21;148:12,14;	last (31)
issue (19)	102:7,16;132:9	107:1;108:8;109:11;	149:1,1,2,23;181:11;	17:20;24:22;
19:18;42:8;45:5,	judgement (1)	121:4,6,16;122:5,11,	186:25;187:2	37:20;42:9;44:3;
11;46:17,21;47:7,8;	144:9	17;123:2,9;124:1,2,	key (10)	48:3;53:20;55:23;
57:1;60:11;80:10;	judgment (10)	21;125:4,12,13,15,	33:4;41:21;51:17;	59:5;60:6;66:16;
120:6;166:5;169:18;	26:10;28:6;31:5;	19,19;126:24;127:1;	86:13;174:4;178:3,	70:23;95:18;97:12;
179:25;190:17;	56:25;178:23;	130:8;131:15,16;	5;181:21;187:16;	104:20;136:1;
191:1,2;199:2	182:14;183:19;	132:19,22;133:11;	213:16	154:20;161:17,25;
issues (20)	184:8;197:5;212:19	138:16,20,22,23;	kind (19)	168:15;176:17;
15:15,25;16:5,11,	July (5)	140:3,5,21;141:11,	11:10;19:22;45:1;	185:6;186:2,3,4;
14;19:19,22,24;	102:23;118:13;	19,19;142:4,6,12,16;	62:7;79:4;84:6;92:3;	188:11;191:1;195:5,
39:20;42:21;50:7;	138:11;140:6;	143:10;144:2,6;	96:14;97:18;123:20;	7;200:2;205:23
131:11;149:3;	188:10	145:6,11,16;147:13,	125:1;165:8;171:1;	lastly (1)
164:12;169:18;	jump (1) 107:3	16;148:2,6,18,24; 149:4,5,12,20;	186:3;190:16;	55:9 Lata (2)
171:1;181:24,24; 201:7,7			198:21;200:4,10; 209:24	Late (2) 83:10;142:9
item (16)	juncture (2) 18:12;68:23	150:19,25;151:14, 19;152:23;154:19;	kinds (6)	83:10;142:9 later (12)
16:24;23:8,10;	June (10)	158:4,18;159:8,11,	62:8;103:18;	26:23;60:20;
24:18;25:21;26:19,	52:4,17,21;58:15;	18;161:14;164:20;	166:11;170:6,23;	76:15;88:2;91:7;
24;28:21;36:18;	89:10;118:4,6,10;	165:1,12,20;166:6;	191:11	100:9;137:3;150:12;
37:20;41:16;80:20;	159:13;165:20	172:6;173:3,19;	king (3)	153:22;154:3;159:8,
104:4;136:1,2;156:9	justifiable (1)	174:1,2;175:6,7,14,	161:12;211:17;	133.22,134.3,139.6,
items (12)	205:1	21,22;176:7,15;	212:14	latest (1)
6:2,7;20:20,21;	justification (1)	178:8,15,25;182:1;	knew (5)	148:11
23:5;51:9;75:3;	185:21	184:21,22;185:6,17;	101:3;135:4;	laugh (1)
88:10;108:2;156:13;	justified (8)	186:10,14,14,15,18,	140:23;176:5;188:1	170:10
171:5;190:9	60:9,22;178:23,	24,25,25;187:5,6,13;	knowing (2)	laundry (1)
iterations (1)	25;185:7;186:1;	188:3,13,15;189:8,	48:19;61:21	171:4
158:10	195:2;200:12	11,21;196:1,1,5,7,16,	knowledge (7)	law (6)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	17,18,19,22,25;	12:10;79:24;	27:9,24;36:15;
${f J}$	K	198:25;199:22;	105:8;119:18;130:2;	67:22;182:20;186:8
		200:8,20,20;202:5,9,	175:20;176:12	lawyers' (1)
Jackson (1)	Kalfen's (1)	11;203:15,20,21;	known (1)	210:17
21:4	158:8	204:22;206:4,13,16,	29:7	lay (2)
James (4)	KC (2)	22,24;207:2;210:22;	knows (7)	57:11;100:25
38:16;40:16;	153:10,15	213:18;218:16	9:8;10:11;45:7;	layer (1)
105:22;106:5	keep (12)	KEIPs (35)	54:1;130:19;156:25;	151:19
January (8)	11:4;53:21;61:11;	45:8;62:5;74:23;	212:14	laying (2)
14:18,23;33:16;	62:4;199:9,10,19,20;	76:3,4;77:9;93:18,	KPMG (1)	55:21;169:23
48:22;68:8,17;	200:1;202:11,17;	21;101:3,8,9,22;	21:5	layup (5)
156:5;162:1	206:1	105:19;108:15;	Kutak (2)	45:12,13,16;
				<u> </u>

		i	i	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
46:22;47:4	198:21;208:13,14,	8:15	5	6;196:5,9,16;199:25;
layups (2)	17,18;209:15;213:22	liquefied (2)	long-term (4)	200:1,2
45:10,10	level (7)	26:2,5	123:19,19,22,25	lot (32)
lead (2)	13:1;72:12;94:16;	liquidation (3)	look (80)	6:7;8:11;10:4;
21:3;138:5	109:24;133:17,19;	25:4,7,14	7:14;8:21;12:22;	18:18,25;19:15;
leadership (1)	161:5	liquidity (16)	19:5;20:6;39:21;	55:3;58:9;62:18;
145:1	levels (3)	47:4;49:13,23;	59:2;60:13;62:20;	75:6;77:6;98:14;
leads (2)	115:21;117:24;	52:1;89:11;104:4;	77:9,18;79:10,20,22;	99:7;100:25;105:24;
42:8;85:3	164:9	117:19;135:8,10,13;	83:22;84:7;85:8;	132:2,13;149:17;
Lear (2)	lever (3)	136:2;162:11,14;	86:24;87:20;88:18;	158:14;162:9;190:8;
129:12,15	168:16;169:25;	180:14;189:10;	89:3;93:8;97:10;	194:4;195:23;196:5;
learned (1)	171:10	203:21	102:23;104:2,20;	198:17;199:10;
161:11	levers (3)	list (7)	107:17,20,25;	208:4,4,5,12;209:8;
leases (5) 25:23;26:1,7,10,16	169:19;170:15; 171:9	64:13;79:10; 105:21;128:9;171:4;	108:13;117:4; 119:21;121:12,22;	213:14 lots (1)
least (16)	Levin (1)	188:8;191:11	122:3,16;123:2;	99:3
7:10,12;10:24;	61:4	listed (1)	124:6,7;129:12;	loud (3)
15:5;17:2;34:1;	LEVINE (19)	103:17	130:5;132:19,22;	122:4;213:19;
39:21,22;53:19;	61:2,4;63:21;	listen (1)	134:24;136:17;	214:17
58:22;75:2;95:21;	126:18;127:20,23,	39:1	139:15;141:8;	loudness (1)
112:5;124:21;	24;133:1,7;172:18,	lists (2)	149:12;150:19,24;	109:2
158:13;181:5	19,21;175:10;	86:25;87:3	151:16;153:18;	low (2)
leave (5)	176:20;200:16;	literally (3)	156:2,12;158:17;	9:6,7
45:23,23;137:15;	203:17,20;204:5;	77:3,7;185:15	162:3;166:25;167:3,	Lowenstein (1)
192:6;200:25	207:13	litigation (3)	4,5,11;168:22;	61:4
leaves (3)	Lewis (1)	21:4,7;45:8	170:18,24,24;	lower (6)
15:3;50:1;87:10	57:8	little (14)	178:12;180:7;181:4,	9:7;34:9;94:7;
leaving (1)	liabilities (1)	16:6,17;29:1,2;	8;185:4;186:8;	108:10,11;124:4
191:17	210:13	43:21;58:21;73:1;	187:5,23;189:15;	lowest (1)
led (1)	liability (1)	115:16;121:11;	190:21;191:19;	124:10
161:5	27:5	130:23;186:4;200:6;	200:19;201:2;	lowness (1)
left (6)	lien (18)	202:18;206:4	209:23;212:10	108:15
53:12;80:5;124:1,	7:23;15:11,12;	live (6)	looked (21)	loyal (2)
9;201:9;213:12	16:5,9;37:19;38:3,5;	46:5,15;51:10,11;	60:14;74:25;78:4,	192:5;194:11
left-hand (1)	39:12;50:4,5;68:20;	63:14;96:18	8;118:18;121:19;	Lynn (1)
82:16	164:3,5;208:23,25;	livelihoods (1)	122:4;129:10;130:8,	36:15
legal (10) 16:24;17:25;	209:20,21	17:8 lives (3)	14;132:23;139:13,	M
19:18,19,24;31:16,	lieu (1) 63:14	156:19;157:5;	14,18;148:18; 149:20;151:7,12;	IVI
22;144:18;167:6;	Life (2)	170:14	158:11;163:2;191:6	ma (1)
169:2	170:23;192:4	LLC (7)	looking (15)	170:5
legally (2)	lift (1)	25:24;28:24;29:1,	17:16;39:13;	main (3)
88:10;191:18	214:25	24;30:9;33:1;218:4	53:20;58:17;74:25;	84:24;85:22;
legislation (1)	lifting (1)	load (1)	76:2;79:12;90:3;	197:21
185:23	41:11	10:12	101:22;107:18;	maintain (2)
Lemmerz (2)	light (4)	Local (2)	128:25;135:2;	27:6,12
175:3,5	27:23;30:24;81:1;	31:16;40:21	158:25;169:20;	maintenance (3)
lender (2)	211:1	locally (1)	170:16	27:10;29:6;156:23
19:7;164:5	lightly (1)	32:1	looks (5)	major (4)
lenders (19)	42:14	located (1)	41:12;84:6;148:4;	54:6;89:20,20;
7:23;10:23;15:11,	Likewise (1)	29:7	153:25;177:23	206:12
12;16:5,9;19:17;	27:11	logistically (1)	loses (1)	majority (1)
31:9;50:4,5;54:24;	limited (4)	45:24	165:23	20:18
68:20;164:3;176:4;	29:20;101:3;	logistics (1)	losing (4)	makes (11)
178:9;208:23,25;	162:14;183:8	63:8	13:18;56:9;60:5;	61:22;125:14;
209:20,21	line (18)	long (14)	207:18	152:3;153:16;
length (3)	20:2;54:11;77:11,	11:5;14:7;15:6;	loss (1)	169:24;189:20;
76:6;178:19;179:6	12;79:18;114:24;	48:13;59:3;77:8;	95:19 losses (2)	201:20,22;203:1;
lengthy (1) 37:2	122:5,16;125:7; 131:25;138:6;	82:3;135:22;146:18; 172:9,9,17;210:22;	losses (2) 199:17,20	207:18;212:21 making (14)
less (13)	140:11;156:9,13,14,	213:16	lost (14)	16:24;54:4;56:1,8;
34:20;53:4;72:8,	18;190:9;218:1	longer (4)	17:7;60:6;62:13,	58:23;123:8;147:8;
14;119:9;123:23;	lines (1)	26:7;127:6;168:3,	17;119:10,12;195:5,	152:4;158:14;
		20,127.0,100.3,	1,,11,110,12,170.0,	102,100.17,

164:16,21;195:19;	14:10;15:14;29:4;	93:19,25;94:1,7;	117:18	methodically (1)
196:15;209:13	54:8,8;75:1,5,7;77:8;	115:13,13;126:7;	measuring (1)	167:3
man (30)	104:16;108:2;186:6;	159:20;160:1;	115:18	metric (33)
77:24,25;78:2,7,	189:23;192:3	179:16,19	mechanism (1)	45:16;47:4;49:23,
14;79:2;80:5,14;	map (2)	maximums (2)	53:3	23;51:21;52:15,15;
83:13;84:3,5,6;85:1,	15:21;16:15	159:19;188:17	media (2)	89:20;104:10;
4,20;86:3,7,9;91:22;	March (3)	may (52)	8:18;68:7	109:14,19;110:2;
97:20;107:10;	35:22;159:13;	7:20;13:3;16:17;	median (9)	117:20;118:6;119:8,
117:16;124:21;	162:2	18:10,11;19:1;	76:19,21;107:15,	14;133:8;134:20,24,
125:2;148:5;151:3;	mark (9)	24:20;39:10;42:1;	18,24;108:1,1,14;	25;151:17;161:16;
181:8;184:22;	64:1,7,8,9;110:11,	43:15;44:16;45:11,	186:5	164:18,20;165:11,
186:24;209:13	14,14;112:21,23	20;47:14;50:12;	meet (23)	12;180:9;182:4;
manage (2)	marked (22)	56:9,13;59:10;63:23,	11:5,23;58:13;	187:16;189:7;
155:16;161:13	64:17,19;69:24;	24;67:4;68:2;69:3,5;	68:11;86:14,21;	190:25;198:5;
manageable (1)	70:12,14;73:18,25;	72:22,23;76:17;	109:19,23;110:2;	212:17
42:22	74:3;81:21;110:25;	81:14;97:1,7,8;	118:6;125:17,21;	metrics (71)
management (78)	111:4,11;113:10,18;	100:9,9;110:16;	126:2,7;140:8;	44:13,15,17,21,25,
11:4;16:22,23; 17:3,4,7,9,10,19,21,	120:2,22,24;121:1,4, 6;143:20,21	114:12;119:7,7; 126:19,20;131:12;	161:18;165:22; 183:7;186:16;189:4;	25;45:5,9;46:17; 49:13,20;51:21;
22;53:23;55:16;	market (2)	135:19;137:5,10;	210:12;213:20,21	52:10,16;54:9,14,15;
56:21;62:17;80:13,	202:9;207:9	143:2,3;146:15;	meeting (16)	55:5,9,15,17,19;
22;81:2;84:19,20;	Marsal (4)	147:4;190:10,10;	71:5,5;80:11,19;	56:15;57:1,15;58:10,
100:15;102:17;	21:8;160:19;	193:14;205:24;	83:3,5;98:4;142:11,	10,25;72:3;81:2;
132:8;140:4,7;141:2,	161:6;162:16	214:6	19;145:1;153:22;	87:8;88:4;89:7;99:7;
6,18,25;144:1,14;	match (4)	maybe (9)	154:3,6,7,11,13	103:18;109:13;
145:4;146:25;147:4,	168:21;169:10,17;	14:2;45:12;	meets (2)	119:7;126:8;133:9;
6;151:20;152:20,23;	170:6	108:16;139:2;146:2;	144:14;187:13	134:13,16;138:19;
160:20;164:21;	matches (1)	186:4;197:4;198:14;	member (2)	140:5,21;141:9,10,
165:22;167:23;	168:24	200:8	141:6;213:21	18;142:15,15;144:5;
174:25;176:1;	material (8)	McKinsey (9)	members (9)	149:13;151:9,9,16;
179:19;183:12;	30:17;42:25;	21:9;46:21;	47:1,19;79:6;	158:12;160:11;
184:1,23;187:17;	45:18;59:3;110:17;	137:19;138:5;139:1;	80:21,21;142:19;	164:19,23,23;165:4;
188:22;189:4;191:4,	152:8;165:15,15	141:12;167:12;	145:5,5;209:17	173:19;180:9,10,10,
13,14;192:20;	materials (5)	181:11,17	memo (18)	17;186:19;187:16;
193:16,25;196:2;	48:20;104:16;	McKinsey's (1)	84:11,21;85:14,	189:1;211:13,14,14
199:12,24;201:16;	148:22;149:20;	156:20	19;86:8;88:25;	MEYER (6)
202:16;204:24;	151:13	mean (28)	89:12,18;90:15;91:5,	204:8,9,12,14,15;
207:16,18;209:4,12,	math (3)	11:18;32:10;	6;94:9;97:14;98:12,	205:5
15,25;211:23,25;	53:4;56:10;165:25	40:13;43:1;48:2;	13;104:16;106:23;	midair (1)
212:3,4,9;213:19,23;	matter (14)	55:10;79:18;83:6;	196:21	87:18
214:1,23	8:17;27:7;29:16;	97:24;100:23;106:6;	mention (2)	middle (5)
management- (1)	32:24;39:3,7;41:16;	111:15,22;118:15;	72:10;87:17	13:20;17:9;97:15;
184:17	43:8;84:7;118:10,	119:23;123:13;	mentioned (7)	140:11;201:19
management's (1) 46:9	13;164:2,2,3	124:12;149:11;	57:25;94:18;	midnight (1) 208:8
	matters (7) 18:21;21:7;33:6;	163:25;168:3,3;	102:1;136:1;170:9;	might (17)
manager (2) 91:17;191:18	37:25;40:19;128:14,	191:8;198:8,11; 199:18;201:8,14;	197:14;215:8 Meridian (24)	16:10;37:1;57:12;
managers (4)	15	203:18	46:16;65:2,11,16,	100:24:132:16:
72:1;90:4;140:11;	maximization (1)	meaning (3)	23;71:3;79:3,7;86:5,	152:17,21;162:21;
193:14	44:20	22:2;86:21;95:4	12;138:25;139:3;	170:10;175:22;
mandate (1)	maximize (15)	means (10)	142:13;148:1;149:6,	187:22;189:9;
178:12	139:9;140:15;	13:13;91:15;99:4,	11;151:11;154:17;	190:18;204:11;
manipulate (2)	142:17;145:3;	16;116:14;119:17;	172:5;180:18,22;	210:2;212:10;215:3
80:25;192:9	151:20;164:15;	188:4:208:14.16.17	181:4,10,17	Milbank (4)
manipulation (3)	178:6;182:1;196:20;	measure (3)	Meridian's (3)	18:9;23:8,14;
44:18;45:3;191:8	204:25;210:1,6,10,	109:20;117:6;	86:25;153:7;187:9	32:22
man's (1)	11;213:15	118:17	message (2)	milestone (5)
152:19	maximizes (2)	measured (2)	18:3,3	7:21,22;68:8,11,18
mantra (1)	152:24;167:21	52:16,23	met (10)	milestones (2)
12:5	maximizing (2)	measurement (3)	11:13;72:14;	61:17,17
many (23)	56:4;182:6	33:8;76:7;186:20	82:17;83:1;98:1,5;	million (50)
8:3;9:14;12:3,25,	maximum (14)	measures (4)	148:25;154:13;	9:17,18;30:10,15,
25,25;13:17,17,18;	52:11,13;91:10;	10:3;87:6;115:21;	182:12;206:23	23;52:13,13,14;
			<u> </u>	

-				. ,
57:25;58:6,7;59:13;	mistake (2)	18,19;165:14;167:3,	20:19;51:15;55:2;	nature (6)
60:4,8,8,9;61:15,22;	111:7,23	25;170:19;190:19;	63:12;69:18;80:24;	79:12;149:12;
72:2;75:2;94:3;	model (3)	195:20;197:12;	103:7;111:9;117:19;	151:16;166:20,24;
103:5;106:16;	190:9;192:4;	200:6,7;201:20,22;	126:16,20;127:4;	167:9
107:12;109:24;	198:11	202:18;203:2;	153:6;176:7;178:21;	ND (1)
110:3;115:2,14;	modeling (1)	208:15;209:6;	198:19	106:5
116:6,6;125:18;	191:6	213:22	moved (1)	NDAs (1)
126:2,8;155:22;	modification (1)	Morgan (1)	204:10	202:25
169:13;171:2;	30:3	57:8	moves (1)	near (3)
185:17;188:14,15,	modifications (4)	morning (25)	54:11	15:24,25;98:17
16;190:2;195:14,16,	29:15;33:8;49:5;	6:3,4;18:8;20:13,	moving (4)	necessarily (10)
17;196:18,19;197:2,	145:10	14,17;35:9;36:13,14;	11:2;149:17;	8:7;58:13;77:20;
2;203:24,25	modified (4)	37:17;43:22;44:4;	190:8;203:14	162:24;163:22;
millions (3)	29:24;33:13;	57:6,7;59:12,24;	much (54)	201:14;203:13,24;
10:21,22;195:6	71:20;100:9	61:2,3;63:1;65:9,11;	6:14;7:16;8:24;	206:11;211:8
mind (4)	modify (1)	148:10;183:11;	9:21;11:2,22;12:6;	necessary (17)
10:2;11:20;13:14;	33:24	204:8;208:9	13:14;14:3;20:12;	22:2,20;24:9;25:3;
175:3	moment (9)	most (24)	44:8;47:22;53:24;	26:7;30:5;39:3;
minds (4)	43:14;68:2;89:3;	8:2,21;12:1;40:17;	57:5,25;60:15,19;	40:22;56:9,23;
7:10;11:20;145:1;	98:16;104:20;112:5;	58:3;61:14;65:24;	61:23;65:19;68:24;	58:24;88:23;115:5;
192:22	154:13;183:11;	78:5,5;84:18;88:12;	75:4;118:21;139:17;	127:7;182:25,25;
mine (13)	190:11	91:3;135:3;141:1;	155:13;164:5;	204:24
13:1;43:5;56:3,4;	moments (1)	147:11;167:17;	165:18;169:10;	need (51)
59:9;61:9;62:14;	83:3	188:20;195:20;	170:12;182:16,20;	10:19;11:23;12:8,
167:21,25;168:2,6,	money (28)	200:17;202:4,7,21,	194:18;195:4,4,19;	16;17:21;19:9;
10,13	13:18;15:6;55:3;	21;209:5	199:14,16,23;	27:23;33:20,21;
miner (1)	56:8,9;58:2;60:5;	mostly (8)	201:20,22;203:1;	34:19;38:5;39:11;
59:16	88:4;167:1;169:8;	47:4;65:20;66:19;	205:4,19;206:7,7,15;	45:19,21;46:3;51:2,
miners (11)	170:6;190:20,21;	138:7,25;142:14;	207:1,1,11;208:24;	5,5;53:24,25;54:13;
62:12,13,15,17;	192:8,25;194:13;	144:18;162:10	210:7;212:21;	61:11;64:3;70:9;
202:15,20,20;	195:6;198:21;200:6,	motion (77)	214:15;215:13,15	101:1;110:15;
203:16;207:15;	7;207:15,18;208:21,	25:22;26:11,12,16,	multiplied (1)	112:20;132:5,7;
208:15;210:10	24;209:1,4,14,16	17,24;27:6,22;28:18,	159:22	136:18;143:2,3;
mines (13)	monies (1)	22;32:16;33:18,20;	multipliers (1)	146:9,13;153:4;
12:13,25;13:17;	190:10	35:11;36:9,19,20;	93:5	156:10;158:3;
14:13;55:21;56:6,8;	monitor (1)	37:2,5,8,13,14,21,23;	murky (1)	170:11;183:12;
62:12;140:10,12;	38:6	38:1,8,14,18;39:17;	58:21	185:21;190:20,22;
167:18;208:14;	month (9)	40:7;41:4,17,19;	must (3)	191:15;194:25;
209:5	7:8;91:7,8;149:15;	42:9,10;43:2,9;47:9;	14:12;118:7;150:9	198:14;208:10;
minimal (1)	159:8,11;163:1;	48:7;49:1,9,11,12;	myself (5)	210:6;212:5;213:15;
31:2	195:7,7	50:3,11,13,15,16;	7:12;16:21;71:3;	214:10;215:7
minimize (3)	monthly (2)	51:1;63:11;72:18,	141:25;163:10	needed (10)
208:3,7;210:6	53:22;118:24	22;73:6,15,21;	-,	33:6;36:5;78:13;
minimizes (1)	months (11)	102:22,23;112:19;	N	138:19;139:10;
208:2	6:11;15:21;16:22;	142:24;143:1,10,18;		161:9;175:25;213:9,
minimum (6)	92:14,16;133:17;	159:18;172:24;	name (8)	10,10
93:25;109:23,23;	138:17;165:24;	173:8,19;188:10;	46:10;86:25;	needs (6)
125:17,22;135:4	190:3;199:10;	195:12,17;200:13;	109:7,18;117:7;	20:3;59:18;61:12;
mining (7)	213:11	210:19,21;218:6,8,	138:4;154:22,24	112:17;208:1;
27:10;29:23,24,	more (57)	10,11,14	names (5)	210:14
25;139:23,24;167:15	7:5,24;9:7,22;	motions (10)	88:3;120:7;	negative (4)
minor (3)	11:1,17;12:11;	40:10,16,17;42:9;	121:20;122:4;155:5	8:7;168:7;191:9;
12:1;78:16;170:1	15:20;16:17;18:1,	44:3;77:3,4,8;	Napoli (1)	192:10
minute (4)	25;19:20;29:2;39:9;	100:24;105:13	161:12	negatively (1)
81:13;104:18;	42:22;46:21;52:22;	motivate (2)	narrative (1)	176:13
165:14;184:24	53:4,25;60:15;	17:19;183:12	77:7	neglected (1)
minutes (1)	61:23;62:21,21;	motivated (2)	narrow (1)	126:15
7:24	72:9;75:8,22;85:3;	153:10;187:10	17:17	negotiate (3)
misnomers (1)	93:22;101:17;	movant (1)	National (4)	139:21;203:10,11
13:22	105:24;106:19;	182:24	27:1,4,20;28:17	negotiated (2)
missing (5)	112:7;123:21;	move (21)	Natural (7)	29:14;184:2
84:24;85:22;	136:19;139:3,6;	10:25;15:19;	6:1;25:3;26:2,6;	negotiating (2)
86:10;88:11,17	152:6;160:6;164:6,	16:15;19:11,12;	28:24;86:12;192:7	166:16;174:25
	1			

negotiation (5)	119:10,12	141:7;143:18;	observations (1)	18:24;19:20;
17:24;37:2;92:18;	non-insider (1)	144:10;150:16;	144:19	155:4;163:23;180:8
131:10;202:23	206:5	158:21,24;159:3,22;	observe (1)	oil (1)
negotiations (17)	nonmaterial (3)	160:1;167:17;	189:4	55:25
33:7;61:19;97:19;	46:12;47:2;110:17	173:10;187:16;	observed (1)	old (1)
145:9;163:23;164:1,	nonmining (1)	208:6;210:10	7:17	198:15
5,10;174:19,21,24;	167:4	numbered (2)	obstacles (1)	Once (9)
175:13,15,23;	nonpublic (6)	103:8;106:25	201:6	23:2;42:23;47:11;
176:13;201:23;	42:25;45:18;47:3;	numbers (13)	obtain (3)	81:22;99:2;101:18;
209:9	59:3;110:17;152:8	9:20,23;10:17,18;	27:18;99:14;	147:17;176:9;
negotiator (1)	non-senior (1)	58:1,13;103:10;	101:21	178:20
189:24	206:5	107:25;111:16;	obtained (1)	one (107)
neither (1)	nonsensical (1)	116:5;121:17,24;	56:13	6:24;9:6;13:10,16;
49:23	99:20	188:17		
			obtaining (2)	14:11,15;16:20;
Nest (4)	nonunion (4)	nunc (1)	54:16;99:22	19:12;25:24;29:4;
29:22;30:8,14,20	60:7;173:5,16;	34:25	obvious (1)	31:14;32:24;46:6;
neutral (1)	195:13	nut (1)	190:17	48:3;50:9;53:19;
49:24	normally (1)	58:14	obviously (9)	54:19;55:21,25;57:9,
nevertheless (1)	144:25		8:2,20;33:20;	16,20,21;58:5,7,21;
55:4	North (1)	\mathbf{O}	39:24;48:14;70:13;	59:5,11;60:20;
new (5)	21:8		109:16;123:17;	61:10;67:3;68:7;
16:19;135:20;	note (10)	oath (1)	202:3	71:2;76:20;77:15;
186:5;187:8,17	18:22;19:2;31:15;	116:17	occasion (3)	81:16,16;82:9;
NewPath (1)	50:3,10;52:7;54:14;	object (8)	38:6;141:13;155:3	93:10;100:23;
25:24			occur (1)	
	127:5;143:19;	21:13;47:23;53:2;	` /	101:11;102:25;
news (3)	179:14	63:19;79:23;91:19;	208:3	103:11;104:2,16;
8:4,18;59:18	notebook (1)	130:15;157:7	occurred (1)	107:22;108:8,10;
next (26)	134:11	objected (1)	70:23	111:5;113:8;118:1;
12:19;14:17;	noted (2)	41:18	October (17)	120:5,5,21,24;121:1,
15:21;16:24;20:7;	43:1;50:22	objecting (5)	20:25;24:21;	14,15;123:16;
24:18;25:21;26:19,	noteholders (1)	33:12,23;44:17;	84:12,21;85:20;	125:10;128:4;132:9;
24;28:21;32:24;	38:5	49:1;205:14	88:25;89:12;90:7,	134:6;136:13;141:1;
60:20;65:1;68:19;	notes (6)	objection (21)	15;94:9;104:16;	143:20;147:23;
79:7,21,24;80:11;	37:19;38:3;39:12;	18:21;24:6;26:17;	106:22;147:15;	149:19;150:10;
96:10;106:4;111:11;	68:13;112:5;113:2	28:18;35:5;37:9;	148:2;149:11;158:8;	152:4,18,21;153:19;
137:18;147:9;154:4;	notice (2)	41:20;50:21,23;60:2,	186:21	155:25;156:12,15,
165:23;203:14	35:18;39:14		off (8)	18;158:3;162:6;
		3,24;63:20;69:20,21;		
nicely (1)	notified (1)	74:1;127:11,15;	55:21;85:2;96:4;	163:18;166:9,25;
59:1	172:11	171:24;178:15;	110:4;116:5;150:2;	168:15,15,21;
night (2)	Noting (1)	205:17	169:23;209:13	170:22;171:7;175:3,
42:9;44:3	145:25	objections (16)	offer (6)	20;180:12,13;
nightmare (2)	November (16)	21:15;22:15;	27:18;81:16;	185:19;187:8;188:1,
45:25;46:2	83:10;98:2;	23:19;25:11;26:12;	125:21;143:7,16;	10;189:22;190:14,
nine (1)	138:20;140:22;	28:13;31:8;38:18;	171:20	18,25;192:10;
79:16	142:9;147:12,17;	50:1,10,11;55:20;	offered (3)	194:14;197:10;
ninety-three (1)	148:10,21;150:24;	56:12;145:12,16;	112:7,10,17	201:19;202:1,10;
9:2	151:6;152:13;	181:22	offering (1)	213:21;214:20
Nobody (7)	153:19;154:19;	objective (1)	105:5	one-off (1)
58:16;96:11,13;	158:11;186:22	8:21	offhanded (1)	40:5
	*	= -		
98:4;187:14;194:12;	nuance (1)	objectives (1)	202:11	one-page (1)
209:1	162:3	145:7	Office (5)	89:6
no-man's-land (1)	number (52)	objectors (7)	21:20;32:1;33:14;	ones (5)
206:15	15:12;23:8,10,11;	42:19;44:21;57:9;	147:19,20	15:4;106:2;170:8;
noncore (6)	27:3;41:22,25;43:2;	59:7;179:25,25;	OFFICER (9)	188:7;191:20
13:23,25;14:3,11;	53:13;66:25;67:5;	180:25	6:1;53:11;67:17,	one's (2)
15:2,3	70:2,13;77:15,15;	obligate (1)	20;81:19;147:2;	47:8;151:17
nondisclosure (1)	85:8,8,23;90:18;	30:22	177:16,20;215:16	ongoing (1)
14:5	94:4;96:4,12;102:25,	obligation (3)	official (5)	26:7
none (6)	25;107:4,18,25;	30:17;32:14;169:2	18:9;23:12;32:22;	only (33)
50:10;99:16;	112:11,11,12;113:6,	obligations (8)	36:16;48:24	8:16;30:17;33:22;
120:10;170:8;	8;119:10;122:18;	14:14,16;15:16;	offsetting (1)	34:3;40:1;47:11;
			10:2	
185:11;201:7	130:7;136:18;	28:22;30:11,25;		50:20;51:6,12;58:11,
non-fatal (2)	138:24;140:11;	31:4;55:8	often (5)	14;64:8;66:2;85:1;
	I .	I .	I .	I

	- <u>-</u>	1		54H441 y 21, 2010
86:2;90:4;99:10,21;	10,13,20;35:12,14;	113:3;115:25;116:4,	16:11;164:7;	29:8;35:23
102:4;105:21;106:2;	36:21,23,24;37:4,10,	18;120:10;122:4;	212:25;213:7	paragraph (16)
118:1;123:13;	21,24;38:9,16,19,22;	123:18,21;125:2;	overcame (1)	70:18,22;71:11,
			201:6	
128:25;129:6;131:4;	41:7;48:23;49:2,5,	132:24;133:18,20,		18;85:19;98:17;
133:23;139:7;	20;51:20;56:16;	21;135:4;138:22;	overcharacterization (1)	99:12;109:14;
185:13;210:16;	67:21;109:19;118:6;	140:13,25;147:14;	139:3	114:18,23;116:12;
212:15,22;214:7	121:12;145:11,15;	150:5;151:10,10;	overcome (1)	117:20;119:9;
onsite (3)	155:10;177:21;	152:8;156:17;	201:6	136:25;158:20;
141:25;147:7,9	196:24;214:11;	163:19;166:4;	overcompensate (1)	196:21
open (14)	215:7;218:12	168:13,19;170:12;	202:14	parameters (9)
43:12;44:8;45:6;	orders (4)	178:12;181:4;	overkill (1)	103:1;105:19;
46:18,23,25;47:15;	22:18;24:13;	184:22;185:16;	171:7	106:1;130:8;134:2;
55:13;62:14;163:9,	38:16;77:4	187:8;189:17;	overlapping (1)	198:14,15;207:3;
12;165:16;177:9;	ordinary (2)	198:19;199:6;	12:19	211:1
191:7	28:11;173:11	200:19,24;201:9;	oversubscribed (1)	Paramont (4)
opening (4)	organization (6)	202:2;208:7;209:4,5,	105:5	29:23,25,25;30:6
48:8,9,15;57:11	77:21;79:5;140:9,	10;212:12;214:11,24	oversupply (1)	parcels (6)
operate (2)	15;141:5;170:17	outcome (5)	9:9	30:5,9,19;31:3,11,
13:18;164:19	organizational (2)	131:23;132:9,10;	overview (1)	23
operated (1)	7:15;11:22	142:3;203:1	6:13	part (43)
•				
17:5	organizations (9)	outcomes (1)	own (8)	12:1;16:25;26:3;
operating (7)	74:25;75:9,24;	189:14	10:18;17:17;	34:11;43:10;49:13,
12:13;13:21;	76:2,12;77:10;79:11,	outline (11)	178:13;183:23;	19;50:13;51:7;54:1,
118:24;156:18,23;	13,17	77:12,16;86:20;	184:9,21;193:14;	4;55:14,14;60:16;
197:24;208:14	original (16)	88:21;90:20;106:16;	201:21	62:9;65:24;84:5;
operation (5)	10:8;30:2,7;34:25;	107:6;125:2;158:18;	_	86:1;88:8,12;95:9;
12:17;15:9;65:24;	35:12;72:21;90:3,10,	159:5,17	P	97:2;100:18;110:18;
182:8;210:7	20;100:18;109:10;	outlined (4)		119:21;128:23;
operational (5)	121:18;159:12,18;	71:8;78:6;158:5;	P&L (1)	129:6,7;139:25;
139:6;142:15;	161:18;200:10	165:4	95:16	142:16;148:20;
164:19;173:2,13	originally (7)	outlines (1)	pace (1)	158:14;169:24;
operationally (1)	34:21;42:2;51:17;	109:11	207:25	170:14;171:9;175:8;
operationally (1) 139:14	34:21;42:2;51:17; 92:20;109:12;	109:11 outlying (1)		170:14;171:9;175:8; 176:1,2,17;182:5;
			page (39)	
139:14	92:20;109:12;	outlying (1)		176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25
139:14 operations (7)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15	outlying (1) 107:22	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3)
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3)	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15,	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13)
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1)	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7)	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3)
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1)	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13)	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2)
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10,	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1)	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2)
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9;	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30)	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26)
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18)	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6,
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1)	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81)	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13; 19:15;42:4,4;43:25;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3; 186:19;188:23;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7 oral (1) 120:11	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13; 19:15;42:4,4;43:25; 46:2;48:1;52:24;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21; 114:23;143:25;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3; 186:19;188:23; 191:19;195:19;	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16; 131:16,20,23;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7 oral (1) 120:11 order (58) 12:10;21:22;23:4,	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13; 19:15;42:4,4;43:25; 46:2;48:1;52:24; 57:11;59:1;60:1,19; 74:20;75:3;77:22;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21; 114:23;143:25; 145:22;151:7; 155:16;160:4;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3; 186:19;188:23; 191:19;195:19; 201:4	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16; 131:16,20,23; 159:23;175:25; 180:13;181:25;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7 oral (1) 120:11 order (58) 12:10;21:22;23:4, 18;25:12,20;26:11,	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13; 19:15;42:4,4;43:25; 46:2;48:1;52:24; 57:11;59:1;60:1,19; 74:20;75:3;77:22; 79:18;82:14;87:18,	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21; 114:23;143:25; 145:22;151:7; 155:16;160:4; 165:23;187:14;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3; 186:19;188:23; 191:19;195:19; 201:4 pain (1) 194:4	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16; 131:16,20,23; 159:23;175:25; 180:13;181:25; 182:3,3;213:5
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7 oral (1) 120:11 order (58) 12:10;21:22;23:4, 18;25:12,20;26:11, 13,18,25;27:22;28:3,	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13; 19:15;42:4,4;43:25; 46:2;48:1;52:24; 57:11;59:1;60:1,19; 74:20;75:3;77:22; 79:18;82:14;87:18, 20;92:3;96:6;99:1;	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21; 114:23;143:25; 145:22;151:7; 155:16;160:4; 165:23;187:14; 194:22;199:17;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3; 186:19;188:23; 191:19;195:19; 201:4 pain (1)	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16; 131:16,20,23; 159:23;175:25; 180:13;181:25;
139:14 operations (7) 26:4,8;139:24; 167:15;170:13; 182:10;210:11 opinion (4) 100:10;134:6,6; 152:19 opportunities (11) 13:4;126:2; 139:16,16,20,21,23; 167:7,10,14;170:18 opportunity (8) 29:20;91:10; 92:22,24;129:7; 148:7;149:8;214:11 opposed (3) 62:22;178:9; 203:13 opposite (3) 68:13,15;134:9 optional (1) 56:7 oral (1) 120:11 order (58) 12:10;21:22;23:4, 18;25:12,20;26:11,	92:20;109:12; 145:11;183:15 originals (1) 81:20 OSEB (3) 173:3,14;174:9 others (9) 8:3;11:2;14:6; 44:23;54:24;105:23; 173:12;192:5; 213:22 otherwise (3) 41:23;208:12; 209:7 ought (4) 114:10;194:9,10, 11 ourselves (3) 61:9;141:24; 195:22 out (81) 13:16;14:3,16,24; 15:17,21;16:13; 19:15;42:4,4;43:25; 46:2;48:1;52:24; 57:11;59:1;60:1,19; 74:20;75:3;77:22; 79:18;82:14;87:18,	outlying (1) 107:22 out-of-court (1) 138:8 outrun (3) 139:7,14;140:25 outset (1) 44:6 outside (7) 28:10;57:1; 138:13;174:16; 198:24;199:3; 202:16 outsider (1) 184:9 outweighed (1) 175:22 over (30) 14:4,24;16:10,22; 32:19;37:2;42:23; 43:19;44:13;45:8; 54:9;55:23;59:9; 62:18;68:18,18; 74:8;76:16;91:21; 114:23;143:25; 145:22;151:7; 155:16;160:4; 165:23;187:14;	page (39) 67:10;71:8;72:19; 84:7,22;85:12;90:4; 94:19;95:18,23,25; 96:1;97:9,10,14,15; 98:17;103:8;104:15, 19;106:17,25;110:4; 114:23,24,24;115:7; 122:1;123:24; 134:14;136:2,12; 142:25;158:18,23; 159:3;160:4;188:22; 218:1 pages (13) 31:22;42:1,6;77:8; 84:25;86:8,10; 88:17;99:6,9;103:8; 104:16;143:6 paid (18) 40:18;60:4,9; 72:15;92:24;93:15; 103:20,25;116:4; 133:18,20,21;165:3; 186:19;188:23; 191:19;195:19; 201:4 pain (1) 194:4 painfully (1)	176:1,2,17;182:5; 183:10;195:20; 213:25 participant (3) 122:5,11,17 participants (13) 41:22;50:17,17; 53:7;54:7;55:17; 56:14;62:17;87:1; 125:12,13;145:6; 178:5 participate (3) 38:25;39:2;202:24 participating (2) 40:13,14 participation (2) 16:7;39:5 particular (26) 17:19;45:16; 52:14;61:25;62:3,6, 11;65:25;66:20; 74:23;79:12;95:25; 100:25;104:4; 114:23;123:16; 131:16,20,23; 159:23;175:25; 180:13;181:25; 182:3,3;213:5 particularly (1)

78:15	197:18	52:8;92:22,23;	102:17;166:22,23;	66:14,15,22;71:8;
parties (22)	paying (4)	107:15;122:24;	167:4	73:2;80:12,17,20;
16:12,25;18:24;	38:10;197:8;	124:8	person's (1)	85:1,4;86:3,7,14,19;
19:13;20:6;29:18;	198:23;203:24	percentages (2)	122:24	87:11,20;89:16,17;
40:17,23;42:3;43:2,	payment (3)	124:6,8	perspective (8)	90:1,3,17;91:22;
7;48:8,10,16;53:4;	20:23;23:6;94:6	perception (2)	49:14;119:2;	92:16,22;96:6;97:3;
63:13,14;177:6,12;	payments (8)	18:24;56:13	160:16;176:14;	107:10;109:15;
182:9;189:23;	30:15;50:14,18;	perfect (1)	181:6,6;182:11;	114:20;115:11;
192:13	53:5;156:9;192:9;	153:16	193:9	117:8;119:19;
parties' (2)	197:17;209:14	perfectly (1)	pert (1)	123:10,15;128:23;
29:14;30:11	payout (12)	56:16	59:4	129:5,5,6,7,8;
partner (7)	52:12,15,17,25;	perform (10)	pertaining (2)	133:21,23;135:7;
138:4;146:24;	94:2;106:4,13;107:1,	28:4;29:4;30:4,21;	83:6;117:5	136:15;138:12,18;
147:1,4,6,7;191:3	11;136:14;148:7;	32:13;94:1,6,7;	petition (1)	139:5,7;140:1,2,13,
partnering (1)	149:8	180:11;212:6	155:7	14,18,19,23,24;
141:24	payouts (1)	performance (25)	Phil (3)	141:19,23;142:14;
Partners (4)	33:9	8:7;25:9;26:6;	141:5;160:20;	144:7;145:2;146:4,
65:12,16,20;	peer (13)	28:22;29:14,19;	161:6	5;147:18;149:16;
141:24	75:9;88:13,15;		Phillips (2)	151:13,18;158:12,
	98:22;99:2,4;107:9;	31:3;33:8,9;51:22;	102:7,16	
parts (2)		87:3,4;114:25;		16;161:9;162:9,10;
35:17;36:3	128:9,11;134:16;	115:3;117:8,25;	phone (9)	163:2;164:16,18;
party (24)	167:13;169:22;	118:1;140:1,24;	34:5;38:25;39:2;	165:4;173:1,3,13,14;
22:4,11;24:4;	185:14	161:16;164:9;	150:16;172:12,15;	175:8;176:2,8;
25:16;26:15;27:3;	peers (1)	173:15;179:5,6,15	177:7,13;208:8	178:17;179:10,15,
28:16;31:9;32:8,12;	128:9	performed (6)	pick (2)	15,22;180:16;
35:2;36:8;37:12;	pending (3)	17:12;22:20;24:9;	13:3;135:19	182:23,24;183:5,6,8,
40:6;49:6;63:17,19;	27:17;102:7;	62:15,16;118:20	picked (2)	25;184:18;189:21;
74:1;108:19;171:18;	195:12	performing (1)	53:13;199:16	190:13;192:12;
182:23;204:6;205:6,	pension (2)	25:3	picture (2)	193:13,25;201:12,
22	129:15,22	perhaps (6)	108:14;210:5	13,14,16;203:12;
pass (3)	pensions (1)	7:3;11:1;132:15;	pie (10)	213:2,7,16
75:10;100:22;	164:3	154:4;183:4;197:6	123:20,21;203:18,	planning (1)
194:8	people (33)	period (20)	21,25;207:22,22;	140:8
passing (1)	9:21;17:9,9;44:16;	20:25;22:1,6;34:3;	208:8,12;213:14	plans (8)
52:7	45:22;47:15,16;	35:12;36:3,10;76:7;	piece (9)	29:25;99:5,8;
past (5)	58:12;131:13;144:1,	92:17;105:13;	50:25;88:3;93:11;	129:15,22;136:5,7; 141:15
16:18;27:15;75:1; 141:14;207:25	4;161:12;167:8;	114:25;115:3; 117:25;118:1,3;	124:5;157:18;160:2,	
141.14.707.73	168:3,6;171:11;			
			16;169:14;174:17	play (4)
path (2)	183:8,15;189:24;	119:5;130:9;131:19;	pieces (2)	15:17,21;144:7;
path (2) 55:9;192:8	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3)	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2,	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25)	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1)	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15,	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2)	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57)	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17;
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18;
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19,	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21;
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3;
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1)	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12,	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1)	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8,	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18;	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1,	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141)	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1)	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1) 184:25	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11; 133:18,19;136:14,	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11 personal (3)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10; 12:20;13:4,9,11,13,	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1) 8:19
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1) 184:25 pay (12)	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11; 133:18,19;136:14, 20;159:6,20,25;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11 personal (3) 25:23;175:20;	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10; 12:20;13:4,9,11,13, 15,17,19;14:12,19;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1) 8:19 plus (2)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1) 184:25 pay (12) 30:9;52:10,23;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11; 133:18,19;136:14, 20;159:6,20,25; 160:1,7;168:8;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11 personal (3) 25:23;175:20; 176:12	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10; 12:20;13:4,9,11,13, 15,17,19;14:12,19; 15:13,19;16:4;25:5,	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1) 8:19 plus (2) 124:4;173:3
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1) 184:25 pay (12) 30:9;52:10,23; 59:15,15;123:17;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11; 133:18,19;136:14, 20;159:6,20,25; 160:1,7;168:8; 190:15,25;195:25;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11 personal (3) 25:23;175:20; 176:12 personally (2)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10; 12:20;13:4,9,11,13, 15,17,19;14:12,19; 15:13,19;16:4;25:5, 8;50:19;51:17,22;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1) 8:19 plus (2) 124:4;173:3 pm (7)
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1) 184:25 pay (12) 30:9;52:10,23; 59:15,15;123:17; 173:9;183:8;185:21;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11; 133:18,19;136:14, 20;159:6,20,25; 160:1,7;168:8; 190:15,25;195:25; 197:16;198:3,4	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11 personal (3) 25:23;175:20; 176:12 personally (2) 17:2;147:9	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10; 12:20;13:4,9,11,13, 15,17,19;14:12,19; 15:13,19;16:4;25:5, 8;50:19;51:17,22; 52:20,22;54:2,2,15;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1) 8:19 plus (2) 124:4;173:3 pm (7) 177:17,17,18,18,
path (2) 55:9;192:8 Patrick (3) 63:10;64:19; 113:10 Patriot (25) 62:1,1;75:13; 76:14,14;101:12,15, 16;102:4,23;103:2; 105:22,22;106:5; 128:1,2,6;132:19; 133:25;180:21; 181:1,1;185:16; 188:10,16 pattern (1) 184:25 patterned (1) 36:24 pause (1) 184:25 pay (12) 30:9;52:10,23; 59:15,15;123:17;	183:8,15;189:24; 190:4;191:13;192:7; 193:13;194:5;203:3; 206:7,14,20,23; 208:10,16,18;209:20 people's (1) 46:9 per (2) 8:25;98:5 percent (57) 9:11,11,25;51:22; 52:2,5,6,8,12,17,19, 21,22;58:14,16; 60:17,18,19;89:8,22; 90:1;91:11,13,16,17; 92:24;93:3,10,12,12, 19;107:12,21;117:8, 13;122:24;123:5; 124:4,10,10,11; 133:18,19;136:14, 20;159:6,20,25; 160:1,7;168:8; 190:15,25;195:25;	119:5;130:9;131:19; 177:11;218:7 periods (6) 33:9;87:3,4;91:2, 5,8 permit (2) 35:22;50:18 permits (3) 27:10;29:25;30:3 permitted (2) 157:8,12 pernicious (1) 17:5 perpetually (1) 11:6 person (9) 40:19,21;84:18; 122:7;154:10;180:1, 12,13;203:11 personal (3) 25:23;175:20; 176:12 personally (2)	pieces (2) 133:16;160:15 pies (1) 123:21 pie's (1) 203:20 pill (1) 211:5 Pittsburgh (1) 27:2 place (11) 13:13;49:10; 57:14;66:15;69:13; 99:24;100:25;176:9; 192:7;200:3;213:19 placed (1) 134:3 plan (141) 7:13,15,23;8:10; 12:20;13:4,9,11,13, 15,17,19;14:12,19; 15:13,19;16:4;25:5, 8;50:19;51:17,22;	15:17,21;144:7; 151:15 played (1) 14:3 plays (2) 14:24;144:21 pleadings (1) 209:23 please (13) 18:16;22:17; 24:12;25:19;26:18; 34:24;65:4;67:21; 98:6;137:20;161:3; 171:21;177:21 pleases (1) 215:6 pleasing (1) 192:5 plight (1) 8:19 plus (2) 124:4;173:3 pm (7)

				, ,
podium (3)	176:1	pre-petition (3)	198:9;199:2,7;	process (20)
22:23;32:19;193:2	post-petition (3)	107:12;128:10;	212:11	12:24;14:2,5;16:8;
point (50)	173:9;204:16,20	173:9	prices (7)	17:24;42:4;49:19;
8:25;14:17;17:4,	post-reorg (1)	pre-planned (1)	9:6,7,8;11:9;	61:22;66:19;78:12;
21;18:23;59:5;	175:8	175:8	13:10,12;26:4	80:25;83:9;96:7;
60:14;61:16;74:19;	pot (1)	prerequisites (1)	pricing (15)	130:6;140:8;158:15;
76:8,14,20;77:17;	197:1	7:13	8:15,20;42:15;	176:9;179:24;
78:12;79:4;82:14;	potential (9)	present (4)	54:10;55:23;139:8,	187:19;202:25
87:2,17,21;88:10;	14:4;30:24;31:1;	40:18;47:10,20;	15,17;141:1;162:11,	processing (1)
91:20;95:8;97:24;	75:21;82:20;133:11;	141:19	21;163:2,3,3;190:6	19:4
99:15;100:11;	145:16;167:15;	presentation (4)	primarily (5)	process-wise (1)
101:20;105:25;	178:14	68:6;83:12;142:4,	19:7;49:5;56:24;	48:4
108:1;119:3;123:16;	potentially (5)	8	141:2;167:5	procurement (2)
140:22;147:8;149:6,	30:22;42:12;	presentations (1)	primary (5)	53:11;166:12
14;150:5;152:6;	87:20;123:8;176:13	214:15	17:22;128:10,12;	produced (1)
153:7;156:17;158:2;	power (2)	presented (12)	164:15;178:17	85:7
162:6,14;163:7;	192:9;206:7	37:10;39:22;	principals (1)	productivity (4)
174:10,12;176:25;	PowerPoint (1)	41:25;42:1;53:1;	13:6	167:17,23;168:2,
177:3,11,14;187:9;	8:13	56:18,19;91:21;	principle (1)	10
200:10	PR (1)	117:17;142:11;	35:13	products (1)
pointed (2)	84:14	184:16,17	printed (2)	166:13
60:19;189:8	practical (2)	presenting (1)	110:9,14	profession (2)
pointing (1)	27:19;107:25	39:23	prior (22)	185:24,25
123:18	practice (4)	preservation (3)	30:12;38:22;	professional (1)
points (8)	27:8;65:17;78:5;	54:22;62:7,11	39:10;52:21;62:24;	22:4
60:2,3;74:7;79:20;	91:3	preserve (4)	71:15;72:9,11,13,13;	professionals (13)
88:12;101:2;200:17;	practices (1)	56:23;158:3;	78:18;83:2,12;	20:22;21:17,18,
206:3	105:14	202:12;204:25	89:15;119:21;	24;22:7,25;23:7,14,
poison (1)	pre- (3)	preserved (2)	123:11;124:20;	21,24;24:5,8;38:11
211:5	86:22;204:16,20	214:5,5	133:12;148:21;	Profit (6)
policies (1)	pre-bankruptcy (1)	preserves (1)	174:22;176:15;	95:19;195:24;
27:7	123:17	210:7	198:3	198:23;199:7,11;
policy (6)	preceded (1)	preserving (3)	privy (2)	208:19
168:16;169:19,25;	8:24	21:13;182:7,25	19:14;119:1	profitability (4)
170:15;171:9;206:8	precedent (1)	pressure (5)	pro (1)	60:17;195:24;
Polk (1)	38:15	10:25;54:6;	34:25	197:14;198:7
204:15	precedential (1) 38:23	162:20;198:9,10	probably (10)	profitable (4)
population (2) 71:10;73:4	precisely (1)	Presumably (1) 135:15	6:24;7:1;11:12; 14:8;49:17;84:17;	55:20;167:22; 199:15;209:5
populations (1)	160:14	presume (2)	124:4;166:21;171:7;	program (51)
66:15	pre-conclusion (1)	110:10;135:12	191:12	27:1,6,14,17,20,
portion (8)	134:8	pretend (1)	probative (1)	21;28:1,2,5,9,17;
38:4;42:13;46:24;	predicated (1)	7:9	66:20	33:23;50:18;58:20;
48:6;51:4;54:18;	162:8	pretty (18)	problem (8)	66:9,11,17,20;69:12;
145:21;171:8	prefer (1)	7:16;8:24;11:2,22;	10:9;38:21;60:13;	70:19,20;71:10,12,
portions (2)	48:8	12:1;13:14;14:3;	73:17;108:15;	14;80:22,24;86:22;
98:13;140:3	preference (2)	53:24;59:1;68:9;	112:24;163:5;	87:13;94:4,13;
position (10)	48:5,12	119:19;130:20;	183:10	97:20;116:3,20;
33:3,11,12;38:2;	preliminary (14)	131:18;132:1;	proce (1)	129:19;151:19;
40:24;142:2;145:1;	76:7;77:16;84:22;	140:12;178:16;	44:2	170:20;174:3,15;
205:13;206:17;	86:13,18,20;87:21;	183:21;186:6	procedural (1)	178:3,18;181:21;
212:5	88:21;89:14;95:7;	prevalence (1)	63:25	197:10;198:19;
positions (2)	106:15;107:4,19;	78:19	procedure (3)	199:6;201:15,19;
48:18;90:7	186:15	prevalent (1)	47:13,23;177:14	204:18;205:15;
positive (1)	premium (1)	78:5	procedures (3)	211:3,3,7
8:8	59:16	prevent (1)	14:1;37:14;218:9	programs (3)
possible (7)	preparation (2)	196:22	proceed (4)	119:22;128:22;
12:13;20:19;	25:5;35:22	previous (1)	44:11;48:8;68:18;	173:11
27:16;44:9;134:4;	prepared (3)	72:10	146:1	progress (1)
170:25;215:12	39:19;98:12;	previously (3)	proceeding (1)	81:22
possibly (1)	104:17	24:20;25:6;62:15	42:20	progressing (1)
175:19	preparing (1)	price (7)	proceedings (3)	19:1
post- (1)	39:17	8:22;17:6;82:19;	38:6,12;215:18	projected (1)

156:4 provided (13) 59:20,86:5,87:16,19 27:18,3418,78:6, 27:19,3418,78:6, 27:19,3418,78:6, 27:19,3418,78:6, 27:19,23:19 19:213-6 provides (2) 19:213-6 provides (2) 19:515 55:16,1734 providing (3) 28:6,1734 19:213 18:21,185:16 19:213 18:21,185:16 19:213 18:21,185:24 18:21,1	156.4	122 0 172 14 200 2	50.20.06.5.07.16.10	41 (5)	(5)
Tropictions (5)					` ,
Projections (5) 24,805.20,831.7; 145.21,48.24; ratio (1) 19.15 robustal (1) 19.15 19.1					
19:15					
Promise (1) 16:19:213:6 promise (2) 35:16:1734 promise (3) 18:69 promotes (1) 28:6 promotes (1) 28:6 proposition (1) 15:424 proposition (2) 16:121 proposition (3) 79:16 proposition (4) 27:47:817:819:013 proposition (1) 16:121 proposition (1) 23:22:33:01:16.19 proposition (1) 25:53:33:01:6.19 proposition (1) proposition (1) 25:53:33:01:18.312; 155:15:17:19 proposition (1) proposition					
provides (1) provides (2) provides (3) provides (4) provides (6) promotes (1) 28:6 provides (6) 28:6 provides (6) 28:6 provides (6) 28:6 provides (7) provides (8) 28:5 provides (8) provides (9) provides (9) provides (1) pro					
19:15 5 70 70 70 70 70 70 70					
			,		
28.6 29.5351.536.5 29.5351.536.5 29.536.6 23.145.216 23.145.216 23.145.216 23.145.216 23.145.216 23.145.216 23.145.216 24.156.216 24.15					
27.45/19.17.80.23. 27.47.81.78.02.3. 36.20.43.11. 36.20.43.11. 19.25.15.23. 46.42.01.21 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.22.3. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.23. 19.25.15.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25.15.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25. 19.25.25.35.4.13. 19.25.15.15.15. 19.25.15.15.15. 19.25.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15					
	_		123:14;152:16		
154:24 103:25:136:9; 150:19.25:15:2:3; 13:10 13:10 15:12.5 13:10 15:12.5 13:10 13:			_		
	pronunciation (1)	23;87:24,24,25,25;	Q		50:18;91:17;93:16;
The proper of the propes of					
prop (1)	proof (2)	150:19,25;152:23;	qualification (1)		received (14)
T7:1578:6136:21 proper (1) proper (2) foli:21 properties (1) properties (1) properties (1) 50:6;77:5 8:23,23;9:1,1,2; reading (3) 110:4,10;17:2:4 reading (3) 110:4,	46:4;201:21	183:5;187:5;190:13	13:10	151:25	21:19;37:9;38:17;
T7:1578:6136:21 proper (1) proper (2) 50:6;77:5 properties (1) 50:6;77:5 properties (2) 50:6;77:5 properties (3) 50:6;77:5 properties (1) 22:7;7192:6 property (7) PSA (2) 10:4;10:7;22:4 reading (3) 110:4;10:7;22:36; 181:16:194:1 reading (3) 110:4;10:17:24 reading (3) 110:4;10:17:24 reading (3) 110:4;10:17:24 reading (3) 110:4;10:10:125;11:10; 19:12:13; 181:16:194:1 reading (3) 110:4;10:10:125;11:10; 19:12:13; 181:16:194:1 reading (3) 110:4;10:17:124 reading (3) 110:4;10:17:24 reading (3) 110:4;10:10:124:10:123:24 reading (3) 110:4;10:10:125;11:10; 19:12:13; 18	prop (1)	provisions (3)	quality (1)	read (6)	64:20;74:4;126:24;
	79:16	77:15;78:6;136:21		48:20;77:3,7;	127:1,18;136:14;
16:21	proper (1)		quarter (6)		
Triangle Composition Com	properties (1)	*		0 . ,	
PSA (2) 25:23;30:1,6,19, 23;31:17,23 public (7) public (7) public (7) 19:21 102:11,13:113:13; 165:15;171:19 published (1) 11:65:15;171:19 published (1) 11:65:15;171:19 published (1) 11:61:15:31; 11:61:15:31; 12:61:7 quickly (9) 19:11,12;0:19; 13:13;31:318; 165:15;171:10 pulled (2) propose (1) pulled (2) propose (1) 143:23;151:11 purchaser (1) 62:21;22;25:17; 26:14;27;22;28:3, 14:4 20:11 13:40:8;68:14, 21:22 21:18 23:13:18:18 realize (2) 16:22 16:61:2 purchaser (1) 16:12 purchaser (1) 16:12 purchaser (1) 187:23 purch (1) 187:23 purch (1) 187:23 purch (1) 187:23 purch (1) 100:13;107:24; 100:1					
23;31:17,23					
proportion (1) 19:21 proposal (18) 25:5;33:3,4,13; 83:13;90:10;148:16, 19.20;149:14,23; 15:13;31,4;181:8,12; 19propose (1) 19:22 propose (31) 62:21:22;25:17; 19urchased (1) 19:22 propose (31) 62:21:22;25:17; 19urchased (1) 63:21 18:13:13;13:13; 166:12 19urchased (1) 18:10:205:7 11:3;13:13;13; 166:12 117:16:24 123;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16;22,25; 913:13;12,124,24; 91:20;149:142:13; 106:12 117:16:29:7,10,17; 119:6;125:3;127:24; 120:19:111:22:01:9; 119:6;125:3;127:24; 132:13;138:18; 18:10:205:7 11:3:6:19:20:1 18:10:205:7 18:10:205:7 18:10:205:7 18:10:205:7 19:21:6:223:3 18:22:11:8:23:35:1 19:21:18:10:205:7 19:21:6:22:33:5 19:21:18:19:205:7 19:21:6:22:33:5 19:21:18:10:205:7 11:21:6:22:33:5 11:21:21:22:23:3 13:19:20:17 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:21:10:22:20:19 11:2					
Proportion (1) 199:21 102:11,13;113;13; 126:17 7;197:12,13 7;197:12,13 126:17;196:6, 7;197:12,13 126:17;196:6, 7;197:12,13 126:17;196:126:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:17;196:126:126:126:126:126:126:126:126:126:12		,		` /	
19:21 19:21 10:211,13:113:13; 126:17 quickly (9) 19:11,12;20:19; 19:11					· ·
Proposal (18) 255:33:4.13; 325:53:33:4.13; 328:13:9010:148:16; 117:3 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:127:24; 119:61:25:3:128:18; 198:25:200:8 119:61:71:92:57 119:61:25:3:128:18; 198:25:200:8 119:61:71:92:57 119:61:25:3:128:18; 119:61:71:71:56:24; 119:61:27:156:24; 119:161:22:33:5, 119:161:22:33:5, 119:19:25:18; 117:22 119:161:22:25; 119:161:22:25; 119:161:22:25; 119:161:22:25; 119:161:19:20; 119:19:26:9 119:19:26:9 119:19:26:9 119:16:19:20; 119:1					
S5:53:34.4.13; S3:13:90:10;148:16, 19.20;149:14.23; published (1) 117:3 119:6;125:3;127:24; 1212:18 realize (2) 198:25:200:8 recite (1) 191:20 propose (1) 48:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 134:23;151:11 purchasers (1) 65:21 134:31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 221:15:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14:4 207:1 45:18;48:34;60:1,3, 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21:23;48:23;80:13; 88:790:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 107:18 purchasing (1) 107:18 purchase (1) 107:18 purchas					
83:13:90:10:148:16, 19,20:149:1423; 151:33,14:181:8,12; 151:33,14:181:8,12; 19:120 propose (1) 19:12:17 purchased (1) 65:21 purchased (1) 65:21 purchased (1) 65:21 purchased (1) 16:21:17:33:18; 13:44:4 purchasing (1) 16:21:17:33:18; 13:43:13; 13:18; 13:1					
19,20;149:14,23; 151:3,3,14;1818,12; 187:3,3,20 pulled (2) 148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 46:221:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14:4 207:1 45:18;48:38;13;36:16, 21:23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,2,12,4,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 21:7 28:7;31:6,20,21; 206:24 28:7;31:6,20,21; 178:17;181:25 puroposition (1) 10:6 proposition (1) 10:6 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 19:19;20:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 19:25 purotocol (2) 37:14;218:9 protocol (2) 37:14;218:9 protocol (2) 37:14;218:9 provide (9) provide (9) provide (9) provide (27) 14:2(7) 20:15;7 prode (1) 12:15;8;24:22; 14:410;91:16 protocol (2) 37:14;218:9 provide (9) provide (9) provide (9) provide (1) 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:2(27) 14:2(27) 14:2(27) 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:2(27) 14:2(3;15:13;12);21;21:3;12:12; 15:17;15:19:14; 15:1					
151:3,3,14;181:8,12; 167:23;171:10 pulled (2) 20 148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 61:22 proposed (31) 62:21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14:31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21;23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; procell (1) 197:10;18 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:10;16 197:20;9 197:10;16 197:25 297:10;16 197:20;19 197:10;16 197:25 297:10;16 197:20;19 197:10;16 197:25 297:10;16 197:25 297:10;16 197:25 197:10;16 197:1					
187:3,3.20 propose (1)					
Propose (1) 91:22 purchased (1) 65:21 purchased (1) 65:21 65:21 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 22:115:17;156:24; 22:115:17;156:24; 22:115:17;156:24; 22:115:17;156:24; 22:115:17;156:24; 22:115:17;156:24; 23:15:13 14:4 207:1 45:18;48:4;60:1.3, 30:25:165:8;192:17; 205:13 187:23 purchasing (1) 17:22 23:79:9;94:1; recognize (11) 17:21 100:13;107:24; recognize (11) 100:13;107:24; recognize (11) 17:31:8:23;54:15; 56:12;22:125:136:9; 159:18;188:14; purpose (9) 42:21,22 13:114:19; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 159:18;188:14; purpose (9) 42:21,22 13:114:19; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 100:13; 10:6 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19:206:9 pursuant (8) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19:206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3;113:16;51:16 pursue (1) 20:14,14;207:21 push (1) 20:17 range (4) 19:16;193:23; 12:113:12:2; reasonable (11) 19:70col (2) 36:19;37:2 protocol (2) 37:14;218:9 provide (9) pur (27) push (27) push (27) 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;31:5; 133:19; 10:18; 13:13;12:11; 13:13;1		-			
Purchased (1)					
Proposed (31) 65:21 11:3;40:8;68:14, 11:2;16:22;33:5, reclamation (7)					
6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21;23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16;22,25; 91:3;13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 200:24 28:7;31:6,20,21; 28:7;31:16,20,21; 28:7;31:16,20,21; 28:7;31:12:2 28:2;22 203:23 203:23:16:13:13:12:13:13:12:13:13:12:13:13:12:13:13:12:13:13:13:12:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:	propose (1)	148:23;151:11	21:7	51:23;138:18	reclaim (1)
26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;4	propose (1) 91:22	148:23;151:11 purchased (1)	21:7 quite (7)	51:23;138:18 really (49)	reclaim (1) 30:22
14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 166:12 17:22 23;79:9;94:1; recognition (2) 19:24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (1) 19:31;13:23;54:15; 109:16;114:20; 109:10;114:10;114:10;114:10;100;114:10;100;100;100;100;100;100;100;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14,	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7)
166:12	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24;	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15;
21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 187:23	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3,	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17;
R	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13
91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 107:18 raise (2) 139:1;144:19; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 28:7,31:6,20,21; raised (5) 13;179:23;181:6,15, 121:13;122:2; 203:22 raised (5) 13;179:23;181:6,15, 121:13;122:2; 203:22 raised (5) 194:15;195:1;196:1, 10:16 proposition (1) purposes (5) Ralph (1) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 45:13 2;197:3,10,11; protect (1) 19:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 raised (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 20:14;14;207:21 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 protocol (2) pushback (1) 187:19 protocol (2) 37:14;218:9 provide (9) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 202:15 foiled in the put (27) protocol (2) put (27) 19:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11; 12:13;12:13;120:11; 12:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16,	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2)
97:20;109:12; 107:18 raise (2) 139:1;144:19; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 28:7;31:6,20,21; raised (5) 13;179:23;181:6,15, 104:17;105:23; proposing (2) 35:25;66:3;85:5; 50:7;56:12;60:13; 18;184:18;187:24; 203:22 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) purposes (5) Ralph (1) 19:11:6;192:20; recognized (2) proposition (1) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 45:13 2;197:3,10,11; 18:2;116:13 portect (1) 19:19;206:9 ran (1) 202:14,14;207:21 98:5;148:24; protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 199:16 189:13;190:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) 205:17 push (1) 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 177:11 protocols (2) 184:18 22:11,19;23:23 22:1,19;23:23 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 <td< td=""><td>propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13;</td><td>148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1)</td><td>21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22</td><td>51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24;</td><td>reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17</td></td<>	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17
159:18;188:14; 206:24 28:7;31:6,20,21; 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 180:20;192:15 191:16;192:20; 18:2;116:13 19:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 18:2;116:13 19:19;206:9 27:8,21;28:7,11; 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 23:9 205:17 205:15 205:17 205:15 2	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11)
206:24 28:7;31:6,20,21; raised (5) 13;179:23;181:6,15, 121:13;122:2; 203:22 203:23 18:21:6:13 202:14,14:20:72:1 202:14 202:14 202:14 202:14 202:14 202:14 202:14 202:14 202:15 202:15 202:15 202:15 202:15 202:15 </td <td>propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24;</td> <td>148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1)</td> <td>21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R</td> <td>51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9;</td> <td>reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15;</td>	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15;
proposing (2) 35:25;66:3;85:5; 50:7;56:12;60:13; 18;184:18;187:24; 203:22 44:10;91:16 purposes (5) Ralph (1) 19:16;192:20; recognized (2) proposition (1) purposes (5) Ralph (1) 19:4:15;195:1;196:1, 18:2;116:13 protect (1) 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 45:13 2;197:3,10,11; recollection (3) 92:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 reason (11) 176:19 protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5;
44:10;91:16 178:17;181:25 180:20;192:15 191:16;192:20; recognized (2) proposition (1) purposes (5) Ralph (1) 194:15;195:1;196:1, 180:2;116:13 10:6 25:8;33:2;70:8; 45:13 2;197:3,10,11; recollection (3) protect (1) 119:19;206:9 ran (1) 202:14,14;207:21 98:5;148:24; 92:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 reason (11) 176:19 protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) randomly (1) 174:16;180:6; reconvene (1) 23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; <td< td=""><td>propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14;</td><td>148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9)</td><td>21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22</td><td>51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12,</td><td>reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23;</td></td<>	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23;
proposition (1) purposes (5) Ralph (1) 194:15;195:1;196:1, 18:2;116:13 10:6 25:8;33:2;70:8; 45:13 2;197:3,10,11; recollection (3) protect (1) 119:19;206:9 ran (1) 202:14,14;207:21 98:5;148:24; 92:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 reason (11) 176:19 protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 reconvene (1) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21;	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2;
10:6 25:8;33:2;70:8; 45:13 2;197:3,10,11; recollection (3) protect (1) 119:19;206:9 ran (1) 202:14,14;207:21 98:5;148:24; 92:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 reason (11) 176:19 protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5;	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22
protect (1) 119:19;206:9 ran (1) 202:14,14;207:21 98:5;148:24; 92:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 reason (11) 176:19 protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) randomly (1) 174:16;180:6; reconvene (1) 23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2)
92:9 pursuant (8) 162:22 reason (11) 176:19 protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) randomly (1) 174:16;180:6; reconvene (1) 23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13
protection (1) 27:8,21;28:7,11; random (1) 7:19;32:5;113:1; recommendation (1) 19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) randomly (1) 174:16;180:6; reconvene (1) 23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 push (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1,	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13
19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) randomly (1) 174:16;180:6; reconvene (1) 23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 push (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8;	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3)
19:25 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 60:20 152:7;163:11; 78:9 Protiviti (1) pursue (1) 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24;
23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 24:11;35:20;36:4; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11)	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19
23:9 205:17 199:16 189:13;190:11; 177:11 protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 put (27) 202:15 24:11;35:20;36:4; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11;	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1)
protocol (2) push (1) range (4) 196:7;200:12 record (23) 36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 184:18 rank-and-file (1) 24:11;35:20;36:4; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9
36:19;37:2 187:19 45:12;57:2; reasonable (11) 18:22;21:2;23:3; protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 184:18 rank-and-file (1) 24:11;35:20;36:4; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1)
protocols (2) pushback (1) 124:10;213:2 22:1,19;23:23; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 37:14;218:9 184:18 rank-and-file (1) 24:11;35:20;36:4; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11
37:14;218:9 provide (9) put (27) 202:15 202:15 202:15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 24:11;35:20;36:4; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9 protocol (2)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17 push (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16 range (4)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11; 196:7;200:12	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11 record (23)
provide (9) put (27) 202:15 56:24;178:22; 15;70:9;112:4; 12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9 protocol (2) 36:19;37:2	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17 push (1) 187:19	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16 range (4) 45:12;57:2;	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11; 196:7;200:12 reasonable (11)	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11 record (23) 18:22;21:2;23:3;
12:7;15:8;24:22; 14:20;34:10; rarely (1) 179:10;182:13; 113:13;120:11;	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9 protocol (2) 36:19;37:2 protocols (2)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17 push (1) 187:19 pushback (1)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16 range (4) 45:12;57:2; 124:10;213:2	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11; 196:7;200:12 reasonable (11) 22:1,19;23:23;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11 record (23) 18:22;21:2;23:3; 32:15,21;34:8,22;
	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9 protocol (2) 36:19;37:2 protocols (2) 37:14;218:9	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17 push (1) 187:19 pushback (1) 184:18	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16 range (4) 45:12;57:2; 124:10;213:2 rank-and-file (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11; 196:7;200:12 reasonable (11) 22:1,19;23:23; 24:11;35:20;36:4;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11 record (23) 18:22;21:2;23:3; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5,
20.0,57.11,00.17,	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9 protocol (2) 36:19;37:2 protocols (2) 37:14;218:9 provide (9)	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17 push (1) 187:19 pushback (1) 184:18 put (27)	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16 range (4) 45:12;57:2; 124:10;213:2 rank-and-file (1) 202:15	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11; 196:7;200:12 reasonable (11) 22:1,19;23:23; 24:11;35:20;36:4; 56:24;178:22;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11 record (23) 18:22;21:2;23:3; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, 15;70:9;112:4;
	propose (1) 91:22 proposed (31) 6:2;21:22;25:17; 26:14;27:22;28:3, 14;31:12,14,18; 33:18;34:13;36:16, 21,23;48:23;80:13; 89:7;90:16,22,25; 91:3,13,21,24,24; 97:20;109:12; 159:18;188:14; 206:24 proposing (2) 44:10;91:16 proposition (1) 10:6 protect (1) 92:9 protection (1) 19:25 Protiviti (1) 23:9 protocol (2) 36:19;37:2 protocols (2) 37:14;218:9 provide (9) 12:7;15:8;24:22;	148:23;151:11 purchased (1) 65:21 purchasers (1) 14:4 purchasing (1) 166:12 pure (1) 187:23 purely (1) 107:18 purpose (9) 28:7;31:6,20,21; 35:25;66:3;85:5; 178:17;181:25 purposes (5) 25:8;33:2;70:8; 119:19;206:9 pursuant (8) 27:8,21;28:7,11; 29:3,11;31:6;51:16 pursue (1) 205:17 push (1) 187:19 pushback (1) 184:18 put (27) 14:20;34:10;	21:7 quite (7) 11:3;40:8;68:14, 22;115:17;156:24; 207:1 quoting (1) 117:22 R raise (2) 42:21,22 raised (5) 50:7;56:12;60:13; 180:20;192:15 Ralph (1) 45:13 ran (1) 162:22 random (1) 60:20 randomly (1) 199:16 range (4) 45:12;57:2; 124:10;213:2 rank-and-file (1) 202:15 rarely (1)	51:23;138:18 really (49) 11:2;16:22;33:5, 18;35:16;42:24; 45:18;48:4;60:1,3, 19,24;66:4;76:3,5, 23;79:9;94:1; 100:13;107:24; 109:16;114:20; 124:22;125:1;136:9; 139:1;144:19; 168:15,17;170:12, 13;179:23;181:6,15, 18;184:18;187:24; 191:16;192:20; 194:15;195:1;196:1, 2;197:3,10,11; 202:14,14;207:21 reason (11) 7:19;32:5;113:1; 152:7;163:11; 174:16;180:6; 189:13;190:11; 196:7;200:12 reasonable (11) 22:1,19;23:23; 24:11;35:20;36:4; 56:24;178:22; 179:10;182:13;	reclaim (1) 30:22 reclamation (7) 14:14,16;15:15; 30:25;165:8;192:17; 210:13 recognition (2) 19:2,17 recognize (11) 17:3;18:23;54:15; 56:12;82:12;89:5; 104:17;105:23; 121:13;122:2; 203:22 recognized (2) 18:2;116:13 recollection (3) 98:5;148:24; 176:19 recommendation (1) 78:9 reconvene (1) 177:11 record (23) 18:22;21:2;23:3; 32:15,21;34:8,22; 48:19;51:5,7;68:5, 15;70:9;112:4; 113:13;120:11;

163.4 163.4 163.4 163.4 173.1 173.	Case 110: 13-33070-1110	T		1	Junuary 21, 2010
74-24-24 records (2) 64:23:1897 175:21:176:12; recoveries (1) 175:21:176:12; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:11:12:12; 176:10:11; 176:1					
74-24-24 records (2) 64:23:1897 175:21:176:12; recoveries (1) 175:21:176:12; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:10:11; 176:11:12:12; 176:10:11; 176:1	records (2)	22:18:35:11.23:	remaining (5)	reported (2)	reserve (4)
records (2)					
Trick 1989 1020021: 1989 1020021: 1989 1020021: 1989 1020021: 1972				*	
198.9.02.00.21; 198.9.02.00.21; 158.08 199.24 199.12; 171.19 198.08.08.138.138.52.09.10 199.12; 191.12 194.15; 194					
This Proposed Proposition Propositio					
Recovery (6)					
219;131:16,20; 30.19;337,8; 42:16:145:10;215:5 59:8 133:8138;5:209:10 76:17:12:515; 76:17:12:515					
138;138;138;5;209:10 42:16;145;10;125;15; redesign (1) 66:17 redirect (4) 66:17 redirect (4) 77:1333.5; regular (b) 125:16 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;122:3 154:10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;1					
Feeding Feed					
Tredesign (1)					
rediesign (1) redirect (4) 47:7;133:3.5; rediline (1) 145:20 125:16 regular (2) 125:16 regular (3) 34:13 10:13;140:8 reimbursement (1) 20:24 reject (4) 20:28 25:22:6:10,13,16 reduce (7) 21:21:53:16: 139:20;167:24; 170:18;179:7,7 reduced (2) 157:56:5 reduced (3) 21:23:33:17,21 reduced (1) 39:16 reduction (3) 21:23:32:31,7,21 regular (1) 39:16 reduction (3) 21:23:32:31,7,21 regular (1) 167:13;140:8; refer (1) 177:10 resewal (6) 25:12,27:5,77:25 refer (3) 82:22,7:5,77:25 refer (3) 82:22,7:5,77:25 refer (3) 82:22,7:5,77:25 refer (3) 82:22,7:5,77:25 refer (6) 178:111:61;73:2187:1 158:20 refer (1) 158:20 refer (2) refer (3) 81:11:188:12; 167:11 178:12 158:20 refer (3) 81:11:188:12; 167:11 178:12 188:13 188:14; 188:11:19:16; 188:13 199:14 181:15:15 188:13 199:14 181:15:15 188:13 199:14 181:15 181:13 199:14 181:15 181:13 199:14 181:15 181:13 199:14 181:15 181:13 199:14 181:15 190:13 199:14 181:15 190:13 190:14 181:15 190:14 181:15:15 181:14 181:15 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:15 181:15 181:15 181:14 181:15 181:15 181:15 181:14 181:15					
redirect (4) 477:133:3.5; 145:20 125:16 redine (1) 34:13 104:13;140:8 redine (1) 34:19 20:24 redone (1) 20:28 reduce (7) 21:21:53:16; 139:20:167:24; 170:18:179:7, reduced (2) 157:76:55 177:10 157:76:55 177:10 177:13:19:17 reference (6) 14:18:86:988:14; 139:16 21:23:23:17:21 reference (6) 14:18:86:988:14; 135:11:148:12; 158:22 reference (6) 14:18:86:988:13; 14:18:12; 158:22 reference (7) 16:18:19:27 reference (8) 14:18:12; 158:22 reference (9) 14:18:12; 158:22 reference (1) 158:20 reference (1) 158:20 reference (2) 16:28:29:19:0:16, reduce (3) 170:18:11:148:12; 16:16:23:23:23:17:1 reference (6) 14:18:86:988:14; 15:11:148:12; 15:88:21 15:11:148:12; 16:16:23:23:23:1; 16:18:13:23:12:19:31:5; 170:22 reference (6) 14:18:86:988:14; 18:11:148:12; 15:18:12 15:18:12 15:18:13 reference (1) 16:25:20:6 16:24:28:23:23:1; 16:16:23:23:23:1; 170:18:11:148:12; 16:16:25:12:28:13; 18:13:318:13:318; 18:13:318:13:318; 18:13:318:318; 18:13:318:318; 18:13:318:318; 18:13:10:17; 18:10:10:10:17; 18:12:15:0:10:17; 18:12:15:0:10:10:17; 18:12:15:0:10:10:17; 18:12:15:10:10:10:17; 18:12:15:10:10:10:17; 18:12:15:10:10:10:17; 18:12:15:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:					` ′
redirect (4)		,			
47:7;133:3,5; regular (1) reminded (1) 71:3 represented (3) 38:3,4:175:10 resource (2) 104:13;140:8 50:3 represented (3) 38:3,4:175:10 resource (2) 195:25:206:6 reputation (1) 20:24 20:23 request (4) 20:24 25:22:26:10,13;16 reduce (7) 21:21:53:16; 26:11:48:22 rejected (2) reloin (1) 20:14 27:1,14,20;28:9, 7:60:14 27:1,14,20;28:9, 7:60:14 27:1,14,20;28:9, 7:60:14 27:1,14,20;28:9, 7:60:14 27:1,14,20;28:9, 7:60:14 27:1,14,20;28:9, 7:60:16 7:70:18;179:7, reduced (2) relate (4) 20:24 requested (8) 23:16,22:25:12; 23:24;28:14;115; 33:16; reducing (1) relate (4) 26:2,897;190:16, reducing (1) relate (4) 25:7,27:4;29:4; 7:48:10;14:12; reguested (3) 17 relate (5) regular (1) 17:62 23:24;28:13;31:8, 18:13; 18:14; referencing (1) 158:20 referred (4) 167:11 referencing (5) 8:12;10:16; 11:61:73:2;187:1 releative (1) releative (1) releative (1) releative (1) releative (1) regularization (1) 13:2;10:16; 11:61:73:2;187:1 releative (2) 10:25;207:6 167:19 10:25;207:6 10:25;207:6 10:25;207:6 10:25;207:6 10:25;207:6 10:25;207:6 10					
redline (1) 34:13 redlined (3) 34:19 redoue (7) 20:28 redoue (7) 21:21;53:16; reduce (7) 21:21;53:16; reduce (8) 21:21;53:16; reduce (9) 21:21;53:16; reduce (1) 39:20:167:24; 170:18;179:7,7 reduced (2) 157;56:5 reflect (3) 39:16 reducing (1) 177:10 respected (2) 21:23:23:17,21 reclated (5) 21:23:23:17,21 reclated (5) 25:7;27;4;29:4; referer (3) 8:22:275:377:25 reference (6) 14:18:86:9.88:14; 155:21 referencing (1) 158:20 referencing (1) 158:20 reference (4) 45:10:66:8;83:3; 89:11 referencing (1) 158:20 reference (4) 45:10:66:8;83:3; 89:11 referencing (1) 158:15 reflect (1) 22:12:14:10:25:5; 16:12:4 repay (1) 16:11 resource (2) 31:4;88:12,10 represents (3) 31:4;88:12,10 represents (3) 31:4;88:12,10 represents (3) 31:4;88:12,10 represents (3) 31:4;88:12,10 represents (1) 7:61:41:19:7; 23:24;28:14:11:5; 7:61:41:19:7; renewd (1) 23:4:4:02:28;14:12:5; reference (2) 25:7;77;4;29:4; reorganization (15) 22:21;24:10:25:5; reference (6) 14:18:86:9.88:14; 16:7:8 16:7:8 179:22:12:14:10:25:5; reference (6) 14:18:86:9.88:14; 16:7:9 16:7:8 18:22:22:6:10,13,16 remewd (1) 28:4:4 28:13:31:8,13:3 18:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:		58:21	154:10;162:23		
redline (1)		regular (1)	reminded (1)	71:3	145:12;178:14
redlined (1) 34:19 redone (1) 20:28 redone (2) 21:21;53:16; 139:20;167:24; 170:18;179:7,7 reduced (2) 157:565 reducing (1) 26:24 reducing (2) 21:23;33:17,21 reducid (3) 21:23;23:17,21 reducid (6) 25:27;27:4;29:4; 97:2 reference (6) 14:18;869;88:14; 135:11;148:12; reference (1) 158:22 reference (6) 14:18;869;88:14; 155:11;166:8;83:3; 89:11 reference (1) 27:21 23:24;28:14;112:5 related (5) 187:15 111:28:13 related (9) 167:11 167:11 213:1 22:14 155:11;166:8;83:3; 89:11 reference (1) 27:21 23:24;28:14;112:5 reference (2) 167:11 167:11 167:11 167:11 167:11 167:12 167:12 170:22 referencing (1) 158:22 reference (2) 176:21 176:22 reference (3) 187:15 111:28:13 relates (1) 10:25;207:6 relation (1) 178:11;204:22; referencing (1) 158:20 reference (4) 24:1 176:22 reference (5) 111:18:15 111:4:17:19:23 111:6:173:2;187:1 refers (1) 70:22 reference (4) 24:1 76:23;77:10;142:16; 111:6:173:2;187:1 reference (6) 12:18:18:23; reference (7) 10:25;207:6 relation (1) 11:25;207:6 relecting (3) 34:14;148:6;149:7 reflecting (4) 14:20;24:24:24:24:21:24:24:22:24:24:24:22:24:24:24:22:24:24:	145:20	125:16	59:12	represented (3)	resolving (1)
redined (1)	redline (1)	regularly (2)	remiss (1)	38:3,4;175:10	165:11
redined (1)	34:13	104:13;140:8	50:3	represents (3)	resource (2)
redone (1) 202:8 reduce (7) 21:21;53:16; 26:1184:22 170:118;179:7,7 reduced (2) 157:7,56:5 177:10 relate (4) 25:28;77:1,142:028:9, 177:10 relate (4) 25:28;77:1,142:028:9, 177:10 relate (4) 27:1,14,20;28:9, 177:10 relate (4) 28:4 28:4 28:13:118:13; 39:16 reduction (3) 21:23;23:17,21 reneral (5) 27:21 reneral (6) 28:4;18:10;18:19:7; reducing (1) 28:4 27:21 27:21 23:24;28:14;31:15; 33:31,2,19:35:19; 22:44;69:91:16; 22:24;23:5,13,21; renewal (6) 23:16;22;25:12; 22:24;23:5,13,21; requested (8) 23:16;22;25:12; 23:18;31:18:13; 16:14 22:24;23:5,13,21; renewal (1) 28:4 27:1,14,20;28:9, 10:17 10:17 10:17 renewed (1) 28:4 27:1 27:1,14,20;28:9, 10:17 17:10 renewed (1) 28:4 27:1,14,20;28:9, 10:17 10:17 requested (8) 23:16;22;25:12; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:43:11;19:7; 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:44;19:7; 22:24;13:13:18; 33:31:19;35:19;66:12; 115:3:33:10; 116:14 22:21;44:02;55:13; 19:14 14:17;15:1,15,23, 22:12;45:25;13;21; 176:12 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:12;45:43:11;5; 37:10;86:12;122:1; 186:14 22:24;13:11;5; 22:14;10:25; 176:12** 22:44;16:9;19:16; 22:12;123:16; 22:22;24:13:13:13; 115:3:31:10; 116:16;27:12;30:6; 12:23:29:145:11; 155:20:19:6:23:20:12; 176:20:20:22;20:32:20:22; 22:22:23:22:23:22; 22:22:23:					
redone (1) 202:8 reduce (7) 21:21;53:16; relgected (2) 21:21;53:16; 139:20;167:24; 170:18;179:7,7 reduced (2) 15:7;56:5 reducing (1) 39:16 29:28 27:1,14,20;28:9, 177:10 renewed (1) 27:1,14,20;28:9, 177:10 renewed (1) 27:1,14,20;28:9, 177:10 renewed (1) 27:1,14,20;28:9, 186:14 requested (8) 28:4 28:13;31:8,13; 185:14;21; 176:2 reducing (1) 25:27;74;29:4; 25:21;24:10;25:5; refere (3) 121:23;23:17,21 relates (1) 25:7;27:4;29:4; 15:7;16:8 128:22;75;77:25 reference (6) 14:18:86:9:88:14; 14:18:86:9:88:14; 14:18:86:9:88:14; 15:18:22 referred (4) 45:10;66:8;83:3; 89:11 referred (4) 45:10;66:8;83:3; 89:11 referred (5) 101:25:207:6 11:28:13 relevant (9) 11:26;10:16; 117:10 123:1 120:23 relevant (9) 21:21;55:5;69:68:13; relevant (9) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:22,14:112:5 reflect (6) 21:23;23:17;11 22:21;24:10:16; 176:21 28:4 28:4 28:13:31:8.13; 48:16:86:12;82:21; 186:14 requested (8) 22:14;18:12:1 176:2 28:4 28:13:31:8.13; 48:16;86:12;82:21; 186:14 requested (8) 22:16;22;512; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:20;145:15 require (4) 28:4 28:4 28:4 28:13:31:8.13; 48:16;86:12;82:21; 186:14 requested (8) 22:13(6,22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:16;22;25:12; 23:20;145:11 15:3;33:30; 178:11;204:22; 200:23;25 require (4) 23:18;18:13; 18:13:33:18; 18:14;18:12; 19:16 10:25:207:1 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:207:6 10:25:20					
202:8 reduce (7) 25:22;26:10,13,16 reduce (7) 20:23 renew (10) requet (10) 7:6;14:1;19:7; 7:6;14:1;19:7; 28:4;16:86:12 respect (24) 139:20:167:24; 170:181;179:7, reduced (2) rejections (1) 27:11,14,20;28:9, 37:10;86:12;182:21; 22;43;513;11; 24:16;9;19:16; 22;24;23;513;21; 24:16;9;19:16; 22;24;23;513;21; 24:16;9;19:16; 22;24;23;51;32;12; 24:16;9;19:16; 22;24;25;13;21; 24:16;9;19:16; 22;24;25;13;21; 22:13;13;18;13; 33:10; 33:3;12;19;35;19; 33:3;12;19;35; 19; 33:3;12;19;35; 19; 33:3;12;19;35; 19; 33:3;12;19;35; 19; 33:3;12;19;35; 19; 33:3;12;19;35; 19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;12;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 31:19;35;19; 33:3;13;33;10; 33:19;35;19; 33:13;33;10;					
reduce (7) 21:21:53:16; 26:1184:22 27:21 139:20;167:24; rejections (1) 26:1184:22 27:21 23:16;22;25:12; 36:21;45:41;4:5;5,13 21:23:31:18;13 21:23:31:18;13 21:23:31:19;31:15 21:24 21:23:31:18;13 21:23:31:19;31:15 21:24 21:23:31:18;13 21:23:31:19;31:15 21:24 21:23:31:18;13 21:23:31:19;31:15 21:24:10:25:17 27:21 27:21 28:4 28:13:31:8,13; 21:23:31:19;31:19;19:10 23:10:12:12:20:23:20:16 27:1.14,20;28:9, 116:16:27:12:30:6; 16:27:12:30:6; 16:27:12:30:6; 16:27:12:30:6; 16:27:12:30:6; 16:27:12:30:6; 16:24:21:11:15:21 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:10:25:5; 27:21:24:22:21:21:31:10:31 27:21:24:22:21:31:21:21 27:21:24:22:21:23:21:21:21 27:21:24:10:25:15 27:21:24:22:21:21:31:20:21 23:10:11:1 21:21 22:10:31:16:1 25:22:21:23:20:22:22:22:22:22:22:22:23:20:22:2					
21:21:53:16; 26:1;184:22 27:21 23:24:28:14;31:15; 24:17:15:1,15;23, 24:16:9;19:16; 17:18;179:77 reduced (2) 15:7;56:5 177:10 relate (4) 26:2;89:7;190:16, 176:2 rengaged (1) 26:2;89:7;190:16, 176:2 refer (3) 22:2;27:5;77:25 refer (6) 14:18;86:9;88:14; 15:15; 16:78 relative (1) 158:22 referencing (1) 158:20 referred (4) 45:10;66:8;83:3; 89:11 relative (2) 10:25;207:6 relevance (2) 75:11;28:13 relevant (9) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;10:16; 70:22 refers (1) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;10:16; 70:22 11:6:173;2187:1 refers (1) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;10:16; 70:22 11:6:16;27:12;31:1; 76:23;77:10;142:16; 70:22 reflect (6) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;10:16; 70:22 reflect (6) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;110:16; 70:22 reflect (6) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;10:16; 76:23;77:10;142:16;					1
Table Tabl					
Trol.18;179:7,7 Trol.18;179:7,7 Trol.18;179:7,7 Trol.19 Trol.19 Trol.19;179:65:5 Trol.10 Trol.19 Trol.29 Trol.19 Trol.29 T					
reduced (2) rejoin (1) 10,17 requested (8) 33:3,12,19:35:19; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:41:425; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:4;14:25; 36:21:45:41:14:25; 36:21:45:41:14:25; 36:21:45:41:14:25; 36:21:45:41:14:25; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;15 36:21:45:45;14:125; 36:21:45:45;15 36:21:45:45;15 7equirestol (1) 37:11:20:23 37:11:20:23 37:11:20:23 37:11:20:23 37:11:21:20:23 37:11:11:11 37:15:11:14 37:15:11:14 37:15:11:14 37:15:11:14 37:15:11 37:11:14:12:19:20:12:31:16 37:11:14:12:19:20:12:31:16 37:11:14:12:19:20:12:31:16 37:11:14:12:19:20:12:31:16 37:11:14:11:12 37:11:14:12:19:20:12:31:16 37:11:14:11:12 37:11:14:12:19:14 37:11:14:14:12 37:11:14:14:12 37:11:14:14:12 37:11					
Trick					
reducing (1) 39:16 reduction (3) 21:23;23:17,21 reenaged (1) 25:7;27;4;29:4; 7:14;8:10;14:12; referer (3) 8:22;27:5;77:25 referec (6) 14:18;86:9;88:14; 135:11;148:12; 158:20 referencing (1) 158:20 referred (4) 45:10;66:8;83:3; 89:11 referred (4) 45:10;66:8;83:3; 89:11 referring (5) 8:12;110:16; 70:22 referes (1) 70:22 referes (1) 70:22 reflect (6) 111:6;173:2;187:1 reflect (9) 111:6;173:2;187:1 reflect (1) 70:22 reflect (4) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 70:22 reflect (4) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;14;112:5 reflect (6) 158:13 relevance (2) 159:14 159:14 159:14 159:15 16:24;20:22:12 recorganization (15) 75:11;28:13 relevance (2) responsibilities (1) 10:25;207:6 responsibilities (1) 10:25;207:6 responsibilities (1) 10:25;207:6 responsibility (1) 210:8 responsibility (1) 22:10;31:16; responsibility (1) 22:10;31:16; repaired (3) 30:8;31:3;75:11; reflect (4) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:21;4;112:5 reflected (4) 23:20;142:15 reguire (4) require (4) required (5) required (5) requirement (2) 167:11 reorganizations (1) regranizations (1) reorganizations (1) reorganizations (1) reorganizations (1) repraise (1) responsibilities (1) 10:125;207:6 reflect (1) responsibilities (1) 10:125;207:6 responsibilities (1) 10:125;207:6 responsibilities (1) 10:125;207:6 responsibilities (1) 12:0:3 responsibilities (1) 12:0:3 responsibilities (1) 12:6 responsibilities (1) 12:6 responsibilities (1) 12:6 responsibility (1) 12:23:11;11:12:2 repeating (1) research (26) responsibilities (1) resorganizations (1) requirement (2) requirement (8) responsibilities (1) responsibilities (1) responsibilities (1) responsibilities (1)					
39:16					
reduction (3) 17 reduction (5) reduction (5) reduction (5) reduction (5) recoganization (15) 23:20;145:15 respectfully (7) respectfully (7) 23:20;145:15 respectfully (7) respectfully (7) 23:24;37:10; 23:22;145:15 respectfully (7) respectfully (7) 23:24;37:10;					
related (5)					
reengaged (1) 25:7;27:4;29:4; 7:14;8:10;14:12; require (4) 23:24;37:10; 97:2 50:11;17:11 22:21;24:10;25:5; 16:16;27:12;30:6; 12:24;62:19;200:22; refer (3) relates (1) 55:12;61:8;105:17; 197:6 required (5) 61:24;62:19;200:22; reference (6) relation (1) 133:21;193:15; 60:23;101:1; 120:23 respectively (1) 14:18;869;88:14; 187:15 212:14 155:20;196:2;201:2 requirement (5) respectively (1) 158:20 167:11 213:1 23:2;32:7 74:7;62:3,23;210:17 referencing (1) relatively (2) 167:19 14:23;27:8,24; responsibilities (1) 158:20 101:25;207:6 167:19 16:16;93:16; 86:14;189:5 responsibilities (1) 89:11 relevance (2) 21:08 repair 86:14;189:5 requirement (2) responsibility (1) 8:12;110:16; relevant (9) 199:14 45:17;17:22; responsibility (1) refers (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; 76:23;77:10;142:16; 200:18 45:6 45:7					
97:2 refer (3) 50:11;171:11 relates (1) 22:21;24:10;25:5; 55:12;61:8;105:17; 197:6 16:16;27:12;30:6; 197:6 61:24;62:19;200:22; 203:6 61:24;62:19;200:22; 203:6 relates (1) 61:24;62:19;200:22; 203:6 required (5) required (5) required (5) respectively (1) respectively (1) 120:23 120:24 120:23 120:23 120:23 120:23 120:24:17:17:23:06:31 120:23:31:16:18				5	
refer (3) relates (1) 55:12;61:8;105:17; 197:6 202:22;203:6 reference (6) relation (1) 128:23;129:6,8; required (5) respectively (1) 14:18;86:9;88:14; 187:15 212:14 155:20;196:2;201:2 respectively (1) 135:11;148:12; relative (1) reorganizations (1) requirement (2) 18:14;21:19,20; 158:20 101:25;207:6 relatively (2) reorganize (1) 14:23:27:8,24; responsibilities (1) 53:9 responsibilities (1) 53:9 responsibilities (1) 12:6 responsibilities (1) 12:6 responsibility (1) 12:6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
8:22;27:5;77:25 167:8 128:23;129:6,8; 133:21;193:15; required (5) respectively (1) 120:23 reference (6) relation (1) 133:21;193:15; 60:23;101:1; 120:23 response (7) 14:18;86:9;88:14; 167:11 213:1 reorganizations (1) 155:20;196:2;201:2 response (7) 158:20 167:11 213:1 reorganize (1) requirement (2) 18:14;21:19,20; referred (4) release (1) reorganize (3) 31:15;65:19,666;3; 86:14;189:5 responsibilities (1) referring (5) 75:1;128:13 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 12:26 8:12;110:16; relevant (9) 199:14 45:17;117:22; 212:24,25 responsible (4) refers (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; repast (1) 199:14 45:10;143:25 12:21;145:20; reflect (6) 185:13 releatedly (1) 91:20 41:22;181:22 20:16 restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 relief (14) 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; 78:112;201:23; restore (1) 74:22;76:16;77:2; 78:10					
reference (6) 14:18:86:9;88:14; relation (1) 133:21;193:15; 60:23;101:1; 120:23 response (7) 135:11;148:12; relative (1) reorganizations (1) requirement (2) 28:2;32:7 47:7;62:3,23;210:17 referred (4) relatively (2) reorganize (1) 167:19 requirements (8) response (7) 45:10;66:8;83:3; release (1) reorganized (3) 31:15;65:19;66:3; responsibilities (1) 89:11 relevance (2) 210:8 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 12:6 8:12;110:16; relevant (9) 30:8;31:3;75:11; repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 11:6;173:2;187:1 refers (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; 200:18 45:17;117:22; rest (5) 12:2;3;55:9;68:13; relief (14) 12:9;15:23,24; repeatedly (1) repeatedly (2) 171:12;201:23; 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 31:8,13;33:18; 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 15:1:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 62:20;204:21 rephrase (5) 10:19;17.20;102:2,5; 10:19;17.20;102:2,5; 15:1:14					
14:18;86:9;88:14; 187:15 212:14 reorganizations (1) 155:20;196:2;201:2 response (7) 158:22 167:11 213:1 28:2;32:7 requirement (2) 47:7;62:3,23;210:17 referencing (1) relatively (2) reorganize (1) 167:19 14:23:27:8,24; 53:9 referred (4) release (1) reorganized (3) 31:15;65:19;66:3; responsibility (1) 45:10;66:8;83:3; 24:1 105:6;193:16; 86:14;189:5 responsibility (1) 89:11 relevance (2) 210:8 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; responsibility (1) 8:12;110:16; relevant (9) 199:14 45:17;117:22; 212:24,25 responsible (4) refers (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; repate (1) 199:14 45:17;117:22; 212:24,25 rest (5) reflect (6) 157:18;158:23; repate (1) 199:14 45:17;117:22; 12:21;145:20; rest (5) 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repating (1) research (26) restore (1) 30:2 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 31:8,13;33:18; 79:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:25; 30:2					
relative (1)					
158:22					
referencing (1) relatively (2) reorganize (1) requirements (8) responsibilities (1) 158:20 101:25;207:6 167:19 14:23;27:8,24; 53:9 referred (4) release (1) 24:1 105:6;193:16; 86:14;189:5 responsibility (1) 89:11 relevance (2) 210:8 requires (10) responsible (4) referring (5) 75:1;128:13 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 8:12;110:16; relevant (9) 199:14 45:17;117:22; 160:19;179:23; 11:6;173:2;187:1 76:23;77:10;142:16; 200:18 45.6 12:21;48:5;208:4, reflect (6) 185:13 repeat (1) requisite (2) 17:1:12;201:23; reflect (6) 185:13 relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; restore (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5; 30:25 reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19;					
158:20 101:25;207:6 167:19 14:23;27:8,24; 53:9 referred (4) release (1) 105:6;193:16; 31:15;65:19;66:3; responsibility (1) 89:11 relevance (2) 21:0:8 requires (10) responsible (4) referring (5) 75:1;128:13 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 8:12;110:16; 30:8;31:3;75:11; repay (1) 45:17;117:22; 160:19;179:23; 111:6;173:2;187:1 76:23;77:10;142:16; 200:18 45:17;117:22; rest (5) 70:22 157:18;158:23; repeatedly (1) requisite (2) 12:21;145:20; reflect (6) 185:13 91:20 research (26) 12:21;145:20; 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 reflected (4) 16:16;25:12;28:13; 19:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 102:25; 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 30:25 reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 106:1;129:25; restructure (3) 162:15;	A 4 (4)	/->		. (0)	
referred (4) release (1) reorganized (3) 31:15;65:19;66:3; responsibility (1) 45:10;666;8;83:3; 24:1 105:6;193:16; 86:14;189:5 responsible (4) referring (5) 75:1;128:13 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 8:12;110:16; 30:8;31:3;75:11; repay (1) 19:14 45:17;117:22; 212:24,25 refers (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; 200:18 45:6 12:21;145:20; refect (6) 157:18;158:23; repatedly (1) 45:6 12:21;145:20; reflect (6) 185:13 relief (14) repating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 16:16;25:12;28:13; 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 restore (1) 75:114 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12:21,24;103:18,24; restored (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 repating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 16:16;25:12;28:13; 161:24 12:21;24;103:18,24; restore (1) 75:1;18 34:16;42:10;56:13;					
45:10;66:8;83:3; 89:11 referring (5) 8:12;110:16; 111:6;173:2;187:1 refers (1) 70:22 reflect (6) 210:8 repeat (1) 22:10;31:16; 199:14 76:23;77:10;142:16; 185:13 78:2,14;112:5 reflected (4) 22:13;55:9;68:13; 78:2,14;112:5 reflected (4) 23:18;78:7,18; 151:14 reflecting (3) 34:14;148:6;149:7 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 repox (1) 105:6;193:16; 210:8 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 199:14 45:17;117:22; 119:14;184:5;208:4, 45.6 requires (10) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 212:24,25 rest (5) 12:21;145:20; 171:12;201:23; 202:16 repeatedly (1) 91:20 repeating (1) 196:13 repeatedly (1) 19:14;184:5;208:4, 196:13 19:14;184:5;208:4, 196:12 19:14;184:5;208:4, 196:12 19:14;184:5;208:4, 196:13 199:14 1		*			
89:11 relevance (2) 75:1;128:13 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 8:12;110:16; relevant (9) 199:14 45:17;117:22; 212:24,25 111:6;173:2;187:1 30:8;31:3;75:11; repat (1) 119:14;184:5;208:4, rest (5) 70:22 157:18;158:23; relect (6) 185:13 repat (1) requires (10) 12:21;145:20; 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; repating (1) 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 reflected (4) 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restore (1) 31:8,78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:2 reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; restructure (3) reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 10:14;89:23; restructuring (8) 10:14;89:23; 138:6,13;144:23;					•
referring (5) 75:1;128:13 repay (1) 22:10;31:16; 160:19;179:23; 8:12;110:16; 111:6;173:2;187:1 30:8;31:3;75:11; repeat (1) 119:14;184:5;208:4, rest (5) 70:22 157:18;158:23; repeatedly (1) requisite (2) 17:12;201:23; 76:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; 196:13 rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restore (1) 75:1;14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:2 76:20;77:10;142:16; 196:13 repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 16:16;25:12;28:13; 196:13 rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restore (1) 75:1:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12;21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) 76:20;20;204:21 rely (3) 41:20;49:17;67:6; 106:1;129:25; restructuring (8) 75:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
8:12;110:16; 111:6;173:2;187:1 refers (1) 70:22 157:18;158:23; reflect (6) 21:23;55:9;68:13; 78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; reflected (4) 23:18;78:7,18; 151:14 16:16;25:12;28:13; 16:164;2:10;56:13; reflecting (3) 34:14;148:6;149:7 reflects (1) 91:20 repating (1) 199:14 repeat (1) 200:18 repeatedly (1) 91:20 repeating (1) 196:13 research (26) 74:22;76:16;77:2; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 102:21;145:20; 171:12;201:23; 102:21;145:20; 119:14;184:5;208:4, 4,5,6 12:21;145:20; 171:12;201:23; 102:21;145:20; 102:14;18:22 102:16 10:12;21;145:20; 10:12:2				22:10:31:16:	
111:6;173:2;187:1 30:8;31:3;75:11; repeat (1) 119:14;184:5;208:4, rest (5) 70:22 157:18;158:23; repeatedly (1) requisite (2) 171:12;201:23; reflect (6) 185:13 relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; 196:13 rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restore (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:2 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
refers (1) 76:23;77:10;142:16; 200:18 4,5,6 12:21;145:20; 70:22 157:18;158:23; repeatedly (1) requisite (2) 171:12;201:23; reflect (6) 185:13 91:20 41:22;181:22 202:16 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restored (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:25 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;		` '			
70:22 157:18;158:23; repeatedly (1) requisite (2) 171:12;201:23; reflect (6) 185:13 91:20 41:22;181:22 202:16 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restored (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:25 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;	, ,			- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	
reflect (6) 185:13 91:20 41:22;181:22 202:16 21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 reflected (4) 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restored (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:25 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
21:23;55:9;68:13; relief (14) repeating (1) research (26) restore (1) 78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 reflected (4) 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restored (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:25 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
78:2,14;112:5 12:9;15:23,24; 196:13 74:22;76:16;77:2; 30:2 reflected (4) 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restored (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:2 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
reflected (4) 16:16;25:12;28:13; rephrase (5) 88:12;100:8,23; restored (1) 23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:25 151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 restructuring (8) 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
23:18;78:7,18; 31:8,13;33:18; 91:25;92:1,11,12; 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 30:25 reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 101:9,17,20;102:2,5, 12;21,24;103:18,24; 12,21,24;103:18,24; 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 restructuring (8) 106:1;129:25; 132:24,25;134:1,10 resent (1) 10:14;89:23; 138:6,13;144:23;					
151:14 34:16;42:10;56:13; 161:24 12,21,24;103:18,24; restructure (3) reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 34:14;148:6;149:7 rely (3) 41:20;49:17;67:6; 106:1;129:25; restructuring (8) reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 10:14;89:23; 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
reflecting (3) 62:20;204:21 reply (4) 104:19;105:1,13,19; 144:20;152:15,19 34:14;148:6;149:7 rely (3) 41:20;49:17;67:6; 106:1;129:25; restructuring (8) reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 10:14;89:23; remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
34:14;148:6;149:7 rely (3) 41:20;49:17;67:6; 106:1;129:25; restructuring (8) reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 10:14;89:23; 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
reflects (1) 9:20;128:1,6 196:21 132:24,25;134:1,10 10:14;89:23; 55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
55:15 remain (3) report (9) resent (1) 138:6,13;144:23;					
.,,,					
	(-/	,,	, ,,,,		

				1
restructurings (1)	reviewed (5)	140:17;143:8;144:4;	Romachek (1)	14,15;204:2,2,3
138:8	24:7;34:7;148:14;	145:14,23;146:1,12,	212:24	safe (2)
result (9)	149:23;187:3	25;147:13,16;148:3,	Romanchek (47)	34:9;78:16
12:14;13:19;	revised (8)	7,16;149:6,9,24,25;	41:18;42:2;46:15;	safety (9)
15:22;23:16;48:25;	41:21;121:6,15;	150:2,3,9,12,16,20,	51:8;65:2,4,9,11;	52:4,6;55:5,8;
49:4;108:12;188:12;	123:2;125:4;127:1;	22;151:9;152:1,14;	67:2;69:9,19;70:18;	58:11;119:8;173:2,
201:18	159:17;215:7	153:2,3,8,13,20,24;	72:16,24;73:14;	13;189:4
resulted (1)	reward (1)	154:1,2,5,20;155:1,	74:12;80:4;82:1;	salaried (1)
188:12	164:21	8,23,24;156:5,16;	83:25;85:6;92:13;	173:16
resulting (1)	right (335)	157:14;158:1;159:6,	94:9;109:7;111:10;	salaries (1)
25:8	11:16;18:7,16;	10,14,20,23;160:6,	112:2,12;113:13,24;	
results (2)	20:10;22:8,14;24:3,	14;161:2;162:17;	114:16;121:9;	salary (15) 41:22:46:10;
134:7;189:24 RESUMED (1)	6;25:19;26:17; 28:18;30:21;32:4,11,	163:16;164:22; 165:9;166:10,22;	127:24;133:7;136:1; 146:21;154:14;	91:18;93:13,16,24;
69:7	16;35:2,5,6;36:2,7,	168:15;169:3,5,9;	180:16;185:2,8;	122:7,17,25;125:11,
retain (8)	11;37:11,15;40:8;	171:15;172:1,18;	186:13;188:14,19;	16,25;159:23,25;
14:13;17:19;	41:2;47:21,22,25;	174:10;175:12;	189:9;190:11;196:3;	
32:25;33:15;36:2;	48:1,21;51:13,14;	176:22,25;177:3,15,	207:1;212:23;	sale (9)
183:12;185:21;	56:1;62:25;63:6,7,	22,24;180:6;182:17;	218:15	14:1;135:7;
218:2	22;64:10,15,16;65:4,	183:10,14;187:2;	Romanchek's (4)	136:19;152:16,16,
retained (5)	15;67:15,16,22;	194:16;195:12;	70:14;74:3;	21,24;160:8;203:12
20:22;68:21;	68:21;69:2,20;70:16,	200:14;203:23;	179:11;187:24	sales (2)
138:11;141:22;	22,23,24;71:18,24;	204:6;205:23;	room (8)	151:5;152:5
154:18	72:2,16,20;73:16,16,	207:17;208:23,24;	18:25;82:16;	salesman (1)
retaining (1)	23;74:1,9,18;75:14,	210:20,20;211:14;	156:25;174:25;	189:16
15:2	16,22;76:22;77:2;	212:10;214:19;	175:14;184:10;	same (30)
retention (13)	79:1;81:8,18,23;	215:13,15	192:6;203:10	8:19;11:22;14:23;
24:19,21,25;	82:5;83:14,15;84:8,	right-hand (2)	Root (1)	16:1;28:12;40:11;
25:13;27:10;34:2,	12;85:12,13;86:10,	103:10;134:14	29:10	49:6;51:11;52:8;
25;35:4;86:22;	16,17,18;87:1,4,8,9,	rights (4)	rooting (2)	59:11;97:1,24;
183:8,13;211:3,5	11,14,24;88:15;89:2,	21:13,13;29:10;	214:24,24	107:4;108:12;
retentive (6)	6,10,11,20,21,21,23,	105:5	Rothschild (2)	122:18,20;124:15,
56:24;178:18,21;	25;90:2,4,8,9,14;	ring (1)	14:3;21:5	17;172:10;187:12;
183:5,7;211:8	91:2,8,11,18;92:11,	213:23	rough (3)	194:22,22;196:12,
retired (4)	14,17,19,21,25;93:3,	ringing (1)	29:22;30:1,14	25;197:21;200:4,4;
36:17;37:13;	4,10,14,17,18,20,24,	203:13	roughly (6)	207:6,14;209:8
61:10;218:8	25;94:6;95:4,17,20;	rise (6)	9:11,17;125:18;	sample (1)
retiree (9)	96:8,11;97:12,15,21;	52:1;67:17,20;	138:11;158:10; 165:19	108:2
36:19;49:3,6;	98:4,5,14,24;99:5,	177:16,20;215:16	row (1)	Sampson (1) 45:13
50:22,22;53:1;	10,11,14,23,24; 100:1,2,7,19;101:15,	risen (1) 92:9	115:10	43.13 Sandler (1)
145:15;178:10; 203:7	19;102:2,3,5,11,15,	risk (1)	Roy (1)	61:4
retirees (5)	17;103:18;106:9,16,	162:14	35:9	Sands (2)
60:7;195:14,16,18,	19,23;107:1,4,5,10,	River (4)	Rule (2)	23:8;35:10
20	10,14,16,22,23;	38:16;40:16;	31:16;212:19	sat (2)
retirement (1)	108:4,4,7,10;109:4;	105:22;106:5	rules (3)	175:14;176:16
210:12	111:14;112:25;	road (5)	59:20,21;81:21	satisfaction (1)
return (3)	113:17;114:16,22;	15:21;16:15;	ruling (3)	52:16
12:23;30:6;195:10	115:9,12,15;116:4;	29:22;30:1,14	42:10;215:4,5	satisfied (2)
revealing (1)	117:14,19,19,22;	roadmap (1)	RULINGS (1)	68:21;205:14
47:17	118:4,11,13;119:16;	15:22	218:1	satisfies (2)
revenue (6)	120:3,16,19;122:6,	Robert (5)	run (5)	181:21;182:14
8:15;9:24;10:2;	14,15;123:4;124:16,	41:18;43:22;65:2,	14:21;15:6;125:4;	sausage (1)
52:23;76:1;139:16	18;125:14,16,16,19,	11;218:15	140:11;212:13	158:14
revenues (2)	24;126:1,6,14;	Rock (1)	running (1)	save (10)
9:25;107:12	127:17,21;128:18,	37:18	56:9	60:7;109:24;
reversed (1)	21;129:2,11,12,14;	role (12)	C	167:1;169:8,14;
121:11	130:10,24;131:24;	95:7,7;119:2;	S	170:3,6;190:21;
review (10)	133:2,3,23,24;	139:6;142:14;144:7,	G 11 (A)	193:18;209:4
8:14;13:5;33:25;	134:15,17,23,24;	17,18,22;151:15;	Sabin (2)	Savenko (1)
49:6;66:18;69:14;	135:18,18;136:3,4,	163:18;185:1	57:8;182:18	61:5
73:8;143:12;183:21;	15,21,22;137:3,5,8,	roles (1)	sacrifice (8)	savings (27)
208:5	15,20;138:20;	139:1	61:12,14;196:14,	49:10,18,22;
-				

Case 110: 13-33070-IXI	1		1	Junuary 21, 2010
51:23;52:1;53:21;	22:2;28:8,12;	serves (1)	sheer (1)	203:10
54:9,17;55:6;58:24;	29:21,22;30:8,14,20;	31:5	57:24	sitting (4)
93:9;109:20;114:21;	31:6;60:23;71:9;	service (1)	sheet (1)	104:6;149:2;
115:4,14,17;116:12;	86:15	138:6	106:8	199:9;203:2
117:6;159:20;166:6;	secured (7)	services (10)	shooting (1)	situation (18)
167:7,16;190:15,16,	10:14,23;31:9;	20:23;21:10;	45:14	19:3;40:3;45:22;
22,23;191:11	54:24;178:8;204:17;	24:23;25:6,7,9,15;	short (2)	80:15;90:23;95:2,5;
saw (6)	208:16	33:10;34:17;138:5	14:7;180:22	101:21;105:8,15,25;
44:3;49:17;	security (2)	session (10)	shorthand (1)	149:17;152:5;167:8;
185:22;190:7;191:7;	81:19;109:3	67:21;71:6;157:4;	14:18	179:24;184:7;
213:7	seeing (2)	163:6,15;169:15;	shot (2)	191:19;203:2
saying (17)	16:17;195:10	171:14;177:18,21;	45:17;46:22	situations (2)
71:25;91:23;	seek (4)	190:8	show (7)	162:17;201:3
96:18;130:21,22;	16:16;29:4;36:2;	set (29)	58:3;59:20;	six (10)
148:11;151:2,8;	99:13	12:10,12,16;	110:23;161:23;	6:11;16:22;65:18,
153:1;161:4;168:9,	seeking (8)	27:21;28:3;29:8;	162:5;188:4;197:24	23;71:11;92:13;
23;193:21,23;198:1,	12:9;15:22,24;	31:11;32:14;34:22;	showing (1)	133:16;165:23;
25;214:17	37:23;38:9,25;51:16,	75:9,20;77:22;78:4;	164:9	190:3;213:11
schedule (9)	18	81:1;84:22;87:16;	shown (4)	six-month (5)
104:11;121:4,6,	seem (1)	88:22;90:21;91:4;	45:20;68:7;97:11;	87:3;91:2,5;92:17;
16;123:2;124:19;	181:6	115:25;116:18;	182:23	118:3
125:5;126:24;127:1	seems (6)	117:17;119:2;128:5;	shows (5)	sixteen (1)
scope (6)	62:20;75:6;	134:3,10;151:25;	115:10;159:7;	30:23
24:19;57:15,24;	121:21;128:9;194:7;	187:25;194:14	184:15;190:9;	sixth (1)
58:8,25;187:23	199:20	sets (1)	199:17	7:8
scrambled (1)	self-serving (1)	186:5	shrinking (5)	sixth-month (1)
185:25	197:7	setting (1)	207:23,23;208:2,3,	7:8
scrutiny (4)	sell (6)	116:23	7	Sixty (2)
183:23,24;184:19;	9:7;54:3;55:13;	settled (2)	shrunk (1)	89:22;159:6
212:20	152:22;189:15;	203:7,8	55:22	sixty-four (1)
seaborne (1)	210:8	seven (7)	sic (3)	109:24
11:13	selling (1)	10:13;79:12,13;	25:17;87:19;124:9	sixty-odd-million (1)
seal (20) 41:17;42:10;43:1,	54:2 send (3)	90:4,10;140:7; 183:15	side (7) 8:7,8;19:12,12;	179:18 size (11)
	18:3;59:16;148:10	seven-and-a-half (2)	82:16;161:6;203:23	15:7;57:24;76:1;
9;45:21;46:12; 63:15;64:3,4,18,20;	senior (3)	52:5,6	sides (1)	102:25;128:12,14,
70:13;113:14;120:6,	140:7;141:17;	seventeen (8)	15:19	15;179:13;180:23;
14;121:5;126:25;	202:15	51:18;98:24;	signatures (1)	187:23;207:6
146:4;166:3;218:14	sense (18)	99:13;100:17;101:5;	150:8	sizeable (1)
sealed (10)	9:22;54:1;56:4;	174:5,6;183:16	signed (3)	169:11
41:24;42:6;	101:7;125:14;152:3;	seventy- (2)	69:14;83:5;202:25	skew (1)
110:19;111:2,3;	153:16;169:24;	9:17;133:17	significant (10)	107:23
120:25;121:2;127:6;	171:6;181:7;189:14,	seventy-five (4)	10:1,20;15:8;39:8;	skill (1)
156:1;177:18	20;190:25;191:9;	52:17;110:2;	60:15;74:22;77:2,	189:19
sealing (1)	192:13,16,19;207:19	115:2;116:6	10;160:10;162:13	slap (1)
81:21	sensitive (2)	several (4)	significantly (6)	214:2
seat (1)	42:17;210:24	53:20;158:9;	10:3,7,10;13:3;	slide (1)
79:4	sent (6)	161:11;197:2	53:9;158:5	166:7
seated (2)	84:11,21;85:14;	SG&A (5)	similar (4)	slightly (2)
67:21;177:21	94:9;96:1,6	139:19,20;166:22,	38:17;135:14;	176:10,11
second (34)	sentence (1)	25;168:17	179:13;180:9	smaller (3)
7:19;8:23;28:23;	148:8	shall (1)	Similarly (1)	75:4;167:9;208:12
29:3,15,18,24;30:4,	September (1)	104:14	28:8	snapshot (8)
11,18,21;31:4;32:14;	27:15	shared (6)	simple (2)	58:15;89:9;99:15;
35:11,16;37:19;38:3,	sequencing (5)	61:12,13;196:13,	165:25;170:10	100:11;159:12,15;
5;39:12;50:5;57:24;	19:3,8,13;33:5;	14;204:1,2	simply (5)	189:6,11
58:19;67:3;93:6;	42:20	shareholders (1)	105:23;181:1;	so-called (1)
98:16;115:3;121:1;	sequential (1)	195:9	197:7;203:14;207:1	184:7
125:10;134:24;	85:24	sharing (4)	single (1)	sold (2)
136:25;161:25;	serious (1)	36:19;37:14;	195:7	52:23;198:11
187:22;206:25;	61:20	41:14;218:9	sit (5)	soldier (1)
209:21	serve (1)	Sharon (2)	61:19;171:20;	191:4
Section (12)	63:16	61:4;127:24	174:24;192:14;	solid (2)
-	I	I	I .	I .

12:17;15:3	specific (20)	stamp (1)	75:7	213:8
solutions (1)	10:17,18;35:14;	134:14	stature (1)	street (1)
54:9	62:2;77:20;80:8,20;	stand (5)	38:17	119:18
somebody (7)	103:21;104:1,10,10;	65:3;104:7;157:9;	status (7)	strengths (1)
61:20;103:21;	132:8,11,12;134:21;	163:25;204:19	6:10,21;7:4,19;	155:6
134:5;157:13;	135:14;165:12;	standalone (2)	8:1;18:1,15	stress (1)
189:15;190:19;	181:13;189:6,11	152:16;210:8	statute (1)	164:9
192:8	specifically (13)	standard (9)	77:5	stresses (1)
somehow (3)	23:14;79:21;	8:21;62:22;	stay (2)	53:15
38:22;194:7;211:5	104:24;105:12;	128:23;179:3;180:2,	137:16;196:12	stretch (1)
someone (4)	115:20;122:1;152:2;	7;183:19,20;201:20	stayed (1)	47:4
11:12;168:1;	165:1;175:16;	standards (2)	65:22	stretches (1)
190:20;191:16	176:18;193:4;	181:22;189:4	steak (2)	45:10
someplace (2) 87:18;100:21	212:24;213:9 specification (2)	standby (5) 94:12;96:9,23,25;	192:6;212:7 steering (2)	strike (3) 82:21;99:1;147:14
sometimes (3)	77:16,24	97:1	50:4:204:20	strong (1)
77:23;156:14;	specifications (6)	standing (6)	stem (1)	96:9
203:9	84:23;86:13,18;	37:21,23;38:9,16,	55:4	Stroock (9)
somewhat (2)	87:22;94:23;95:14	19;218:11	step (4)	37:24,24;38:1,20,
71:16;124:2	specifics (3)	standoff (1)	79:7,21,24;137:5	20,25;40:22,22,25
somewhere (4)	45:15;141:18;	183:18	steps (1)	struck (1)
117:3;142:10;	213:5	standoffish (1)	139:10	191:12
156:22;199:25	specified (2)	183:19	stickered (1)	structure (5)
soon (3)	117:24;119:10	standpoint (6)	127:8	7:23;15:19;16:4;
83:4;186:6;215:12	specs (4)	12:18;18:3;63:25;	still (15)	139:22;184:2
sorry (19)	106:15;107:4,21;	180:25;198:7;213:3	17:11;33:7;36:1;	structured (2)
9:13;69:1;77:2;	186:15	stands (3)	39:15;55:5,13,16;	83:20;184:1
84:15;89:18;92:23;	speed (5)	50:9;71:23;95:19	66:20;124:4;135:22;	struggled (2)
102:10;105:8;	16:2;19:9;39:22;	Stanley (1)	136:1;146:20;	154:22,25
108:23;116:8;117:2,	148:22;149:19	141:7	152:24;182:9;190:2	study (5)
5;126:16;150:15;	spend (8)	start (5)	stock (1)	82:9;88:9;90:19;
158:25;159:1;172:9;	166:9,11,23;	8:20;42:23;74:19;	197:19	106:10;134:7
174:7;186:12	169:12;170:12;	87:18;187:14	stockpile (1)	stuff (3)
sort (15)	190:4,20;209:2	started (7)	213:12	198:19,20;199:7
6:10,12;14:11;	spending (6)	11:21;35:1;98:21;	stop (5)	subject (11)
20:2,3;43:8;54:11;	170:2,2;171:11;	152:20;172:5;	169:7;175:18;	16:23;26:7;31:11;
74:13;115:1;169:17;	179:7;208:22,22	197:23;202:25	208:19;209:5; 211:22	43:3;44:18;45:3;
183:18;193:15;	spent (5)	starting (15) 12:25;74:19;76:8;		63:13;81:21;137:11; 191:8,9
198:4;200:9;211:4 sorts (3)	53:20;55:3; 149:15;190:10,11	77:17;78:12,14;	stops (1) 208:2	subjective (2)
58:12;171:1;	spirit (3)	84:22;87:17,21;	storage (1)	44:17;88:24
191:12	145:4;155:5;	95:8;100:21;119:3;	26:2	submit (19)
sought (7)	170:20	158:18;172:11;	straight (1)	22:17;24:12;
21:14;22:5;49:11;	spirited (1)	187:8	63:2	25:20;26:18;31:10,
50:16;55:7;173:8;	16:10	starts (3)	strategic (10)	19;34:15;41:6;46:7,
200:20	spoke (2)	115:1;142:25;	79:5;80:6,13,21;	11;61:24;62:19;
sound (5)	17:20;183:11	158:24	81:3;138:12;140:14;	63:15;73:5;143:10;
28:6,7;31:5,5;	spoken (3)	State (3)	144:19;152:6,12	200:23;202:22;
155:23	83:15;154:16,18	30:6;44:6;71:19	strategy (1)	203:6;215:7
sounds (1)	spot-on (1)	stated (2)	155:15	submitted (6)
173:18	179:9	68:9;131:18	straw (29)	21:16,22;24:7;
sources (1)	stack (1)	statement (5)	77:24,25;78:2,7,	63:10;73:2;88:2
68:7	167:13	50:7;57:11;69:11;	14;79:2;80:5,14;	submitting (1)
speak (2)	stage (9)	77:5;205:13	83:13;84:2,5,6,25;	35:15
9:4;194:6	7:8,15,15;11:22;	statements (5)	85:4,20;86:3,7,9;	subsequent (4)
SPEAKER (3)	12:16,19;16:19;	48:8,9,15;73:9;	91:22;97:20;107:10;	77:4;132:25;
69:22;108:21,23	20:7;203:14	143:13	117:16;124:21;	134:5;136:11
speaking (2)	stages (5)	States (9)	125:2;148:5;151:3;	subsequently (1)
39:20;82:11	7:11,12,14,18;	21:20;23:15;	181:8;184:22;	71:18
speaks (1) 59:6	11:21	33:14;55:22;59:25;	186:24	substance (2) 60:1,24
59:0 special (5)	stakeholders (3) 53:18;55:18;	109:2,8;165:9; 194:21	streamline (1) 74:10	substantial (2)
21:4,6,7;192:16,17	132:15	statistical (1)	streamlined (1)	61:20;62:14
21.7,0,7,172.10,17	132.13	sausicai (1)	sa cammica (1)	01.20,02.17

Cusc 110: 15-55070-IKIC	14			Junuary 21, 2010
substantially (6)	175:4	swing (1)	139:12	19:3;27:11,21;28:2,
15:7;28:12;30:12;	suppliers (1)	108:8	tasked (1)	5;34:16;74:13;
34:8;173:15;206:20	139:21	sworn (4)	181:7	138:23;166:24;
succeeded (1)	supplies (1)	65:5,6;137:21,22	TAVENNER (6)	167:21;201:8,23,24;
99:22	156:22	_	36:13,15,15,16;	203:12,12
successful (14)	support (7)	T	37:11,16	terribly (2)
61:8;105:17;	14:19;15:13;		tax (4)	57:10;193:9
131:10,23;133:8;	72:21;73:6;143:10;	tab (3)	21:8,8;24:23;	Terry (3)
179:16,19;181:4;	197:8,8	134:11,11,14	65:19	35:9,9;36:12
182:6;199:14;	supported (2)	table (7)	team (26)	test (2)
200:22;201:18;	50:3;178:8	61:19;90:14;95:7;	43:23;132:8;	182:14;183:7
203:1;215:1	supporting (2) 173:25;174:2	149:5;176:16;203:3;	138:25;141:25,25; 146:25;147:4,6;	testament (1) 185:24
successfully (2) 133:12;165:11	supportive (2)	209:16 tag (1)	151:20;152:20,24;	tested (1)
sudden (1)	174:8;201:14	43:23	160:16,20;161:7;	14:10
38:22	supports (1)	tailored (1)	176:1;191:4;192:20;	testified (3)
suddenly (2)	204:21	107:20	201:17;204:24;	136:13;180:16;
40:4;201:14	suppose (1)	talk (12)	211:25;212:3,9;	211:18
suffered (1)	40:3	48:7;119:8;150:1;	213:19,21,24,24	testify (3)
8:19	supposed (3)	157:9,12,20;159:19;	teams (1)	130:16;178:19;
sufficient (1)	44:20;199:6;200:3	168:2;179:5;187:4;	213:23	198:12
15:8	sure (48)	189:9;192:15	technical (2)	testifying (2)
suggest (6)	6:22;12:6;42:5;	talked (4)	67:24;119:19	9:21;46:16
7:9;46:11;157:24;	44:5;46:3;64:23;	154:7;179:11;	technically (1)	testimony (24)
183:18;203:15;	68:4,9,15;69:15;	182:20;196:13	119:13	42:13;51:12;
207:10	73:10;88:10,18;	talking (11)	telephone (2)	56:20;137:8;145:21;
suggested (5)	90:18;93:6,6;97:24;	15:12;63:8;96:11;	13:6;37:25	178:16;180:5;
37:3;90:17;103:5;	110:8;116:14;119:4;	122:20;132:10;	telephonic (4)	187:24;193:3;
187:22;188:19	125:8;138:4;145:2;	180:14;183:25;	37:22;38:14,19;	195:23;197:23;
suggesting (3)	149:13,18;150:13,	187:7;188:20;193:4;	218:12	198:8;201:11;202:2;
162:20;193:19;	24;151:19;152:24;	208:6	telephonically (1)	207:1,8,9;210:15;
212:17 suggestion (5)	156:24;158:3;	talks (5)	154:10	211:16,20;212:15, 22,22,23
17:13,16;151:4;	161:12;162:18; 165:15;166:20;	46:12;59:7;87:10, 13;179:4	telling (2) 105:7;196:25	Thanks (5)
152:4;191:25	167:24;168:17;	target (54)	temporarily (1)	18:7;22:8;67:16;
suggests (1)	170:25;173:6,20;	41:23;52:11,12,	29:13	75:18;171:17
194:12	174:11;175:4,16;	24;72:15;89:8;	ten (8)	than's (1)
sum (2)	190:2;191:5,13;	91:10,16;92:22,23;	30:10,15;31:23;	136:11
58:2;194:13	192:22;214:21	93:9,15,17,25;94:3,	66:16;168:7;183:16;	that'll (8)
summarize (1)	surprise (3)	5,6;103:2;106:4,12;	198:3,4	23:17;25:19;35:5;
88:11	14:8,8;124:3	107:1,7,16;110:2;	tend (1)	36:11;37:15;51:13;
summarized (4)	surprised (1)	115:4,4,13;116:4;	7:11	115:21,22
77:11,14;78:4;	92:2	122:23;123:18;	tendered (2)	Thereafter (1)
99:6	surprisingly (1)	124:1,2,8;126:2;	36:22;37:6	134:10
summarizing (1)	13:17	128:10;148:7;149:8;	tens (1)	there'd (1)
101:8	survival (1)	150:20,25;153:1;	195:6	105:24
summary (11)	54:18	159:22;160:5;	tensions (1)	Therefore (3)
77:12,23;78:8,24;	survive (3)	161:18;162:8;	12:14	16:6;44:18;100:4
85:3;87:16;89:6,14; 100:7:134:13,16	15:6;139:11;	165:20,21,22;	term (3) 13:21;15:24,25	there'll (2) 26:22:209:15
100:7;134:13,16 summer (1)	144:16 survived (4)	179:16;180:13;	13:21;15:24,25 terminate (3)	26:22;209:15 there're (1)
76:16	129:21,22;130:13;	187:7;188:13; 197:21,25;198:1	30:21;177:6;	186:6
sums (1)	131:6	targeted (1)	195:13	thereto (1)
192:25	suspect (1)	33:9	terminated (1)	29:15
superficially (1)	168:3	targets (8)	210:3	thereunder (2)
207:20	suspended (1)	45:9;72:14;	terminating (2)	29:10:52:19
supervisors (1)	29:19	136:20;152:1;187:6;	60:7;210:2	thin (1)
62:16	Sustained (1)	188:16;197:11;	termination (2)	74:20
supplemental (10)	80:3	206:13	49:11,12	thinking (2)
24:19;25:8;51:8;	sweeten (1)	targets/goals (1)	terminology (1)	78:22;95:1
67:1;69:4,19;70:14;	197:1	178:20	135:2	third (5)
111:3;112:2,12	swiftly (3)	task (4)	terms (18)	9:1,1;58:9;158:18;
supplier (1)	11:1,1,2	74:13,15,16;	8:9;15:13;18:14;	188:25
	1			

Case 110. 15-55070-1110				Junuary 21, 2010
third-party (1)	203:20,21	9:10,12,14,15	tremendous (1)	197:13;199:7,13
166:12	tight (1)	took (10)	199:1	turnaround (2)
thirteen (1)	193:14	13:1;62:18;77:6;	trial (1)	21:10;141:17
156:10	tighter (1)	79:4,6;80:14;	68:3	turning (3)
thirteen- (1)	209:1	104:15;154:13;	tried (2)	198:23;199:11;
162:12	tightest (1)	181:11;189:11	10:25;67:23	208:18
thirteen-week (6)	209:20	top (10)	trifle (1)	tweaks (1)
156:4;161:4;	till (1)	13:1;80:12;84:7;	183:4	209:8
162:4,19,23,25	47:10	115:10;121:15;	Tronox (2)	Tweed (3)
thirty (12)	times (11)	122:5;123:19;	105:9,10	18:9;23:8;32:22
9:25;51:21;52:18;	8:3;92:13,16;	195:19;196:15;	trouble (4)	twelve (8)
55:25;65:20;82:4,	93:22;118:21;130:7;	198:24	32:1;115:17;	9:10,12,15;59:13;
19;117:8,13;190:12,	164:7;195:18;196:9,	total (15)	149:7;155:5	61:15,22;174:4;
14,25	10;197:15	30:9;56:25;71:25;	troubling (1)	203:24
thirty-two (1)	timing (3)	87:13;107:13;108:5;	190:14	twenty (24)
171:2	190:6;191:9;192:9	116:4;117:9;124:3;	Troy (1)	31:22;75:23,25;
though (6)	tip (1)	125:12,18;174:5,7;	61:5	76:11,12,24;77:10;
0	42:23	185:16;187:15	true (16)	78:8,25;79:16;
42:14;50:10;	title (1)	totality (1)	22:14;68:20;	98:22;99:2,10;
144:17;146:1;				
175:24;200:2	84:15	116:20	69:15;73:10;88:16;	101:3;103:19,20;
thought (10)	titled (1)	totally (1)	94:24;96:3;105:4;	104:11;105:16,22;
57:12;111:20,22;	125:10	170:9	108:14,16;130:19;	128:5,8;132:23;
112:7,10;139:2,3;	Toby (1)	totals (2)	136:19;143:13;	169:13;207:5
142:16;152:18;	172:9	125:7,8	184:4;188:18;	twenty- (1)
162:7	today (43)	touch (1)	213:23	136:13
thread (1)	6:7;8:4;9:4;10:5,	186:23	Trust (5)	twenty-company (1)
148:1	17;18:21;21:14;	tough (1)	37:18;38:2,11;	88:13
threads (1)	22:13;25:10;29:17;	196:10	40:25;209:1	twenty-five (4)
147:23	33:4,6,12;36:18;	toward (2)	Trustee (16)	52:19,21;79:11;
three (16)	37:21;41:11;42:1,1;	49:12;213:17	21:20;23:15;	133:19
51:9;72:9;92:16;	56:20;64:9;70:20;	towards (2)	33:15;37:19;38:2;	twice (3)
93:22;110:24;	72:7;104:6,7;	49:22;140:16	41:18,19;42:7;50:2;	93:16;144:24;
123:17;131:18;	156:25;160:21;	trace (2)	51:8,10;59:25;109:2,	164:7
135:1;164:23;189:9;	162:13;165:18;	81:12;182:20	8;194:21;207:13	two (54)
195:14,16,17,18;	168:24;178:4,16;	track (1)	Trustee's (2)	7:3,11;9:18;10:13;
197:15;206:3	182:20;185:23;	161:17	206:25;208:23	13:20,20;35:17;
three- (1)	186:10;195:23;	traditionally (1)	Trust's (1)	36:3;42:9;44:14;
91:7	197:24;210:23,25;	37:25	218:11	46:5;51:9,18;58:11;
three-foot (1)	211:13,16;212:2;	transaction (5)	try (11)	60:2,3;74:7;77:15;
45:13	214:16,22	54:2;183:25;	7:2;16:1,5;20:1,	80:10;86:8;87:3,3;
three-point (1)	toes (1)	184:3,6;185:1	19;58:22;139:9;	91:2,5,7;92:13,16;
45:12	206:1	transcript (2)	157:10,25;196:12;	100:8;102:25;103:7;
threshold (11)	together (18)	46:13;97:11	207:21	105:21;107:22;
52:11,13,14;	58:6;86:6;87:16,	transfer (5)	trying (23)	115:14;119:6;120:2,
76:18;94:7;109:23,	20,23;95:2;119:2;	30:5,8,18;31:11;	16:13;42:4;45:14;	18;121:11;133:16;
24;125:17;181:2;	139:25;140:23;	32:2	48:11;55:15;90:14;	136:5,7;143:6;
184:11;212:5	142:1;145:1;148:23,	Transformation (2)	97:25;134:3;139:7;	150:10;154:8;
thresholds (2)	25;149:13;151:11;	21:10;138:5	140:25;144:6;151:8,	159:13;160:15;
45:8;125:17	173:14;180:18,19	translate (3)	10,24;152:8,15;	177:4,8;185:13;
throughout (3)	token (1)	77:17;140:20;	153:22;158:21;	188:8;189:1,2;
10:6;140:9,15	16:1	203:13	162:15;163:2;164:7;	192:15;195:18;
throw (3)	told (2)	transportation (2)	189:15;191:17	209:19
198:19;200:5,7	78:19;203:3	26:2;28:25	tunc (1)	two- (1)
throwing (1)	Tom (2)	traveling (1)	34:25	45:11
187:8	20:15;24:16	153:25	turn (25)	two-mile (1)
thumbs (1)	tomorrow (5)	travesties (1)	9:23;10:24;17:6;	29:21
149:22	7:22;14:21;34:4;	59:7	32:18;43:18;64:14;	type (3)
thus (2)	68:11,16	travesty (3)	74:8;98:6,16;103:7;	66:15;169:17,18
26:6;27:19	ton (4)	17:1,2;191:23	104:14;106:25;	types (1)
tie (1)	8:25;9:2,3,5	treated (1)	108:21;110:6;	190:9
199:13	tonnage (2)	88:5	114:17,24;143:25;	typical (4)
tied (6)	198:10;212:12	treatment (2)	145:21;147:21;	71:6;101:2;130:9;
51:22;62:2,6,11;	tons (4)	201:16,17	160:4;166:1;195:24;	155:21

10		1	1	1	
13-142,23;147.3; 10-4 11-623;120-4;125:3, 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20;137-3; 15-12;135:19-20; 15-12;135:1	typically (4)	10:23	81:13;89:1;101:11;	127:2	verbatim (1)
Space Spac					89:14
Table Tabl	156:12;158:15	210:4	116:23;120:4;125:3,	12:13	verdict (1)
U	typographical (1)	understood (1)	21;135:19,20;137:3;	usually (2)	47:9
U 208.16 151:1;153:1;167:13; 79:11 version (9) 36:1 181:1;183:15; 179:4 33:4;154:82:2; 35:2;25:6;1.16 179:4 39:2;121:18.19; 39:2;121:18.19; 39:2;121:18.19; 39:2;121:18.19; 39:2;121:18.19; 39:2;121:18.19; 39:2;121:18.19; 209:13 vacuum (1) versus (1) 200:13:37:33:85:10; 200:13:36 Vacuum (1) 200:13:37:33:85:10; 200:13:36 Vacuum (1) 200:13:37:38:10; 200:13:36 Vacuum (1) 200:13	86:1		140:21;148:22;	32:4;156:22	vernacularly (1)
unitimately (6) 57:2;140:1.2; 141:10:170:1.3 unexpired (3) 57:2;140:1.2; 141:10:170:1.3 unexpired (3) unexpired (4) unitable (4) upon (4) unexpired (3) unitable (4) upon (4) unitable (4) upon (4) unitable (4) unitab					
	${f U}$		151:1;153:1;167:13;		
141:10:176:1.3 141:					
141:10:176:13				179:4	
Imahum (7)				T 7	
66:13,722:8,85:10; 179:22				V	
S77-91:14;123:1; UNIDENTIFIED (3) 153:12				(1)	
153:12					
Union (20)					
44:1;57:9;83:23; 27:1,4;20;28:17; 148:2;172:6					
1273,4;171:22; 163:24;164:10,12, 172:2165:3;174:13; 50:2,2;127:4 176:16;181:24; 202:9 valuation (5) 21:15 202:9 (27:12); 21:15 21:15 21:15 20:14:4 21:15 21:15 20:14:4 21:15 20:14:4 21:15 20:14:4 21:15 20:14:4 21:15 20:14:4 21:15 20:14:15 20:14:29:18;19,22 21:15 20:14:15 21:15 20:14:15 20:15:12 20:14:15 20:15 20:14:15 20:14:15 20:15 20:14:15 20:14:15 20:15 20:14:15 20:					*
182:19					
DMWA (3)					
176:16:181:24; 202:9 valuation (5) 24:23:25:67,14; 202:17:15: 202:11:15 valuation (5) 24:23:25:67,14; 202:14:209:18,19,22 views (1) 178:19 valuations					*
mable (1)			• ` '		` ,
27:18					
163:19,21,22; 164:2,6;192:16; 246:16;52:11;56:10; 282:5,29;7,24 27:20;45:10,16; 21:20;23:15; 20:18;32:24; 37:20;45:10,16; 46:22;48;65;02:5; 51:2 20:19 20:19 20:19;					
207:25 163:19,21,22; 13:15,25:14; 46:16;52:11;56:10; 17:8 164:2,6;192:16; 214:6	unbelievably (1)		upon (9)	valuations (1)	
17:8	207:25	163:19,21,22;	13:15;25:14;	25:4	Virginia (3)
uncertainty (1) unique (2) 193:15:202:8 17,20;56:5,23; 7:1 7:2 7:1	uncertainties (1)		46:16;52:11;56:10;		
162:7 unclear (1) United (9) 21:20:23:15; upside (2) 115:10;117:6,14; visibility (1) 196:16 upside (2) 139:9;140:15; visibility (1) 182:20:23:23 142:17;145:3; 18:25 visibility (1) 104:21:105:4 visibility (1) 104:21:105				31:2;53:17;54:5,	
unclear (1) United (9) 1/96/16 upside (2) 115:10:117:6,14; 14:1:49:11 visibility (1) 55:10 21:20;23:15; upside (2) 139:9;140:15; 139:9;140:15; 139:9;140:15; 18:25 Viston (2) 20:18:32:24; 61:9;109:2,8;194:21 universe (1) 100:20 use (20) 151:20:152:24; volumes (8) 100:20 use (20) 160:7;16:10:0; 160:7;16:10:0; volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 206:19 103:21;136:14; 37:1;44:4;61:25; 22:3;51:4;63:3; 37:1;44:4;61:25; 20;180:15;182:16; volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 7:20;17:3,5;23:23; unmindful (1) 19:16;197:1;205:2 110:22;128:19,22; 197:19;204:25,25; volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 30:4,11,18:31:4; 32:14;44:24;45:9, 21;44:10;20:14; 129:8;134:11; 214:45; 214:45; 210:15 vulnerable (1) 61:6 12:22;133:13; 198:15 values (1) 10:15 vulnerable (1) 61:14 vying (1) 10:15 vulnerable (1) 61:14 10:15 vulnerable (1) 10:15 vulnerable (1) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
21:20:23:15; upside (2) 139:9;140:15; 42:17;145:3; 162:14;203:23 142:17;145:3; 151:20:152:24; 160:7;161:10; 100:20 100					
uncontested (9) 33:14;55:22;59:25; 162:14;203:23 142:17;145:3; 18:25 20:18;32:24; 61:9;109:2,8;194:21 urge (1) 151:20;152:24; 151:20;152:24; 151:20;152:24; 100:20 100:20 100:20 100:21;105:4 volume (30) 100:21;105:4 volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 volume (1) 12:9:7 volume (1) 12:9:7 volume (1) 12:9:7 volume (1) 12:9:7					
20:18;32:24; 37:20;45:10,16; 46:22;48:6;50:25; 51:2 uncontroverted (1) 206:19 under (60) 7:20;17:3;5;23:23; 26:6;28:4;23;29:19; 30:41;1,18;31:4; 32:14;44:24;45:9, 21:46:12;50:14; 52:25;55:25;56:13; 60:14,18;22:62:22, 24;63:15;64:3,4,18, 20;66:20;70:13; 106:16;113:14; 116:17;120:6,14; 116:17;120:10; 110:22;128:19,22; 110:16;11;111;17; 118:17;10:10; 118:18:16;13;17;19:15; 110:22;124;19:18; 110:22;124;19:18; 110:22;124;19;18; 110:22;124;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;124;10;19;18; 110:22;128:19;22; 112:32:17;111;111;17; 116:17;120:6,14; 116:17;120:6,14; 116:17;120:6,14; 116:17;120:6,14; 116:17;120:19;18; 110:22;123;132;12; 110:22;123;132;12; 110:22;123;132;12; 110:22;123;132;12; 110:22;123;132;12; 110:22;123;132;12; 110:22;					
37:20;45:10,16; 46:22;48:6;50:25; 100:20 use (20) 104:15;167:14,21; 104:21;105:4 volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 use (1) 104:21;105:4 volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 use (20) 104:15;182:1,6; 104:21;105:4 volume (3) 9:8,10;198:10 use (1) 129:8;134:11; 129:8;134:11; 129:8;134:11; 129:8;134:11; 129:8;134:11; 129:8;134:11; 129:8;134:11; 132:13 used (10) used (10) 122:12 use (12) use (12) use (13) 106:16;113:14; 122:13 use (10) 1					
46:22;48:6;50:25; 51:2					
51:2 uncontroverted (1) Unless (8) 10:17;14:19;26:5; 37:1;44:4:61:25; 37:1;41:19;26:5; 37:1;44:4:61:25; 37:1;44:4:4:61:25; 37:1;44:4:4:61:25; 37:1;44:4:4:61:25; 37:1;44:4:4:61:25; 37:1;44:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:19;26:5; 37:1;44:4:6:25; 37:1;44:4:6:25; 37:1;44:4:6:25; 37:1;41:4:10; 37:1;41:19;26:5; 37:1;41:4:10;21:15; 37:1;41:19;26:5; 37:1;41:4:10;21:15; 37:1;41:19;26:5; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:10; 37:1;41:19;26:25; 37:1;41:19;26:5; 37:1;41:4:4:61:25; 37:1;41:4:10; 38:13:15; 37:1;41:10;20:1;41; 39:25 37:1;41:10:20:1;41; 39:25 37:1;41:10:20:1;41;41:10; 39:21:13:1;41;41:10; 39:21:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13					
uncontroverted (1) 206:19 22:3;51:4;63:3; 133:21;136:14; 62:1,2;79:17; 191:16;197:1;205:2 37:1;44:4;61:25; 62:1,2;79:17; 197:19;204:25,25; 20;180:15;182:1,6; 189:18;192:21; 129:7 vote (1) 129:7 voting (1					
206:19	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
under (60) 191:16;197:1;205:2 110:22;128:19,22; 197:19;204:25,25; voting (1) 7:20;17:3,5;23:23; 26:6;28:4,23;29:19; 61:6 141:22;144:10; 214:4,5 201:15 201:15 30:4,11,18;31:4; unnecessarily (1) 39:25 198:15 values (1) 61:14 32:14;44:24;45:9, unpack (1) 99:8;135:5;138:12; variage (1) 105:5 60:14,18,22;62:22, unrebutted (3) 99:8;135:5;138:12; 18:23 variage (1) 105:5 20;66:20;70:13; unsealed (1) 122:13 useful (2) 75:23 173:8,10 106:16;113:14; 122:13 useful (2) 75:23 173:8,10 121:5;126:25; 21:12;32:23;37:5; uses (2) 24:23;55:16 47:10;96:9;97:1 128:23,24;136:18, 48:24;131:17,20; 11:14;26:3 variety (2) vairety (2) 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) 47:10;96:9;97:1 18:13,17;197:5; 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 201:16;22;19:18, 100:22;25;121:1,12, 166:15					
7:20;17:3,5;23:23; 26:6;28:4,23;29:19; 30:4,11,18:31:4; 32:14;44:24;45:9, 21;46:12;50:14; 39:25 unpack (1) 52:25;55:25;56:13; 60:14,18,22;62:22, 24;63:15;64:3,4,18, 20;66:20;70:13; 106:16;113:14; 122:13 unsealed (1) 129:8;134:11; 141:22;144:10; 214:4,5 vulnerable (1) 61:14 vying (1) 105:5 18:23 variations (1) 105:5 18:23 variables (3) 149:17;162:9,24 variations (1) 75:23 173:8,10 unseured (10) 121:5;126:25; 21:12;32:23;37:5; 128:23,24;136:18, 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; 208:17;209:21 166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 169:2;178:23; 183:13,17;197:5; 201:16;202:4,7; 205:1,18 undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 13:13 undercurrent (1) 19;30:20;34:1,14; 21:13 undercurrent (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) UST's (4) 155:10;13,19; 128:7;132:22;					
26:6;28:4,23;29:19; 30:4,11,18;31:4; 30:25; unnecessarily (1) 39:25 unpack (1) 39:25 unpack (1) 45:25;55:25;56:13; 60:14,18,22;62:22, 24;63:15;64:3,4,18, 20;66:20;70:13; 106:16;113:14; 122:13 unsealed (1) 12:13 unsecured (10) 16:16;113:14; 122:13 unsecured (10) 16:16;17;120:6,14; 12:13 unsecured (10) 12:15;126:25; 128:23,24;136:18, 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; 166:3,6,11;167:15; 169:2;178:23; unusual (3) 18:13,17;197:5; 201:16;202:4,7; 205:1,18 undercurrent (1) 183:13 underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 44:6 undercurrent (1) 183:13 underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 44:6 undercurrent (1) 141:22;144:10; 155:3,18;168:13; 119:30:20;34:1,14; 122:14:10; 155:3,18;168:13; 119:30:20;34:1,14; 120:22 variage (1) 12:22 variage (1) 105:5 underscore (1) 198:15 undercurrent (1) 19:40 undercurrent (1) 183:13 underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 44:6 undercurrent (1) 19:40 undercurrent (1) 19:30:20;34:1,14; 10:11;11:11:17; 10:11;11:11:11;11:17; 10:11;11:11:11;11:11;11:11;11:11					
30:4,11,18;31:4; 32:14;44:24;45:9, 21;46:12;50:14; 39:25 used (10) 105:5 12:22 vantage (1) 105:5 12:25;55:		61:6			vulnerable (1)
21;46:12;50:14; 52:25;55:25;56:13; 60:14,18,22;62:22, 24;63:15;64:3,4,18, 20;66:20;70:13; 106:16;113:14; 116:17;120:6,14; 121:13 122:13 128:23,24;136:18, 25;159:20;165:20; 148:10;201:4; 128:23,24;136:18, 25;159:20;165:20; 166:3,6,11;167:15; 169:2;178:23; 183:13,17;197:5; 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 183:13 1930:20;34:1,14; 118:13 118:13 118:13 118:13 118:13 119;30:20;34:1,14; 128:21 128:23,24:136:18, 128:23,24:136:18, 128:23,24:136:18, 128:23,24:136:18, 128:23,24:136:18, 128:23,24:136:18, 128:23,24:136:18, 148:16;20;185:20; 149:17;162:9,24 149:17;162:9,24 149:17;162:9,24 149:17;162:9,24 149:17;162:9,24 149:17;162:9,24 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 173:8,10 175:23 173:8,10 173:8		unnecessarily (1)	155:3,18;168:13;		61:14
52:25;55:25;56:13; 60:14,18,22;62:22, 24;63:15;64:3,4,18, 20;66:20;70:13; 106:16;113:14; 116:17;120:6,14; 122:13 unsecured (10) 121:5;126:25; 25;159:20;165:20; 128:23,24;136:18, 25;159:20;165:20; 166:3,6,11;167:15; 169:2;178:23; 183:13,17;197:5; 201:16;202:4,7; 205:1,18 undercurrent (1) 183:13 underscore (1) 48:6 20:18; 173:24; 126:22 underscore (1) 44:6 45 25; 128:21;53:13, 128:23; 128:135:5;138:12; 148:16,20;185:20; 148:16,20;185:20; 149:17;162:9,24 variables (3) 149:17;162:9,24 variations (1) 49:17;162:9,24 variations (1) 75:23 173:8,10 waiting (3) 173:8,10 waiting (3) 47:10:96:9;97:1 unset (2) 24:23;55:16 Various (2) 24:23;55:16 Various (2) 27:18;179:25 VDOT (9) 31:16 waiver (4) 31:18,20,21;32:17 vendor (4) 155:14;156:9,14; 166:15 vendor (4) 102:4;106:19 vendor (4) 102:4;106:19 vendor (4) 102:4;106:19 vendor (4) 155:10,13,19; 155:10,13,19; 126:22 UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;	32:14;44:24;45:9,	39:25	198:15	12:22	vying (1)
Marebutted (3) 207:2,8;210:16 207:2,9;210 2		unpack (1)	used (10)	vantage (1)	105:5
24;63:15;64:3,4,18, 207:2,8;210:16 unsealed (1)					***
20;66:20;70:13;					W
106:16;113:14; 122:13 useful (2) 75:23 173:8,10 116:17;120:6,14; unsecured (10) 57:10,12 variety (2) waiting (3) 121:5;126:25; 21:12;32:23;37:5; uses (2) 24:23;55:16 47:10;96:9;97:1 128:23,24;136:18, 48:24;131:17,20; 11:14;26:3 Various (2) waived (1) 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; using (6) 127:18;179:25 31:16 166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) waiver (4) 169:2;178:23; unusual (3) 66:15;142:15; 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 31:18,20,21;32:17 183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 walked (2) 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5;					(2)
116:17;120:6,14; unsecured (10) 57:10,12 variety (2) waiting (3) 121:5;126:25; 21:12;32:23;37:5; uses (2) 24:23;55:16 47:10;96:9;97:1 128:23,24;136:18, 48:24;131:17,20; 11:14;26:3 Various (2) waived (1) 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; using (6) 127:18;179:25 31:16 166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) waiver (4) 169:2;178:23; unusual (3) 66:15;142:15; 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 31:18,20,21;32:17 183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 walked (2) 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					
121:5;126:25; 21:12;32:23;37:5; uses (2) 24:23;55:16 47:10;96:9;97:1 128:23,24;136:18, 48:24;131:17,20; 11:14;26:3 Various (2) waived (1) 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; using (6) 127:18;179:25 31:16 166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) waiver (4) 183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 UST (10) vendor (4) 102:4;106:19 205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 walked (2) 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					
128:23,24;136:18, 48:24;131:17,20; 11:14;26:3 Various (2) waived (1) 25;159:20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; using (6) 127:18;179:25 31:16 166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) waiver (4) 169:2;178:23; unusual (3) 66:15;142:15; 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 31:18,20,21;32:17 183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 walked (2) 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					
25;159;20;165:20; 145:10;201:4; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) waiver (4) 31:18,20,21;32:17 172:10 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 Up (48) 10:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; 19;30:20;34:1,14; 19;30:20;34:1,14; 19;30:20;34:1,14; 19;30:20;34:1,14; 19;30:20;34:1,14; 14:6 18:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22; 31:16 waiver (4) 31:16;29:25 VDOT (9) 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 31:18,20,21;32:17 walk (2) 31:18,20,21;32:17 walk (2) 10:4;106:19 walked (2) 10:4;106:19 walked (2) 185:15;211:19 vendors (6) Walter (6) 62:2,10;75:3; 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 128:7;132:22;			` '		
166:3,6,11;167:15; 208:17;209:21 15:25;16:1,15; VDOT (9) waiver (4) 169:2;178:23; unusual (3) 66:15;142:15; 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 31:18,20,21;32:17 183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 UST (10) vendor (4) 102:4;106:19 205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 walked (2) 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;				` '	` /
169:2;178:23; unusual (3) 66:15;142:15; 28:25;29:9;30:6,9, 31:18,20,21;32:17 183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 UST (10) 10:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) 205:1,18 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 walked (2) 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					
183:13,17;197:5; 141:16;184:25; 172:10 9,14,18,21;31:15 walk (2) 201:16;202:4,7; 201:11 UST (10) 102:4;106:19 205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 185:15;211:19 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;		,			
201:16;202:4,7; 205:1,18					
205:1,18 up (48) 110:11;111:17; 155:14;156:9,14; walked (2) undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 185:15;211:19 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					` /
undercurrent (1) 13:10;16:2;19:18, 120:22,25;121:1,12, 166:15 185:15;211:19 183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					
183:13 19;30:20;34:1,14; 22;122:16;125:5; vendors (6) Walter (6) underscore (1) 39:21;43:12;53:13, 126:22 155:10,13,19; 62:2,10;75:3; 44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;					
44:6 23;54:8;61:18; UST's (4) 156:19;157:5; 128:7;132:22;	183:13			vendors (6)	
understandably (1) 67:24;74:13;79:15; 121:4,7;126:24; 166:16 180:22					
	understandably (1)	67:24;74:13;79:15;	121:4,7;126:24;	166:16	180:22

-	1	1	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
wants (9)	87:6	15,20,25;82:21;	17,19;175:12;191:3	170:12
46:25;96:17;	weird (1)	83:23;91:25;92:12;	witnesses (5)	write (4)
111:10,13;113:15;	202:12	96:21;97:6,9;99:1;	42:21;45:20;46:5;	57:19;94:21;
114:4;130:18;	welcome (8)	108:17;112:4;	48:14;178:4	173:18;190:7
171:18;187:4	6:14,18,21;	126:15;127:3,12,14,	wonderful (2)	writes (1)
Wardwell (1)	127:21;137:4,9,15,	16;135:19,23,25;	10:2;43:25	153:10
204:15	16	137:13;143:3;	word (9)	writing (1)
warring (1)	welfare (1) 173:10	145:24,25;146:6,8,	22:14;91:21;96:9;	191:10
189:23 watched (1)	weren't (4)	11,13,17;147:14; 157:17,22;158:1;	97:23;148:16,20; 149:22;191:23;	written (1) 120:11
16:21	75:5,7;95:5;	161:3;163:13,15,17;	205:23	wrong (5)
water (1)	101:14	165:13;171:12,16,	wording (1)	44:19;67:23;
119:15	West (2)	20,22;172:8;182:18,	35:14	158:25;174:17;
Waterhouse (1)	25:24;26:3	19;184:14;193:7,11,	words (9)	200:11
82:19	Western (1)	23;194:17,23;196:13	85:21;94:21;95:3;	wrote (6)
way (49)	29:7	Williams (9)	96:13;129:19;	57:20;86:11;
9:20;10:11,12;	What'd (1)	21:3;22:22;37:17,	178:23;187:2;	95:12;96:2;150:22;
12:12;41:12;53:19,	76:13	18;39:2,6;40:15;	202:13;204:1	153:14
19;55:4;56:6;61:25;	what's (31)	41:3,6	work (51)	₹7
77:25;84:9;112:14;	7:22;11:17;17:17;	willing (2)	8:11;11:5;13:2;	Y
129:24;135:22;	18:25;39:22;42:23;	204:1;209:2	18:4,5;20:5;22:19;	(15)
136:24;139:25;	62:9;76:5,6,22;	Wilmington (5)	24:9;34:2;35:1;42:4;	year (17)
143:3;145:9;151:18;	88:25;105:3;106:14;	37:18;38:1,11;	59:16;62:15;65:16;	16:22;24:22;
152:4,11,18;160:4;	113:18;118:15;	40:24;218:11 WILSON (20)	66:6;77:6;78:1;	27:15;52:20;58:7;
161:21;163:4; 165:25;167:2;168:9,	144:15,15;148:11; 151:10;162:12;	20:13,15,15;22:8;	82:25;85:2;93:5; 101:1;138:2,6;140:4,	60:6,20,21;66:18; 69:13;70:23;133:13,
9,10,13;175:20;	165:20;166:19;	23:1;24:16,16;25:19,	20;141:7,9,12,13,17;	22;188:11;195:6;
177:23;186:12,22;	185:18;186:23,25;	21;26:19,22;28:20;	142:1;144:25;146:7;	200:2;207:25
187:18;194:2;	187:15;188:19;	31:21;32:3,6,8,9,11,	147:3,7,24;149:3;	years (17)
202:11,13;203:4;	199:6;207:21;212:1,	18;37:3	163:19;168:2,3;	53:20;54:9;55:23;
208:7,19;209:5;	11	wish (16)	189:19;194:9,9,10;	65:18,23;66:16;
210:7,9;213:16,23;	whatsoever (1)	22:11;24:4;25:16;	204:2;208:4,10,11;	71:15;72:9,13,13;
214:2	95:24	26:15;28:16;32:8,	213:24;214:23;	75:1;82:4,19;
ways (6)	wheelhouse (1)	12;35:3;36:8;37:12;	215:2	144:10;161:11;
53:16,21,25;54:8;	160:14	40:6;108:19;204:6;	worked (11)	190:12;192:3
167:1,22	where's (1)	205:6,7,22	17:7;19:15;43:25;	year's (1)
wearing (1)	196:14	Withdrawn (1)	46:2;62:12;82:19;	199:22
53:14	Whereupon (1)	96:21	84:18;117:15;	year-to-year (1)
weeds (1)	215:18	withheld (1)	140:17;141:8;181:9	9:25
213:5	white (1)	52:19	workers (4)	Yep (1)
week (11)	134:11	within (14)	43:5;55:21;59:10;	18:17
58:17,17;97:12;	whittle (1) 100:21	22:2;52:18;59:21; 128:18;130:8;135:4;	61:9 workforce (1)	yesterday (1) 196:21
147:11;154:20; 156:11;161:17,25,	whittled (2)	160:14;190:5;	168:7	yield (1)
25,25;162:13	76:10;98:22	192:24;207:2,3,9,9;	working (16)	184:7
weekend (2)	whole (8)	213:2	17:11;42:3,4;48:1;	Young (3)
148:22;151:8	149:3;169:20;	without (10)	53:16;55:11,11;	24:20,21;25:3
weekends (1)	178:13;179:8;	40:12;43:7;47:17;	56:21;61:7;138:10,	Young's (1)
208:10	185:24;197:25;	50:20;69:13;157:11;	16;140:6,10;154:19;	24:25
weeks (5)	199:10;202:25	169:23;193:13;	164:7;208:15	Yup (3)
15:13;16:10;	who's (10)	195:21;197:7	works (3)	103:16;147:11;
68:19;94:12;100:8	39:19;40:13;46:6;	witness (49)	165:25;198:22;	153:9
weigh (2)	61:20;168:1;177:7;	46:6,7,15,20;63:8;	215:2	
39:11,24	184:10;186:19;	65:1,6;69:3,6;72:22;	world (6)	${f Z}$
weighs (1)	190:12;206:2	81:7,9,17;91:20,21;	17:16;59:8,10,17;	40
210:25	whose (2)	92:9;97:7;108:18,	198:6;209:20	zero (4)
weight (1)	40:17;208:21	20;111:8;112:6;	worse (1)	60:18;90:1;100:4;
41:14	wildly (1)	113:15,18;114:9,13;	10:4	101:5
weighting (2)	105:17 WILLETT (65)	130:16,17,18;131:2,	worsened (1)	zero-sum (7)
66:19;117:16 weightings (2)	WILLETT (65)	4;137:5,9,10,17,18, 22;146:14;151:22;	8:17 worth (3)	59:13,19;194:4,
71:16;117:18	57:6,8,8;60:1,12, 19;63:20;64:13;	22;146:14;151:22; 157:9,14,24;161:2;	worth (3) 117:8;198:1,3	13;207:14,16;213:14
weights (1)	79:23;80:1;81:10,11,	163:14,16;171:13,	wring (1)	
moignio (1)	77.23,00.1,01.10,11,	103.17,10,1/1.13,	"III'S (1)	
·			·	·

<u> </u>	1			54H441 y 21, 2010
-	67:19		149:11;158:9	86:5;88:14;89:18;
0	11:51 (1)	2	29 (2)	90:4;92:13,23;
	150:23	2	6:2;118:10	94:19;106:22;
0.000 (1)	110 (2)	2 (41)	29th (10)	111:15;112:3;113:6,
0.088 (1)	8:25,25	2 (41)	84:12,21;85:20;	8,11,18,21;127:4,8,
107:15	1102 (3)	41:17;69:23;70:2,	88:25;89:12;90:15;	10,19;158:6,17,19,
001676 (1)	37:2,4,5	13,15;90:7;101:12,	94:10;104:16;	23;159:15;186:13;
158:21	1111 (3)	15;102:4,23;103:2;	106:23;186:21	188:15;218:11
1	67:1,6,10	111:3,25;112:6,11;	100.23,180.21	4:31 (1)
1	1113 (7)	113:13,15;120:23;	3	215:18
1 (22)	49:22;56:14;	121:1,7,12;122:10,	3	40 (2)
1 (32)	130:6;174:19,21;	16,17;123:3;125:4,5;	3 (27)	124:10,11
6:2;35:22;64:2,18,	175:13,23	126:21,22,23;127:2,	60:7;71:8;73:22;	401k (5)
21;110:18;111:2,17,	1113/1114 (2)	4,8,10,19;128:6;	74:1,5;84:7,22;	168:21,24;169:10,
24;112:6,11;118:13;	49:19;215:8	133:25;136:18,25;	85:12;90:4;109:11;	17;170:5
120:22,25;121:5,22;	1114 (2)	160:5;172:11	110:7;111:4,20,23;	41 (1)
122:4,16,17,23;	49:22;56:14	2.28 (1)	110.7,111.4,20,23, 112:3,7,12;113:8,19,	218:11
124:8;126:21,22,23,	1129 (2)	58:6	25;114:6,17;115:7;	47 (1)
25;127:4,8,10,19;	128:24,24	2:31 (1)	116:11;117:20;	218:14
128:1;188:21,22	12 (6)	177:18	127:5;208:9	4th (1)
1,000 (1)		2:32 (1)	3.2 (2)	` '
10:5	57:25;60:4,8,8; 156:2;188:23	177:17	58:7;72:2	138:11
1,000,045 (1)	130.2,188.23	2000 (2)	38.7;72.2 3.4 (2)	5
122:8	122:24;124:10,11	124:9,12		3
1.4 (3)		2005 (1)	52:13;125:18 3/31 (2)	5 (10)
60:6;195:5;200:2	13 (2) 166:1;188:23	185:23		7 7
1.75 (3)	137 (1)	2006 (1)	161:18;162:13	20:21;127:4,8,12, 13,19;143:18,19,23;
103:5;185:17;	107:12	29:12	3:15 (2) 177:18,19	218:2
188:16		2009 (1)		= -
1:56 (1)	14 (3)	175:4	3:31 (1)	5,000,721 (1)
177:17	20:21;58:5;188:23	2012 (7)	177:19	125:11
10 (7)	14,000 (1)	58:5;65:15;66:13;	30 (2)	5,865,200 (1)
115:7;147:21,23;	10:8	75:15;76:14;101:17;	118:4,6	107:1
171:23,24;172:7;	145 (1)	128:2	30th (6)	5.5 (1)
187:8	107:20	2013 (2)	52:4,17,21;58:15;	76:20 5.7 (5)
10,000 (3)	14th (2) 33:16;48:22	58:5;124:17	89:10;165:20	5.7 (5)
34:1,9,11	I ·	2014 (3)	31st (1)	125:23;126:5,10; 196:17,19
10/28 (1)	15 (5)	9:9,24;124:15	20:25 330 (1)	5.8 (1)
172:5	23:5,8;35:18,18; 218:8	2015 (23)		* *
10:17 (1)	150 (4)	8:23;9:10,10,24;	22:2 3432 (1)	107:12
149:23		27:15;50:14,20,24;		5.865 (2)
100 (2)	91:13,16,17;92:24	52:9;67:9;69:11,13;	188:22	106:16;188:15 500 (1)
52:12;93:12	16 (4)	71:10;72:5;76:15;	35 (1)	
1038 (3)	23:8;109:14; 114:18;116:12	83:10;90:7;95:16;	218:2	75:2 503 (3)
72:19;142:24;		102:23;122:7;	36 (1) 218:6	7 7
172:25	16th (1)	124:13;128:7;		62:22;178:23;
105d (1)	67:9 17 (3)	132:19	363 (1) 31:7	182:15 503b (1)
18:10	23:9;117:20;	2016 (7)	363b (2)	503b (1) 182:23
11 (15)	23.9,117.20,	21:1;73:3;89:10;	28:12;183:20	503c (2)
7:12;24:24;25:2;	17/15 (1)	118:4,6,10,13	365 (1)	60:24;197:5
26:8;31:2;52:20;	206:3	213 (1)	28:8	503c2 (1)
131:24;133:12;	175 (9)	218:16	37 (1)	183:6
138:7,13;140:16;	91:11;93:2,12,19,	22 (1)	218:8	503c3 (3)
144:24;147:4;	19;123:5;159:20,25;	14:23	3rd (9)	86:15;185:5;
163:20;218:6	19,125.5,139.20,25,	22nd (3)	20:25;98:2;	186:16
11.9 (3)	1776 (4)	14:18;68:8,17	148:10,21;150:24;	51 (1)
52:13;58:1;126:8	103:8,13;134:14;	25 (1)		72:19
11/3 (1)	136:2	218:14	151:7;153:19,25; 186:22	14.17
172:2	18 (2)	25th (2)	100.22	6
11/30/15 (1)	23:10;119:9	70:23;71:21	4	U
97:15	19 (2)	26 (1)	4	6 (14)
11:43 (1)	23:5,11	36:18	4 (30)	70:18;89:3,5;93:7,
67:19	43.3,11	28th (5)	4 (30) 83:22,24;84:11;	8;114:23;127:4,8,10,
11:48 (1)		34:3;35:1;148:2;	03.22,24,04.11,	0,114.23,127.4,0,10,
-				-

Case No. 15-33896-KRI	1		January 21, 2016
19;136:13;159:17; 166:7;196:21 6.8 (3) 52:13;94:3;126:2 661 (1) 85:9 662 (1) 85:11 663 (4) 85:12;86:5; 158:24;159:3 665 (1) 106:25 6th (1) 24:22			
7 (13) 70:22;71:9;98:6; 103:7;114:24,24; 127:4,8,10,19; 134:12,14;136:2 7.4 (1) 188:13 73 (1) 142:25 775 (2) 165:21;190:2 7th (1) 25:13 8 8 (10) 67:10;71:18; 104:14,14;107:3; 127:4,8,10,19;206:4 800 (1) 62:13 8th (1) 156:5 9 9 (4) 153:18;171:22,24; 172:3 9022-1d (1) 31:16 92 (3) 97:9,10,14			